

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR POLK COUNTY

---

UPON THE PETITION OF	)	
	)	
DEEANN RAE JOHNSON,	)	CASE NO. DRCV37370
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
	)	
AND CONCERNING	)	<b>MOTION FOR CONTEMPT</b>
	)	<b>AND WRIT OF ASSISTANCE</b>
RICHARD WILLIAM HOFFMANN, SR.	)	
	)	
Respondent,	)	
	)	

---

COMES NOW the Respondent, named Richard W. Hoffmann, Sr., currently residing at 5941 Vista Drive, Apt #436, West Des Moines, IA 50266, and hereby applies to the Court for a Petition for Modification of Custody, Child Support, and Child Visitation Rights and Writ of Assistance with Petitioner, DeeAnn Rae Johnson, currently residing at 1191 Winter Street NE, Salem, OR 97301, thus modifying the current Stipulation for the following reasons:

Per the language of the above mentioned current order on file on Page 4, paragraph 3, line "j" specifically states, "**Any other times as agreed upon by the parties or in writing WITH 72 HOURS NOTICE [EMPHASIS ADDED!] if not agreed.**"

It was agreed between the parties on or before May 15, 2014 that Petitioner, DeeAnn Ray Johnson would move to Salem, Oregon on Friday, May 30, 2014 upon departing from the Des Moines international airport that afternoon. At which time, it was agreed that Respondent, Richard W. Hoffmann, Sr., would from that moment forward, be the primary physical custodian of both Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann, born September 13, 2005, and Noah Christian Johnson-Hoffmann, born October 5, 2006, from May 30, 2014 through July 12, 2014 when Petitioner, DeeAnn Ray Johnson AGREED to return for her personal vehicle and her belongings and move herself and then said minor children to 1191

Winter Street NE, Salem, Oregon. 97301.

Respondent, Richard W. Hoffmann, Sr. was scheduled to attend the National Fire Protection Association convention to meet with the top constituents of Underwriters Laboratory who will test and certify and NFPA Title 14 Engineers who will write the regulatory code (laws) to mandate said revolutionary, patented, fire protection system on June 9 and 10, 2014 in Las Vegas, Nevada. He was then scheduled to fly to Kansas City to attend mandatory job training on June 11, 12, and 13, 2014 with plans to arrive home late that Friday night. Respondent made all proper arrangements for full childcare for both said minor children from Monday, June 9, 2014 at noon at the time of his drop off at the Des Moines International Airport through Saturday morning on June 14, 2014 with Kenneth Stoner (12 year family friend of Petitioner, DeeAnn Rae Johnson) of 1212 Southlawn Drive, Des Moines, Iowa for the evening and night hours. Additionally, Respondent arranged for Kenneth Stoner's niece, Morgan O'Connell, who resides in Ankeny, Iowa and was hired by Petitioner as the children's primary care provider for the entire summer of 2013, was responsible for child care during the day hours from 8:30 AM until 3:30 PM throughout the indicated week. Signed emergency doctor and dental care releases were signed by the Respondent, witnessed, and provided to both Kenneth Stoner and Morgan O'Connell. To be COMPLIANT, Respondent then notified Petitioner regarding said details in **Exhibit "A-1"**

As seen in the attached text dialogue initiated by Petitioner directed at Respondent, Petitioner tried to change the date from the agreed July 12, 2014 to July 1, 2014 and then stated she was going to get them in the week of June 9, 2014 as seen in **Exhibits "A-1" through "A-9"**.

After failing to enroll her friend Deborah L. Eastwood of 4232 65<sup>th</sup> Street, Urbandale, Iowa, the Petitioner then contacted her sister, Anika L. Blum of 413 Winterberry Street, Ankeny, Iowa 50023 and requested she immediately report to Kenneth Stoner's residence to **PHYSICALLY REMOVE BY INTIMIDATION AND FORCE** both Noah C. Johnson-Hoffmann, and Morgan E. Johnson-Hoffmann, from Kenneth Stoner's residence in Des Moines and allegedly took them to her residence in Ankeny **WITHOUT RESPONDENT'S KNOWLEDGE OR APPROVAL** and against the children's will as they

cried and screamed while being drug by their wrist to be placed violently in an unfamiliar vehicle and driven away. This is a direct violation to Paragraph "1" of sub-paragraph "b." which states:

**“Both parties shall participate equally in the rights and responsibilities of legal custodians, including but not limited to decisions affecting the child’s legal status, medical care, education, extracurricular activities [*EMPHASIS ADDED*] and religious training.”**

Kenneth Stoner then called Respondent to his cell phone in Las Vegas, Nevada and informed him of the details of the child abduction that occurred against his will at his residence. Respondent then contacted the Des Moines Police Department and the attached "Event" report #T14-0077841 was created as **Exhibit “B.”**

Respondent then contacted Ankeny Police Department to make a welfare child check for his minor custodial children were taken and held against their will at 413 NW Winterberry Street, Ankeny, Iowa 50023. While waiting for the return call from the Ankeny Police Department, Respondent contacted Doug M. Blum by phone that rang but was only able to leave a voice message, and then texted him as seen in **Exhibit “C-1 thru C-3”** which he did NOT reply to for over 18.5 hours that clearly exemplifies his attitude toward any responsibility for the TERROR SUBJECT UPON Respondent’s said minor children in which he included in his dialogue that the Respondent need not contact him but rather he should contact the Petitioner directly because he feels caught up in the middle and then communicated on behalf of and without providing any phone at all to Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann typing, “Morgan says her cell is in your car genius.” thus violating of Paragraph "8" on Page 5 which states:

**"The party shall ensure that calls are returned."**

Upon Ankeny Police Departments arrival at the alleged abduction, "Event" report #14-016255 was then created as **Exhibit “D”** in which included language from the interpretation of third-party, hearsay, inadmissible evidence (falsified testimony to a sworn Peace Officer) from Anika L. Blum, and/or her husband Doug M. Blum, that **“FATHER HAS NOT BEEN FOLLOWING CUSTODY AGREEMENT”** as declared as a matter of FACT in order to purposely cause DEFAMATION TO THE CHARACTER OF RESPONDENT IN A PUBLIC RECORD from a sworn peace officer and to further

potentially justify his NON-ACTION to effectively question any legal considerations to any and all consequences to refuse to intervene in the least bit even though absolutely no viable video surveillance tape, nor written, nor sworn verbal testimony based on any ASSUMED FACT, that could have possibly been produced, that was given to reference literally any section, paragraph, sub-paragraph or line of the current custody agreement contained therein.

Given these details, it is therefore concluded that Ankeny Police Department Officer Lopez, Badge #105, perhaps lost sight of his purpose to keep the peace and committed severe dereliction of his duty because it appears he was severely influenced by this purposeful delivery of false testimony in which the Respondent was provided absolutely no fair or equitable opportunity to rebut or clarify any allegation from the Blum's description of Respondent's character that was accepted as pure FACT. Although Respondent was waiting patiently close by his phone 1,500 miles away with said custodial agreement in hand, no effort was made to request same of Respondent by electronic mail copy or facsimile for review to provide the responding law enforcement personnel in the field an accurate, verifiable information source to cause them to act in a more appropriate manner given the severity of the true nature of the potential crime that was in progress instead of the EMPHASIZED affirmation of STATED assumed indisputable yet unverifiable violation(s) as FACT found in "**Exhibit "D."**"

[An amendment to **Exhibit "D"** was made by Sgt. Braun on Tuesday, June 12, 2014 at the request of the Respondent who had to redirect her on several occasions to cause her to respect the distinction between "alleged" versus stated "FACT" to correct the inappropriate language chosen by Officer Lopez. Please see **Exhibit "H."**]

Respondent then called to request to speak to an on duty supervisor of Ankeny Police Department in which Sgt. Figueroa, Badge #37, who also refused to intervene any further as he stated this circumstance was a civil matter and there is no jurisdiction and therefore no need to review any documentation pertaining to same whatsoever. Sgt. Figueroa emphasized to Respondent he should do his best to enjoy his trip in Las Vegas and take care of business there because there truly wasn't any issue to be concerned as both the Respondent and the Petitioner were both out of the State of Iowa at the time and the minor

children were considered “safe” by his personnel [who are ignorant to the potential actions of the Petitioner and her sister Anika L. Blum as exemplified here] because said minor children were with the only blood related relatives residing in the State of Iowa. Sgt. Figueroa then terminated the phone conversation first.

On the morning of June 10, 201, Respondent again contacted Ankeny Police Department to request a second child welfare-check in which Sgt. Braun, Badge #45, called Respondent back approximately an hour later and stated that it was her understanding that said minor children were "OK" per **Exhibit “F”** and that in fact both minor children had airline tickets to fly to Portland, Oregon yet she refused to disclose any details as such information cannot be disclosed to even to the AGREED SOLE custodial parent. This is in direct violation to Paragraph “1” of sub-paragraph “b.” which states:

**“Both parties shall participate equally in the rights and responsibilities of legal custodians, including but not limited to decisions affecting the child’s legal status, medical care, education, extracurricular activities [*EMPHASIS ADDED*] and religious training.”**

. The refusal of any and all parties including the Petitioner to release any details whatsoever then places Petitioner and all others in contempt of court under Paragraph “1.”, sub-paragraph “a.” which states:

**“Both parties shall have access to information concerning the child [*EMPHASIS ADDED*], including but no limited to medical, educational, and law enforcement records.”**

Unhappy with the results, Respondent was abruptly hung-up upon by Sgt. Braun when she allegedly felt Respondent “over-reacted” to her perception verified by her inappropriate statements that the details pertaining to the matter described herein are essentially unimportant and mute and no action needs to be executed to allegedly improve the safety and security of said minor custodial children who were scheduled to leave the State of Iowa against his will at an unknown time and date when it wasn’t even possible for him to be home with his minor children as arranged per the language of said decree in West Des Moines out of the jurisdiction of this uncooperative, unresponsive law enforcement agency and its personnel assigned to the city limits of Ankeny, Iowa.

At approximately 10:30 AM PDT, Respondent then again attempted to contact Doug M. Blum at (515) 371-4055 but was only able to leave a voicemail. Moments later Respondent called Anika L. Blum, at (515) 669-0243 who answered politely yet immediately terminated the phone call when Respondent asked to speak to his daughter, Morgan E. Johnson-Hoffmann. Respondent then called Anika L. Blum again and left a very detailed voicemail requesting immediate court ordered contact with his minor children advising she was in fact in direct violation of multiple sections of said court order and that she would be held fully accountable for her alleged criminal activity for her direct involvement in the careful abduction and execution of the FORCED removal of Respondent's minor children against his will in the manner that she had while he was committed out of town on other important business details. No return call was made nor was Respondent able to contact either his son or daughter in any way at all in direct violation of Paragraph "8" on Page 5 which states:

**"The party shall ensure that calls are returned."**

Both said children were held captive against their will by Anika L. Blum and Doug M. Blum. Please see attached the text dialog between Respondent and Doug M. Blum as Exhibits **"C-1" through C-3"**

Respondent was then forced to make emergency notifications and appropriate modifications to rescheduled his work week and therefor cancel his "Train-the-Trainer" training course that had been arranged for months held in Kansas City with Durham School Services. This training is mandatory for his job position as a Commercial Driving Instructor in Waukee, Iowa that he maintained the entire 2013-2014 school year to more efficiently provide for his family yet was forced to go through the effort and significant expense to purchase an emergency airline ticket to Portland, Oregon in hopes of seeing his custodial children there though he was still had no verification whatsoever which day let alone the time his children would arrive that remained in violation to Paragraph "1" of sub-paragraph "b." which states:

**"Both parties shall participate equally in the rights and responsibilities of legal custodians, including but not limited to decisions affecting the child's legal status, medical care, education, extracurricular activities [EMPHASIS ADDED] and religious training."**

On Tuesday, June 10, at 11:17 AM PDT, Respondent's text request to Petitioner to speak to Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann was again ignored as it has countless times in direct violation of Paragraph "8" on Page 5 which states:.

**"The party shall ensure that calls are returned."**

Respondent emphasizes this stipulation has been violated countless times over the years as he was forced to buy his eight (8) year old daughter a cell phone to attempt to circumvent the repeated, regular noncompliance to the above reference of Petitioner to assist court ordered communication with his son and daughter as directed. Please see **Exhibit "E-1" through "E-3."**

Petitioner then arrived in Portland at approximately 2:00 PM PDT on June 10, 2014 and received a text from Doug M. Blum who requested a return call via text. See **Exhibits "G-1" through "G-5."** During the conversation Doug M. Blum stated said children were unavailable for a phone conversation as they were already on an airplane en route to Denver at the time where they were going to meet up with the Petitioner there and all three would fly together to Portland, Oregon.

Please note also that Doug M. Blum emphasized it was his very clear and concise understanding that from the verbal testimony of both Petitioner, DeeAnn Ray Johnson and his wife, Anika L. Blum, that if DeeAnn Ray Johnson were to expire at any time before either one or both child(ren) become(s) the age of 18, that he IN FACT he Doug M. Blum SHALL obtain FULL custody of Respondent's said minor children and that he honestly believed such documentation truly exists. Respondent requested Doug M. Blum produce said documentation but Blum refused to comply with no rational explanation whatsoever.

At approximately 7:05 PM on June 10, 2014 Petitioner, DeeAnn Rae Johnson escorted both said minor children Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann and Noah Christian Johnson-Hoffmann (while under tight video surveillance of the informed Portland Airport Police Department as they passed through the main terminal security exit way and were met in loving arms and the verbal communication by Respondent that he was very happy to see his minor children limiting the communication regarding the serious misunderstanding created by the contemptuous, clandestine activities of Petitioner.

Both children were extremely excited to see their father yet Petitioner stood in place in total disbelief. Respondent lovingly held both said minor children's hands and reminded them that he will NEVER leave them or live far away from them and will always make every effort be together with them no matter what. Petitioner, DeeAnn Ray Johnson, then put both children into the vehicle she was responsible for with State of Oregon license plate #424 DHX and drove them to her new residence at 1191 Winter St. NE, Salem, OR. 97301.

On June 11, at approximately 9:00 AM Respondent arrived at 1191 Winter St. NE., Salem, OR, knocked on the front door and was greeted by his son, Noah Christian Hoffmann-Johnson, who again was very excited to see his father. However, Morgan Johnson-Hoffmann, came out but NOT until many minutes later to speak with Respondent on the front porch but was EXTREMELY DISPONDENT, SAD, AND DISTANT unlike he had ever witnessed her to be at any time in her life with him.

For the record, there is therefore an EXTREME concern for Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann's mental well-being due to the potential serious mental anguish subjected upon her through the coerced manipulation by Petitioner to further destroy the credibility of Respondent as exemplified by Anika L. Blum and her husband Doug M. Blum did to manipulate the law enforcement officer(s) with the Ankeny Police Department. It is felt that both Noah Christian Johnson-Hoffmann but especially Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann need to be IMMEDIATELY REMOVED from this potential HOSTILE living environment caused by the exemplified irrational behavior of Petitioner at the above referenced address to prevent any further potential mental anguish caused by potential conflicting messages communicated by Petitioner regarding the true reality of the current situation in violation of Paragraph "1", sub-paragraph "e" on Page 2 that states:

**"Each of the parties shall act to foster feelings of affection and respect between the child and the other party, and neither will do anything which may ESTRANGE [EMPHASIS ADDED] the child from the other party or impair the child's high regard for the other party."**

After visiting for approximately 20 minutes on the front porch with both children, Respondent attempted to make tentative plans with Petitioner to have dinner with both children later that evening but



Petitioner made the general statement that the kids were going to be busy. After making several documented unsuccessful attempts during the day of June 11, 2014 to speak to said children via text and voicemail on Petitioner's cell phone, Petitioner finally answered her phone at approximately 5:00 PM and stated she was not going to allow Respondent to see the children any time soon and for the first time in Morgan Johnson-Hoffmann's life, she refused to talk to Petitioner. This is especially concerning to the Respondent as the urgency to act has never been more evident than now due to the severe behavior change of Morgan E. Johnson-Hoffmann since she has been in the presence of the Petitioner.

For the record, it is sworn under penalty of perjury that Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann on Saturday, June 7, 2014 stated as a mere matter of fact, "I really do not care if I don't see my Mommy for an entire year or not. I don't want to be with her." This was followed by, "Daddy, I do NOT want to move to Oregon ever!"

Given the extreme nature of the current situation, it is further hereby requested by the Respondent to the court that an immediate action to ENFORCE WRIT OF ASSISTANCE - Case #14C31598 be executed by the Marion County Sheriff's Department filed in the IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF MARION on July 2, 2014 to remove both minor children from this HOSTILE living environment without further delay until it can be proven and verified Petitioner is not in violation of Paragraph "1." Sub-paragraph "e." on Page #2 at 1191 Winter St. NE., Salem, OR 97301 WITHOUT FURTHER DELAY. The Respondent appreciates any and all assistance provided by the court to see Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann and Noah Christian Johnson-Hoffmann are returned safely to their familiar environment in West Des Moines, Iowa which provides an extremely stable supportive living environment and solid predictable structure created and maintained by the Respondent to facilitate the mental well-being of both with all their loving friends whom they have established loving relationships with over several years which is currently nearly 1900 miles away.

Additionally, for the record, I contacted Lt. Huggins, Badge #41, who also refused to hear any argument at all that the Ankeny Police Department had any responsibility at all to request a copy of said stipulation BEFORE said minor children were violently removed from their stable, loving environment

with their life long loving family friend, Kenneth Stoner, who also cried as the Anika L. Blum and Deborah L. Eastwood FORCEBLY REMOVED both minor children without warning, THREATENED BY SHEER INTIMIDATION both into the car, and drove off to an (at the time) unknown location, with both minor children who were screaming in the rear seat of the alleged abductor's vehicle absolutely terrified, confused, and extremely sad from the violence subjected upon them from these incredibly VIOLENT ACTIONS CONSPIRED AND DIRECTED BY THE PETITIONER and HOSTILE experience again in **violation of Paragraph "1," Sub-paragraph "e.," on Page #2** listed above.

As a result of the evidence presented herein and attached, it is requested of the court that there be a FULL custody arrangement change from joint-custody of said minor children to FULL custody of said minor children be granted to the Respondent with the stipulation that the Petitioner is only permitted SUPERVISED visitation given her instability and inability to act appropriately as a prudent, rational adult who's commitment is to provide the emotional, spiritual, and mental stability of said minor children without the desire to take advantage of situations against her written and spoken word like she did during the Respondent's 1,500 mile absence and therefore his inability to effectively enforce the current court order to protect his family from the above referenced violent, terrifying, abduction and resulting mental anguish experienced by two (2) unknowing, innocent children who are presently caught up in this environment against their will. It is further requested the court do all it can to PROTECT HIS CHILDREN FROM THIS PURPOSELY SUBJECTED TERROR inflicted by the Petitioner onto said minor children.

It is further requested that the mandated Child Support obligation to be reversed from the Respondent's responsibility to the Petitioner's responsibility accordingly and paid at a rate based on her potential earnings regardless of her employment status upon the court's resolution of this matter in order to maintain and sustain the life style that both minor children have become accustomed.

Additionally, for the record as FACT sworn under penalty of perjury, I hereby affirm and let it be known that Petitioner left the State of California and thus ABANDONED her two ( 2½) and a half month old infant son, Noah C. Johnson-Hoffmann and her 15 month old infant daughter, Morgan Elizabeth

Johnson-Hoffmann in December 2006 when she moved herself and her older son, Tyler James Johnson, born April 16, 1998 in with the above referenced Anika L. Blum and her husband Doug M. Blum at 413 NW Winterberry Street, Ankeny, Iowa 5002. Verifiable admissible evidence is captured in YouTube video at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xi3aojRIIsA>

This ABANDONMENT of both said infants with Respondent to raise to provide 24/7 care on his own as a single father on medical disability. Although Petitioner sent no child support to Respondent during these three (3) months until mid-March 2007 when Respondent paid all flight costs to deliver said children to Petitioner in Iowa, Respondent immediately began to send approximately \$700.00 per month which was approximately \$120.00 more than the current child support calculation formula verified would be appropriate.

Further, the original order that became effective September 1, 2007 enforcing child support against Respondent at \$1,600.00 per month, the Respondent is fully aware he did in fact sign such court ordered Decree, but did so under EXTREME DURESS from the verbal threat and alleged misrepresentation executed on said motion generated by Petitioner AND her attorney Jonathon A. Coy that submitted to this court as FACT that Respondent earned EXACTLY \$6,660.40 per month and therefor ALLEGEDLY EXACTLY \$127,200.00 per year upon Respondent's DISABILITY RETIREMENT. After attempting to correct this FALSIFIED TESTIMONY for nearly 30 days with Petitioner and Jonathon A. Coy, Attorney, Respondent IN FACT **"...WAS forced, pressured, AND IN FACT advised me (Respondent) to sign this Decree."** to REVERSE INTRIPULATE the \$1,600.00 per month MANDATORY child support obligation.

At the time said motion was served upon Respondent, he had been living on a Non-Industrial (non-work related) Disability Retirement (after serving the people of the State of California from June 1979) which commenced in May 2000 in which he finished his career upon promoting to Acting Fire Chief/Correctional Fire Captain/Peace Officer at the Avenal State Prison facility in Avenal, California. The amount of income that Petitioner had her attorney Jonathon Coy submit as a record as FACT in your court of law stated that Respondent earned nearly \$100,000.00 more than he actually did in the tax year

2006. Respondent tried to negotiate with Petitioner regarding this incredibly inflated misrepresentation that can be easily disputed with the verifiable tax records of the Internal Revenue Service this date, but became evident very quickly that any attempt to do so was futile as Petitioner refused to cooperate at all.

During the second week in August 2007, Petitioner's final threat to Respondent was, "**If you don't sign this Decree to pay me the \$1,600.00 per month I feel myself and your children are entitled to, I remind you now that I have an 1,800 mile head start on you and you will NEVER SEE YOUR CHILDREN EVER AGAIN!**" She further stated, "**I will change my last name, your children's last names to render us to all be untraceable, and they will be told that you are dead and gone years ago!**" She finished by stating, "**Now sign it or else!**" She then demanded all payments to her be made by me personally depositing moneys into her accounts as indicated on the attached spreadsheet titled, "Child Support Payments - Richard Hoffmann v DeeAnn R. Johnson - DRCV-37370" as **Exhibit "I-1" and "I-2"**.

Given the Respondent has all the tax records to prove his position that he didn't earn nearly four (4X) times his ACTUAL income after becoming medically retired as a Correctional Fire Captain/Peace Officer in May 2000, Respondent complied with the Petitioner's **THREAT AND DEMAND and therefor signed UNDER SEVERE DURESS** the documentation as the record shows today just so he could keep the kids in a town he knew he could find them in. Respondent paid every extra penny he could muster on airline tickets to fly out to Des Moines, Iowa every four (4) to five (5) weeks thereafter for an additional 20 months to MAINTAIN AND NURTURE the relationships he now has that are extremely very well established with both said loving children until he lost his four bedroom home in foreclosure in late November of 2008. He then relocated from Sacramento, California to Ankeny, Iowa and did his best to be in the children's lives every day as he does today while he addresses this matter effectively to create and file this document from Salem, Oregon which is filed this date electronically in Des Moines, Iowa Monday, July 7, 2014.

In October 2010, Petitioner then reported to the Child Support Recovery of the State of Iowa and presented FALSE TESTIMONY again that Respondent had paid ZERO (\$0.00) child support whatsoever to her (not the documented verifiable \$29,003.29 that the Respondent ACTUALLY did pay) since the original decree that included the original FALSE TESTIMONY that Respondent earned nearly \$100,000.00 more than he actually did at EXACTLY \$6,660.40 per month and therefor EXACTLY \$127,200.00 [Exhibit X-1 thru X-9]. Child Support Recovery did their duty and levied over \$58,000.00 in child support arrearages that instantly put his commercial driver's license and any and all real property including his van in jeopardy. The attached spreadsheet [Exhibit I-1 and I-2] clearly indicates during Mediation in April 2011, when Petitioner was represented by legal counsel, Respondent was only able to reduce the over \$62,000 in child support arrearages down to \$4,000.00 through heavy negotiations yet the record shows, based on FALSIFIED SWORN TESTIMONY that she AND her attorney Jonathon Coy KNOWINGLY CONSPIRED IN ORDER TO DECEIVE THIS COURT, when ACCURATELY mathematically calculated as it is in said spreadsheet, Petitioner actually OWES Respondent \$3,405.29. Given the difference between what the Petitioner actually OWES and the amount the Respondent was personally found responsible by MANIPULATED COERCION in mediation April 11, 2011, the DELIBERATE FALSE TESTIMONY OF PETITIONER AND ATTORNEY JONATHON A. COY yielded a net profit for Petitioner of \$7,405.29 by PURE EXTORTION upon presentment of FALSE SWORN TESTIMONY.

Respondent filed a formal complaint against Attorney Jonathon A. Coy on January 20, 2011 and completed and submitted **THE IOWA SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY BOARD COMPLAINT FORM dated February 2, 2011**. Although the attached ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE is overwhelming, Jonathon A. Coy, Attorney was found NOT GUILTY of any and all details contained in said complaint filed.

Finally, Paragraph 4 on Page #4, Respondent requests the court to eliminate this language here altogether as this too was a demand by the Petitioner placed on the table that she refused to negotiate to further destroy the Respondent's credibility based on third-party, hearsay, inadmissible falsified evidence

that she and only she could insist was true. Absolutely no documentation in any form from any psychologist's or psychiatrist's alleged evaluation that ever occurred within any reasonable time frame was submitted to DEMAND the entry of same in the current decree. In fact, Petitioner herself has been TREATED for years for multiple psychological mental conditions requiring MEDICATION and COUNSELING INTERVENTION that she has instead demanded the Respondent disclose as an alleged serious mental condition, THOUGH NONE HAS EVER BEEN DIAGNOSED, in yet another attempt to CANCEL AND ELIMINATE Respondent's access to Respondent's minor children upon the deliberate submission of third party, hearsay, inadmissible FALSE TESTIMONY as evidence to manipulate and leverage the level playing field attempted in said mediation session.

The written testimony above should clear the record of the Respondent given the verifiably, deliberate, coercive FALSE TESTIMONY upon him and his two (2) minor said children who have SUFFERED greatly again due to the TERROR subjected upon all parties yet again this week including incredible UNVERIFIABLE FALSE TESTIMONY in court as recently as 11:00 AM on July 3, 2014 in Court Room 3A. It is hereby requested to the court that Petitioner be immediately evaluated before she is granted SUPERVISED VISITATION ONLY. Respondent invites any court to demand he be evaluated if any such court prefers this action to be executed upon him as well. Not included at the time of the submission this date is VERIFIABLE EVIDENCE Respondent has NO DIAGNOSED PSYCHOLOGICAL CONDITION WHATSOEVER (INCONCLUSIVE) that requires any medication nor any counseling intervention.

Respondent therefor requests the court take IMMEDIATE action to direct the THIRD DISTRICT COURT OF OREGON, MARION COUNTY to enforce the "**WRIT OF ASSISTANCE**" filed on July 2, 2014 as early as possible for the SAFETY AND SECURITY of said minor children. Writ of Assistance based on the following facts:

1. ) I am entitled to immediate possession of the following personal property: MORGAN ELIZABETH JOHNSON-HOFFMANN, born September 13, 2005, and NOAH CHRISTIAN JOHNSON-HOFFMANN, born October 5, 2006,

Per the **CLEAR VIOLATION** of the irrefutable language of the current order DRCV-37370 ORDER MODIFYING DECREE ESTABLISHING PATERNITY, CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT AND VISITATION on file IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR POLK COUNTY on Page 4, paragraph 3, line "j" specifically states, "**Any other times as agreed upon by the parties or in writing WITH 72 HOURS [EMPHASIS ADDED] notice if not agreed.**" Petitioner, with only three (3) hours notice to Respondent and **therefor sixty nine (69) hours in advance of the MINIMUM court ordered time limit** to change the AGREED plans as verified in the attached text dialog on June 8, 2014 **Exhibit "A1"** in which the Respondent communicated to Petitioner AS REQUIRED by said court ordered decree the childcare arrangements set for both said minor children for the week of June 9, 2014 from 1200 hrs. on Monday, June 9, 2014 through the morning hours of Saturday, June 14, 2014 followed by the text dialog of June 9, 2014, which was initiated at 2:24 PM PDT by the Petitioner, within and of **Exhibit "A2 thru A-9"** that includes the text, "**I'm going to get them this week then.**" [Petitioner had every right to enforce this at any time AFTER the effective time of 2:25 PM PDT on Thursday, June 12, 2014]

2.) The court order granting me possession of the above property is attached to and incorporated by this affidavit.

3.) Petitioner has failed to deliver possession of the property to me as described per the attached NOTORIZED AND ENTERED DRCV-37370 ORDER MODIFYING DECREE ESTABLISHING PATERNITY, CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT AND VISITATION on file IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR POLK COUNTY stamped at 1:26 PM on May 11, 2011 as **Exhibit "J-1 thru J-7."** It is requested of the Court to review literally

every detail described in the attached documents including the text dialog directed from the Petitioner to the AGREED childcare provider, Kenneth Stoner, of 1212 Southlawn Drive, Des Moines, Iowa 50315 [where the alleged violation occurred when both children were removed from this residence by Anika L. Blum of 413 NW Winterberry Street, Ankeny, Iowa and her alleged accomplice Deborah L. Eastwood of 4232 65<sup>th</sup> Street, Urbandale, Iowa] at 1012 hrs. CDT on June 9, 2014 including the dialog in **Exhibit “K-1 and K-2”**:

**“Kenny I had 3 calls from softball parents last night that are very concerned for Morgan and Noah. Will you please let my friend [allegedly Deborah L. Eastwood of 4232 65<sup>th</sup> Street, Urbandale, IA 50322 at (309) 269-3089] have the kids – she will put them on a plane and I will meet them in Denver. Please! They aren’t ok with Rich.”**

Please also review the attached email [**Exhibit “L-1 and L-2”**] from DeeAnn Rae Johnson from [deeann.johnson@wellsfargo.com](mailto:deeann.johnson@wellsfargo.com) on Monday, June 16, 2014 4:34 pm after Respondent implied he would file the attached E-FILED PETITION FOR MODIFICATION at 1205 hrs. Tuesday, June 17, 2014, if Petitioner didn’t respond and confirm to the requests contained therein refused to comply with current order in the manner contained and detailed therein. The Petitioner states,

**“Bottom line I have primary physical care of Morgan and Noah. I’m filing a restraining order. You might get to see Morgan and Noah a year from now.”**

**Petitioner HAS a valid Restraining order against the Respondent** per the third party, hearsay, inadmissible allegations contained therein in “IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON COUNTY OF MARION, CASE NO: 14C31598. Under penalty of perjury of and within the Laws of the State of Iowa and the State of Oregon, Respondent hereby declares all allegations are exaggerated false, third party, hearsay, inadmissible testimony evidence, just as evidenced in the flagrant false testimony in the attachments contained herein, are intended solely to destroy



Respondent's credibility and place all law enforcement agencies in two separate States on alert that Respondent should be considered a viable THREAT TO HIS CHILDREN, THE PETITIONER, PETITIONER'S SISTER ANIKA L. BLUM, AND HER FRIEND [ALLEGED ACCOMPLICE TO THE ALLEGED ABDUCTION] DEBORAH L. EASTWOOD. Respondent expresses extreme empathy for the innocent yet, and very rightfully so, extremely disturbed staff of the Salem-Keizer Education Foundation Camp who are hoping and praying every day that a six foot, four inch, 255 pound "**CHILD ABDUCTOR/KILLER**" Respondent doesn't come busting through the door to allegedly "**ABDUCT**" his children Noah Christian and Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann while they remain at this facility during Petitioner's work hours.

For the record, Mark Seyfried, Detective Corporal, Badge #S137 of the Salem Police Department, Salem, Oregon, STATES THAT HE, Mark Seyfried, as a sworn peace officer, ALLEGEDLY WITNESSED the verbal testimony of Respondent state to him, even though Respondent showed the request for a "**WRIT OF ASSISTANCE**" request of the Marion County Sheriff's Department by Respondent who E-Filed IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR POLK COUNTY at 3:37 PM on June 13, 2014 as titled, "**PETITION FOR MODIFICATION... AND WRIT OF ASSISTANCE,**" Corporal Detective Mark Seyfried, Badge #S137 stated in his report, "**I contacted Richard and he again alluded to the fact that HE WOULD ABDUCT **RESCUE** HIS CHILDREN.**" The Detective Corporal, S137, is therefor DERELICT OF DUTY to NOT place Respondent on an **IMMEDIATE MANDATORY 72 HOUR PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION HOLD** as a result of this alleged RISK TO THE SAFETY AND WELL BEING OF SAID MINOR CHILDREN AS HE, M SEYFRIED, BADGE #S137, INDICATED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY ON PUBLIC RECORD "SMP14022717" generated and filed within the Salem Police Department Records department on June 16, 2014 as **Exhibit M-1 thru M-7.**"

Among other countless incredible misrepresentations and false, inaccurate entries committed by M. Seyfried, Badge #S137, also states, "**He [Respondent] told me his ex-wife [RESPONDENT**

**EMPHASIZED TO DETECTIVE CORPORAL THAT PETITIONER AND RESPONDENT WERE NEVER MARRIED [EMPHASIS ADDED!], Deeann Johnson, moved from Iowa three weeks ago, taking their two children, Morgan and Noah.”** and also stated in his reference to the only unnotarized, unsigned copy of the custody agreement, from Iowa, entered May 18, 2011, that the Respondent requested Detective Corporal Mark Seyfried, S137 read out loud Page 4, Paragraph 3, Line “j.” further states in his report, **“He pointed out one section and asked me to read it outloud. It essentially said that Deeann would have to notify him of any changes of visitation WITHIN 72 HOURS.” [EMPHASIS ADDED!]** ...as clear evidence of dereliction of duty to record written, let alone verbal testimony accurately in said “Incident Report –Disturbance” SMP1422717 when respondent was only requesting the staff at said childcare facility to add his name to the emergency contact list so Respondent could visit children as the current decree provides as required by said facility established regulations. Please see supporting video evidence regarding Respondents conduct found at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Y4FaNQPv0> which is ebbed into the website: [www.iowaoregonabduciton.com](http://www.iowaoregonabduciton.com).

Respondent has video evidence on his camera of him engaged in a conversation with the staff at said childcare facility, peacefully leaving Respondent’s Iowa Department of Transportation Commercial Driver’s License on the table, and then peacefully exiting the premises as ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE in this court of law. The third party hearsay, inadmissible extremely exaggerated verbal testimony from the Petitioner **IN THE PRESENCE OF SAID MINOR CHILDREN** directed to the childcare staff caused extreme anxiety of all said Salem-Keizer Education Foundation Camp staff members who prudently immediately secured the facility doors to keep Respondent away from staff and children within. **THIS IS A DIRECT VIOLATION OF CURRENT ORDER found at Paragraph “1”, sub-paragraph “e” on Page 2 that states:**

**“Each of the parties shall act to foster feelings of affection and respect between the child and the other party, and neither will do anything which may ESTRANGE [EMPHASIS ADDED] the child from the other party or impair the child’s high regard for the other party.”**

Terra Naught immediately and prudently summoned the assistance of law enforcement by calling Emergency 911 but was unable to speak over the sound of the children within the facility. She exited said facility in which Respondent video recorded his interaction with this subject again as to be presented as admissible evidence. Subject requested Respondent not video record her, but Respondent informed her of his right to do so and further stated, **“You are using third party hearsay, inadmissible evidence to prevent me from seeing my kids.”** Subject implied she wasn’t, Respondent stated she was, and then stood there on the sidewalk to allow subject to safely exit the area to the west on the sidewalk proceeding over 50 feet to the west entrance of Grant School at 725 Market Street NE, Salem, Oregon 97301. Please refer also to:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6EGPzwK-FEs> which is ebbed into the website:

[www.iowaoregonabduciton.com](http://www.iowaoregonabduciton.com).

Respondent then walked west nearly 100 feet from Salem-Keizer Education Foundation Camp main entrance to help eliminate any the CONCERN OF ANY RISK TO THE SAFETY OF CHILDREN AND STAFF within said facility, sat peacefully on an exterior bench, and summoned the Salem Police Department via the non-emergency number, NOT TO OBSTRUCT any possible 911 call from any other citizen within the city limits of Salem, Oregon to request a peace officer to report to his location to SAFELY recover his Iowa government issued Commercial Drivers License now in the possession of said staff at said facility. It was at the time that Respondent was finally able to talk to a dispatcher/operator after being on hold for several minutes that Respondent terminated the call as a result of the arrival of Detective Corporal Mark Seyfried, S137 as recorded in all communication with Salem Police Department dispatch records and phone logs.

The Respondent affirms there are many more misrepresentations and false testimony erroneous and inaccurate recorded entries to list here as sworn written testimony of an alleged peace officer Detective Corporal Mark Seyfried, S137. For the record Detective Corporal Mark Seyfried, S137, recorded the following SWORN TESTIMONY within **Exhibit “M-1 thru M-7”**:

1. Nowhere on report does it mention “Salem-Keizer Education Foundation Camp” as the place of said incident.
2. Last name misspelled as HoffmaN, not as recorded on Iowa Commercial Drivers License as HoffmaNN
3. Respondent’s apartment number as #44, not as recorded on Iowa Commercial Drivers License as APT. #436. This is only an error of 392 apartments away from Respondents ACCURATE address.
4. WEIGHT under estimated by 40 pounds as Respondent actually weighs 255 pounds.
5. HEIGHT as “6ft06in,” not as recorded on Iowa Commercial Drivers License as “6ft04in”
6. Business phone number to Krina Lemons as **Bus #: (503) 860-4840** is listed as Lauren Jones **Home #: (503) 860-4840** AND Terra Naught **Bus #: (503) 860-4840**. Respondent attempted to call **Bus #: (503) 860-4840** to speak and reassure said minor children, but this phone number actually rings to Lauren Jones **Cell #: (503) 860-4840**. **For the record the actual contact for said establishment is: Bus #: (503) 364-2933. Absolutely NO entry exists anywhere in said “Incident Report – Disturbance”**
7. Narrative Paragraph 1, line 4, states, “**She said he demanded to see them. She reported that Richard “got in the face” of Terra Naught.**” Respondent did see them but left peacefully immediately upon leaving his Iowa Commercial Drivers license on the table as VIDEO RECORDED EVIDENCE

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Y4FaNQpPv0> and

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6EGPzwK-FEs> found at

[www.iowaoregonabduction.com](http://www.iowaoregonabduction.com)

8. Paragraph 2, line 4: Respondent acknowledges the written documentation contained herein submitted to an investigative reporter MAY cause, "...TV cameras here later." Respondent refutes ANY STATEMENT MADE BY RESPONDENT that infers, "**He [RESPONDENT] told me that his ex-wife [RESPONDENT EMPHASIZED RESPONDENT AND PETITIONER WERE NEVER MARRIED], Deeann Johnson, moved from Iowa three weeks ago, TAKING THEIR TWO CHILDREN, MORGAN AND NOAH.**" When incident report #SMP14022717 "**REVIEWED/APPROVED BY**" Sgt. Gordon Albert, S245, arrived on scene, Sgt. Gordon Albert, S245, stated to Respondent, "**You may have broken a law by flying from Las Vegas to Portland.**" Respondent asks the court why would said Sgt. Gordon Albert, S245, ever "**APPROVED BY**" entry in said incident report if he in fact made such a statement to Respondent but especially as a free citizen of the United States with no restraining order enforceable at the time?
9. Paragraph 3, lines 3 and 4 refers to Respondent requesting Detectivee Corporal M. Seyfried, S137, to read out loud verbiage of the current order found on Page 4, Paragraph 3, sub-paragraph "j." Said alleged peace officer states, "**It essentially said that Deeann would have to notify him of any changes of visitation WITHIN 72 HOURS.**"
10. Paragraph 4, line 1, states, "**...Richard was not on the point of contact list for Morgan and Noah, and until he was, they would not allow him to enter the**

**school and have contact with the children.”** Respondent affirms he stated to alleged peace officer M. Seyfried, S137, that that was the whole point of the visit.

11. Paragraph 5, line 1, states, **“I contacted Decann who said she moved from Iowa to Salem about 3 weeks ago WITH HER CHILDREN and she was getting REmarried.”** Respondent asserts this is FALSE TESTIMONY TO A SWORN PEACE OFFICER as evidenced by ADMISSIBLE photographic and video EVIDENCE found at [www.iowaoregonabduction.com](http://www.iowaoregonabduction.com) and [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3\\_rD-N9yeuo](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3_rD-N9yeuo) in which the file PROPERTIES [Exhibit “N”] prove it was taken on “Tuesday, June 10, 2014, 7:08:16 PM” as videotaped while under tight video surveillance at the Portland International Airport in which Port of Portland Police Department created CAD report #PA14-10509 [Exhibit “O-1 and O-2”] in which the report was closed at 18:59:37 hours, nearly eight (8) full minutes PRIOR to the ACTUAL exit of said subjects. Small children walking slowly a great distance from Frontier Airlines, Gate C-22, upon likely using the facilities along the way.

12. Paragraph 5, line 4, states, **“...Richard made comments to a Dairy Queen employee that there WOULD BE a child abduction SOON.”** Alleged peace officer M. Seyfried never interviewed Respondent for clarification in which Respondent admits he mentioned to said Dairy Queen staff that his children HAD BEEN abducted per the details contained herein. Silverton Police Department did contact Respondent directly on June 15, 2014 at 1346 hours while sitting on a bench in front of Mac’s Place on Water Street in Silverton, Oregon, to discuss the details of this matter and created Incident Report #2014-00003220 [Exhibit “P-1 thru P-4”] that includes in the narrative on page 3, paragraph 3, line 4 states, **“Richard**

**continued by telling me his ex-wife, Deeann Johnson (DOB: 7-4-69) had her sister take their mutual children without his knowledge.”** The incident report also includes in page 3, paragraph 4, on line 8, states, **“Richard told me he was creating a website so people could watch when the police took his kids away from Deeann.”** and later states on page 3, paragraph 7, line 5, **“I told Richard the things he was telling people was scaring them. Richard told me he understood and would keep his conversations with people low key.”** The last reference to said incident report is on page 4, paragraph 2 that states, **“Due to the two separate suspicious activity calls reported to me in addition to Richard’s behavior while speaking to him, I am forwarding this report to Marion County Deputy, Kevin Rau.”** For the record, immediately upon requesting said report from Silverton Police Department records division, Respondent immediately voluntarily reported to Sheriff’s Deputy Kevin Rau at 1645 hours in the Marion County Courthouse on June 23, 2014 to discuss the details contained herein and requested his direction for assistance provided within the County of Marion. Respondent thus reported to the Acute Behavior Services for Marion County at 1118 Oak Street SE, Salem, Oregon on both the evening of June 23, 2014 [**Exhibit “Q-1 thru Q-6”**] upon the assessment of Masters in Counseling, Maria Pos, and again on June 29, 2014 to report the risk of MENTAL CHILD ABUSE [**Exhibit “R-1 thru R-4”**] to Stacey A. Caraballow, MS, CADCII, QMPH due to the probably mental trauma and anguish subjected upon said children from Petitioner for all events reported herein as a mandatory reporting agency to DHS of Oregon at 4600 25<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE, Salem. For the record, Respondent reported to DHS of Oregon at the above address on Tuesday, July 1, 2014 to open an active case for said children. DHS of Oregon informed Respondent that said agency will request all records from Iowa DHS including FOUNDED

CHILD ABUSE attached herein committed by Petitioner dated July 16, 2013 as Incident Number 2013-190045 as **Exhibit “S-1 thru S-7.”** For the record Respondent witnessed another incident that occurred to Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann who was struck on the side of the head by Petitioner upon pulling Petitioner’s arm all the way back, and with a full swing, struck the side of Morgan’s head upon Morgan stating a FACT that made Petitioner accountable for her actions thereof. As there was no mark [left ear was red for 30 minutes, ear “rang” for nearly five (5) hours], the “event” was therefor “UNFOUNDED” by Iowa DHS standards as seen in **Exhibit “T.”**

**13.** Page 3, paragraph 1 states, **“Deeann said Richard had not provided proof of psychiatric treatment and had thus broken the custody agreement.”** Alleged peace officer M. Seyfried, S137, never asked Respondent for clarification regarding this detail in which Respondent’s last appointment with his Psychologist, Dr. Clint Royston was in October 2013. It was determined by the BOTH Dr. Clint Royston AND the Respondent that due to the many improvements in Respondent’s personal life working fulltime as said minor children’s assigned school bus driver both morning and afternoon routes, that no further appointments were to be scheduled until further notice. Respondent has been diagnosed as **NOT** Bipolar as alleged by Petitioner to any and all concerned parties in an effort to destroy Respondent’s personal character and credibility (inconclusive per an extensive evaluation during summer of 2011) AFTER said enforcement of said current order effective May 18, 2011 in which Petitioner, with absolutely no documentation to justify same from any psychiatric care provider during mediation of April 11, 2011, with her attorney present, **INSISTED THIS FALSE TESTIMONY BE ENTERED** delaying the proceeding until so. Mediator Kimberly Stamastelos insisted Respondent approve



said entry but after Respondent was THREATENED by said mediator who stated she would write as she saw fit due to the significant delay to her next appointment, Respondent felt COERCED AND PRESSURED UNDER SEVERE DURESS to include same in which Petitioner has used many times to prevent court ordered visitation. Other atrocities regarding this alleged mediation session of April 18, 2011 is referred to in **Exhibit “I-1 and I-2”** as verified by the “DECREE ESTABLISHING PATERNITY, CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT AND VISITATION RIGHTS in **Exhibit “X-1 thru X-9.”**

14. Page 3, paragraph 1, line 6 states, **“She was upset that we would not take preemptive action on Richard...”** For the record, as alleged peace officer M. Seyfried, S137 stated on page 3, paragraph 2, line 1 states, **“I contacted Richard and he AGAIN alluded to the fact that he would ABDUCT “RESCUE” his children.”** Respondent not only had alleged peace officer M.Seyfried, S137 read out loud the title of the **“PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT, AND CHILD VISITATION RIGHTS AND WRIT OF ASSISTANCE”** and page 9, paragraph 2 of same listed therein, but alleged peace officer M. Seyfried, S137 in stating such an incredible **FALSE TESTIMONY WAS THEREFOR, BY HIS OWN ENTRY, DERELICTION OF HIS DUTY TO ACT to place Respondent on a MANDATORY 72 HOUR PSYCHOLOGICAL HOLD due the SWORN THREAT ALLEGEDLY SPOKEN BY RESPONDENT THAT STATES, “...HE WOULD ABDUCT “RESCUE” HIS CHILDREN.”** Respondent affirms District Court Judge, Audrey J. Borwles has 100% WITHIN HER APPOINTED POWERS ACTED APPROPRIATELY TO ENFORCE SAID ORDER, ABUSE PREVENTION, RESTRAINING given the incredible assertions of the

Petitioner in that Petitioner has sworn under penalty of perjury in this court of law that she CONFIRMS Respondent has threatened to abduct and KILL his children which has been SUPPORTED BY THE WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF A SWORN PEACE OFFICER WHO STATES, “...HE WOULD ABDUCT “RESCUE” HIS CHILDREN.”

Respondent has pending complaints filed against, Detective Corporal Mark Seyfried, S137 that was reported to Salem Police Department Internal Affairs at (503) 588-6160, in which a return call was placed by Sgt. Wiedeman, Badge #504, at approximately 1530 hours on June 17, 2014 in which NO documentation regarding said phone call ever existed. Please see **Exhibit “U.”**

Sgt. Alan Graham, S243, also has a pending Internal Affairs complaint [**Exhibit “V”**] filed against him for stating in his “**Incident Report – Assist Other Agency**” #SMP14023648 generated June 22, 2014, [**Exhibit “W-1 and W-2”**] THAT AFTER SGT. ALAN GRAHAM, S243, CLEARLY EMPHASIZED TO RESPONDENT VERBALLY THAT IT IS ALWAYS AGAINST SALEM POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICY FOR ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TO DELIVER ANYTHING [**EMPHASIS ADDED!**] TO ANY CIVILIAN IN ANY CAPACITY EVER IN THE SAME MANNER AS A FEDEX CARRIER WOULD CONDUCT HIM/HERSELF, SGT. ALAN GRAHAM INSTEAD SUGGESTED THAT HE WOULD BE HAPPY TO STANDBY AS AN “OFFICER TO KEEP THE PEACE” IN THE EXCHANGE OF SAID PHONE BETWEEN RESPONDENT AND PETITIONER OR RESPONDENT AND PETITIONER’S FIANCE’ CHRISTOPHER GARNER, Sgt. Alan Graham writes in his own written report, “**I told Richard I could meet with him and pick up the phone and deliver it to his children.**” Please see supporting ADMISSIBLE video evidence at [www.iowaoregonabduction.com](http://www.iowaoregonabduction.com).

Given the Respondent’s excitement to finally be able to verbally reassure his children for the first time since seeing or talking to either children for nearly a week, who he has not spoken to since the evening hours of Tuesday, June 17, 2014 via Christopher Graham’s cellular phone up through the

date of service of said attached Restraining Order 14C31598 on June 22, 2014, thus previously VIOLATING the child custody current order, Page 5, Paragraph 8, **“The parties shall provide the children access to reasonable communication with the other parent while the children are in their care. Each party shall have, at minimum, a cell phone the children can use to communicate with the other parent. The parties shall ensure that calls are returned.”** Said Restraining Order was therefore served upon the Respondent as videotaped and ebbled at [www.iowaoregonabduction.com](http://www.iowaoregonabduction.com) in the manner indicated at approximately 1845 hours at the Circle K parking lot at the intersection of Fairgrounds Road and Highland Avenue, Salem, Oregon 97301.

Please note, given the WRITTEN APPROVAL by and of Corporal Darron Mumey, Badge #258, who “Reviewed/**APPROVED**” said Incident Report #SMP14023648 and the content and conduct as articulated therein, this officer also has a pending complaint filed in Salem Police Department Internal Affairs to answer to his direct participation to APPROVE the conduct of law enforcement contained therein regarding this matter as well.

Upon Respondent phoning Internal Affairs regarding the above referenced incredible misrepresentations of M. Seyfried, S137, and the alleged dereliction of duty and misconduct of a sworn peace officer, Sgt. A. Graham, S243, as he certifies in his own PUBLIC RECORD, Sgt. D. Aguilera, Acting “I.A.,” stated in a phone message to Respondent at 1615 hrs., Thursday, June 26, 2014 that she would forward his complaint to the APPOINTED “I.A.,” then off-duty on approved vacation leave, thus PURPOSELY DELAYING the 45 Day MANDATORY WAIT PERIOD A COMPLAINTANT MUST WAIT FOR RESOLUTION PER DEPARTMENT POLICY. Sgt. Garret, Badge unknown at time of submission, was not to return to duty until Monday, June 30, 2014. Respondent called “ACTING I.A.” Sgt. Deborah Aguilera but was only able to leave a voice mail message to remind her that SHE is “THE I.A.” and SHALL conduct herself accordingly.

On the morning of Friday, June 27, 2014, Respondent then reported to the Salem Police Department Headquarters, Records window, and requested the ACTING “I.A.” D. Aguilera discuss

the above matters in person. Respondent did his best to maintain his composure but broke down in tears after providing the above testimony and the FACT THERE WAS NO RECORD OF RESPONDENT'S PHONE CONVERSATION WHATSOEVER IN ANY INTERNAL AFFAIRS LOG regarding any details, as refuted "line-by-line" with and from Sgt. Wiedeman, Badge #504 that occurred AT ALL on June 17, 2014 to commence the 45 day process to file the complaint against the extreme misrepresentations and FALSE WRITTEN TESTIMONY of M. Seyfreid, S137, as articulated in #SMP1402271. As a result a pending complaint is now on file against Sgt. Wiedeman, #S504 for his NON RECORD of said conversation in which he verbally implied an amendment to all such misrepresentations discussed would be created that can be clearly indicated in Respondents subpoenaed phone records to verify said phone conversation did in fact occur.

Due to the fact that "ACTING I.A." Sgt. D. Aguilera refused to immediately commence her investigation into the WRITTEN TESTIMONY of Sgt. A. Graham, S243, in Incident Report #SMP14023648 as phone requested in the afternoon hours of Thursday, June 26, 2014 to commence the 45 Day MANDATORY wait period to obtain the results of said investigation per her phone message to the Respondent at exactly 1645 hours that date, she too now has a complaint on file in Internal Affairs for her conduct as well.

Sgt. Gordon Albert, S245, who was on scene as back-up and supervisory to "Incident Report – Disturbance" SMP14022717, thus the "Reviewing/APPROVING" peace officer for all details contained therein, on the morning of June 16, 2014 while in the presence of Respondent at said park bench, voluntarily stated to Respondent at that time, "**You may have broken a law for traveling to Portland LAST WEEK [EMPHASIS ADDED!] when YOU MET the children at the airport.**"

Allow it to be further entered that at exactly 0937 hrs., June 29, 2014, a call lasting 30 minutes ORIGINATING FROM Salem Police Department dispatch at (503) 378- 1911, Respondent filed a verbal complaint to the Salem Police Department Supervisory Sgt. Mary [unknown last name and badge number at the time of this writing] sworn under penalty of perjury that in a return phone

call from Sgt. Gordon Albert, S245, to Respondent at 0853 hours lasting 15 minutes this date, that in fact Sgt. G. Albert, S245 felt that, based on the Respondent's verbal testimony describing in great detail the extreme possibility of mental abuse to Respondent's said minor children upon being transported over State lines on June 10, 2014 with less than the mandatory 72 hours MINIMUM NOTICE, that he felt there was ABSOLUTELY NO EVIDENCE to justify and therefor create adequate probable cause to contact DHS of Marion County in any manner whatsoever.

Sgt. G. Albert, S245 then further instructed Respondent to contact a SUBORDINATE DETECTIVE CORPORAL at the Salem Police Department on the NON-Emergency number again if Respondent felt he wasn't satisfied with the results provided by Sgt. G. Albert in which the phone conversation concluded when Sgt. G. Albert, S245 stated, "Unless you have a question, I am going to terminate this call!" Upon Respondent begging Sgt. G. Albert, S245 to reconsider, Sgt. G. Albert, S245 again reiterated, "Do you have a question?" and after a short silence, then abruptly hung up upon Respondent. Respondent now has a pending complaint against Sgt. G. Albert, S245 for his conduct described herein as sworn by Respondent under penalty of perjury as well.

Respondent then contacted the Marion County Acute Behavioral Services later that morning, confirmed that they are in fact a reporting agency for any and all allegations that may lead to the verification and proof of child abuse. Respondent then made arrangements to attend a second psychological assessment later that early afternoon to include any appropriate intervention as recommended by the credentialed staff committed to help Respondent and any others in the need of mental support as provided to all citizens at said facility at 1118 Oak Street SE, Salem, Oregon 97301. As a result of the video, audio and written testimony provided, a report was generated and forwarded to Department of Human Services, Child abuse division at 4600 25<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE, Salem, Oregon, 97301. Please thoroughly review the attached as Exhibit

Respondent realizes he has been under extreme stress regarding the details of this matter. To back up, Respondent personally met with Kevin Rau, Marion County Sheriff's Department at 1645


hrs. on June 23, 2014 at his office in the basement level of the County of Marion Courthouse basement to discuss the facts of this matter and further requested his direction for assistance available to Respondent. Respondent then voluntarily reported to the Marion County Acute Behavior Services, 1118 Oak Street, Salem, Oregon, at 1834 hrs. this date where Respondent was evaluated by Maria Pos, Masters in Counseling, who has yet to produce a mental evaluation report that is still not prepared at the time of this submission June 30, 2014.

Respondent concurs the evaluation went extremely well as an opportunity to be fully heard and vent one last time regarding every detail of this incredible "EVENT" in which Respondent remains within miles of his two children until the moment they are returned to HIS SAFETY that he unknowingly did NOT RESPECT the potential of the "EXTREME IRRATIONAL BEHAVIORIAL" of the Petitioner as articulated and proven with admissible evidence contained herein to conspire, enroll others, and execute every deliberate step with precision to achieve what she has so far created:

**"SEPARATION OF RESPONDENT FROM HIS MINOR CHILDREN UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE AS AN OPPORTUNITY, UPON USING THE LOVE RESPONDENT HAS FOR HIS CHILDREN, TO PUNISH RESPONDENT MALICIOUSLY AS SHE SEES FIT AS SHE HAS FOR YEARS AS EVIDENCED CONTAINED HEREIN. RESPONDENT REQUESTS THE COURT TO TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION BASED ON THE ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE CONTAINED HEREIN."**

**AFFIDAVIT CONCERNING MOTION FOR CONTEMPT**

Being first duly sworn, , Richard William Hoffmann, Sr. do depose and state under penalty of perjury, that I am the Respondent herein, foregoing Motion For Contempt, know the contents thereof and that the statements and allegations contained therein are true and correct as I verily believe.



Subscribed and sworn to before me this 7<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2014



Notary Public



Copy to:

DeeAnn Rae Johnson, 1191 Winter Street NE, Salem, OR 97301

Richard William Hoffmann, Sr., 5941 Vista Drive, West Des Moines, IA 50266

File

Morgan and Noah will be with Morgan for 32 hours this week and the remainder of time with Tyler and Kenny during the overnight.



iMessage

Send

SUNDAY 2:22 PM

JUNE 8, 2014

EXHIBIT "A-1"



Ok

Monday 2:24 PM

*JUNE 9, 2014*

I wNt to but  
airline tickets  
for Morgan &  
Noah for July  
1sr before the  
fares get to  
expensive. We



iMessage

Send

*EXHIBIT "A-2"*

expensive. We don't need to wait until July 12th since Ty is staying with Kenny. So before I spend the money I want to make sure.

You are ok with



iMessage

Send

MONDAY, 7:24 PM JUNE 9, 2014

EXHIBIT "A-3"

money I want  
to make sure.  
You are ok with  
it.

Nope. Sorry to  
burst your  
honeymoon  
bubble. We can  
talk after my  
trip. Save your



iMessage

Send

MONDAY 2:24 JUNE 9, 2014

EXHIBIT "A-4"

honeymoon  
bubble. We can  
talk after my  
trip. Save your  
money. In Las  
Vegas. Will be  
at luxor

I'm going to  
get them this  
week then.



iMessage

Send

MONDAY 2:24 PM JUNE 9, 2014

EXHIBIT "A-5"

Nice try. We'll talk when it's appropriate. Have an awesome day

It's all good. You really do want or need to be reactionary



iMessage

Send

MONDAY 2:24 PM JUNE 9, 2014

EXHIBIT "A-6"

Do not! Lol. My bad

When am I going to get them july 12th? That's the original date. Why does 2 weeks matter?



iMessage

Send

MONDAY 2:24 PM JUNE 9, 2014

EXHIBIT "A-7"

Cuz.

So July 12th  
then?

So far if things  
line up to your  
original plan. :-)

When are you  
coming back?



iMessage

Send

MONDAY 2:24 PM JUNE 9, 2014

EXHIBIT "A-8"

Friday night

PS. I wouldn't  
buy tickets yet.  
Don't forget the  
deposit to bring  
you up to ZERO  
child  
support. :-)

Yesterday 11:17 AM



iMessage

Send

MONDAY 2:24 PM JUNE 9, 2014

EXHIBIT "A-9"



Calls For Service Report		Call ID: 140077841			Printed: June 11, 2014	
1. Agency DMPD	2. Person Received Complaint Davis, Joshua D	3. Date/Time Received 06/09/2014 20:25 4. Time Dispatched 20:28	5. Time Arrived 20:28 6. Time Complete 20:51	7. Case #		
8. Nature Of Incident	MISSING PERSON					
9. Location Of Incident	1212 SOUTHLAWN DR, DES MOINES, IA 50315					
10. Victim or Caller	RICH HOFFMANN					
11. Classification	12. How Received 'ADMIN' I/CAD CALL	13. Disposition ADVISED BY OFFICER	14. Officer Brockert, Christopher C	15. Date Submitted 06/09/2014		

Notes: MEET WITH KENNY STONER  
 PBX FOR KENNY -- [REDACTED]  
 \*\* LOI search completed at 06/09/14 20:25:25  
 RICH THE FATHER -- [REDACTED]

EXHIBIT "B"

Text Message  
Monday 7:37 PM

JUNE 9, 2014 (PDT)

Hey. How's it going!?! How are my kids? The decree says I SHALL have 24/7 telephone access to my children as



Text Message

Send

EXHIBIT "C-1"

children as  
necessary but  
especially  
during  
emergencies  
when I don't  
even know  
where they are.  
So. Have the  
kids call so I  
know AS THE



Text Message

Send

MONDAY 7:37 PM PDT  
JUNE 9, 2014

EXHIBIT "E-2"

kids call so I know AS THE CUSTODIAL PARENT that they are fine. Thx ! ;-)

Tuesday 2:02 PM

JUNE 10, 2014 (PDT)

Make sure u call me back cuz I will



Text Message

Send

EXHIBIT C-3<sup>4</sup>

Calls For Service Report		Call ID: 14016255			Printed: June 11, 2014	
1. Agency ANPD	2. Person Received Complaint Xayavong, Jamie L	3. Date/Time Received 06/09/2014 20:39 4. Time Dispatched 20:44	5. Time Arrived 20:51 6. Time Complete 21:08	7. Case # -		
8. Nature Of Incident	WELFARE CHECK					
9. Location Of Incident	ANKENY, IA 50023					
10. Victim or Caller	JOSH - DM					
11. Classification	12. How Received 'ADMIN' I/CAD CALL	13. Disposition CHECKED OK	14. Officer Lopez, Eric	15. Date Submitted 06/09/2014		

**Notes:** CHECKING ON WELFARE OF TWO CHILDREN...

7 AND 8 YO

\*\* LOI search completed at 06/09/14 20:39:05

AN605 -- OK EXTENDED

DMPD CASE WHERE FATHER WANTED TO FILE KIDNAPPING CHARGES. FATHER HAS NOT BEEN FOLLOWING CUSTODY ARRANGEMENT. FATHER IS CURRENTLY IN LAS VEGAS. MOTHER WANTS KIDS BACK AND IS CURRENTLY IN OREGON. KIDS ARE WITH A FAMILY FRIEND RIGHT NOW. I SPOKE TO KIDS WHO ARE EXCITED TO BE WITH THEIR MOTHER. KIDS ARE FINE

EXHIBIT "Q"

Plz have  
Morgan call me  
on her cell. It's  
off right now  
after we made  
sure it was full  
charged when  
we connected  
w Morgan  
O'Connell for



iMessage

Send

TUESDAY 11:17 AM JUNE 10, 2014

"E-1"

EXHIBIT "MAM"

O'Connell for  
babysitting.  
Thx. Yea yeah  
yeah. I heard  
through the  
police they have  
tickets to  
Portland. Geez!  
Really ya gotta  
play that game?



iMessage

Send

TUESDAY 11:17 AM JUNE 10, 2014

EXHIBIT "E-2"  
"11/17/14"

Take your honeymoon tome w Chris for a few weeks and get really settled or not. This was your opp before bringing the kids in.



iMessage

Send

TUESDAY 11:17 AM JUNE 10, 2014

EXHIBIT "E-3"



Calls For Service Report		Call ID: 14016288			Printed: June 11, 2014	
1. Agency ANPD	2. Person Received Complaint Hill, Ryan R	3. Date/Time Received 06/10/2014 09:16 4. Time Dispatched 09:16	5. Time Arrived 09:16 6. Time Complete 09:50	7. Case # -		
8. Nature Of Incident	WELFARE CHECK					
9. Location Of Incident	ANKENY, IA 50023					
10. Victim or Caller						
11. Classification	12. How Received 'OFFICER' I/CAD	13. Disposition ASSISTED	14. Officer	15. Date Submitted 06/10/2014		

**Notes:** Field Event

\*\* LOI search completed at 06/10/14 09:16:25

Noah and Morgan. Staying with Anneke and Doug Blum. Dad is Rich Hoffman and is currently in Las Vegas. Mom is Deanne Johnson and she lives in Portland Oregon. Civil Issue involving Child Custody.

Deanne's phone 515-664-5959; Doug's Phone 515-371-4055; Rich's phone 515-988-0464.

AN657 -- OK EXTENDED

AN611 -- OK EXTENDED

NOAH AND MORGAN CHECK OK. THEY WILL BE GOING TO THE AIRPORT TODAY TO MEET THEIR MOM. THEY WERE IN THE CARE OF THEIR AUNT AT THIS ADDRESS.

EXHIBIT #4

kids call so I know AS THE CUSTODIAL PARENT that they are fine. Thx ! ;-)

Tuesday 2:02 PM

JUNE 10, 2014

Make sure u call me back cuz I will

PDT



Text Message

Send

"G-1"

EXHIBIT ~~1~~"

definitely b  
addressing  
some of the  
accusations in  
your voicemail

Apparently u  
have forgotten  
that all of these  
past 8 years  
started with



Text Message

Send

TUESDAY 2:02 PM PDT  
JUNE 10, 2014

EXHIBIT "G-2"  
"A-2"  
"B-2"

started with  
you...note  
smart guy

I didn't start or  
make these  
decisions.  
Kenny and us  
have tried to  
help all to just  
get put in the



Text Message

Send

TUESDAY 2:02 PM PDT  
JUNE 10, 2014

EXHIBIT (43)

get put in the  
middle and get  
beat up

Give me a few.  
I'd love to talk  
to you. :-). Can't  
wait actually!  
How's your BP?

PS. Have  
Morgan call me



Text Message

Send

TUESDAY 2:02 PM PDT  
JUNE 10, 2014

EXHIBIT "E-4"  
"PAB"

Morgan call me  
on her cell

Comply to the  
court order! :-)

Oh sorry. Thx!  
Gotta be polite

Morgan says  
her cell is in  
your car genius



Text Message

Send

TUESDAY 2:02 PM PDT  
JUNE 10, 2014

EXHIBIT ~~15~~ <sup>26-5<sup>h</sup></sup>

Calls For Service Report		Call ID: 14016255			Printed: June 11, 2014	
1. Agency ANPD	2. Person Received Complaint Xayavong, Jamie L	3. Date/Time Received 06/09/2014 20:39 4. Time Dispatched 20:44	5. Time Arrived 20:51 6. Time Complete 11:03	7. Case # -		
8. Nature Of Incident	WELFARE CHECK					
9. Location Of Incident	413 NW WINTERBERRY ST, ANKENY, IA 50023					
10. Victim or Caller	JOSH - DM					
11. Classification	12. How Received 'ADMIN' I/CAD CALL	13. Disposition ADVISED BY OFFICER	14. Officer Lopez, Eric	15. Date Submitted 06/09/2014		

**Notes:** CHECKING ON WELFARE OF TWO CHILDREN...

7 AND 8 YO

\*\* LOI search completed at 06/09/14 20:39:05

AN605 -- OK EXTENDED

DMPD CASE WHERE FATHER WANTED TO FILE KIDNAPPING CHARGES. FATHER HAS NOT BEEN FOLLOWING CUSTODY ARRANGEMENT. FATHER IS CURRENTLY IN LAS VEGAS. MOTHER WANTS KIDS BACK AND IS CURRENTLY IN OREGON. KIDS ARE WITH A FAMILY FRIEND RIGHT NOW. I SPOKE TO KIDS WHO ARE EXCITED TO BE WITH THEIR MOTHER. KIDS ARE FINE

\*\* LOI search completed at 06/11/14 11:00:11

\*\* Event ANP14016255 has been reopened at: 06/11/14 11:00:12

\*\* &gt;&gt;&gt;&gt; by: ANGELA S. COUCH on terminal: ips-disp7704

FATHER "ALLEDGEDLY" DID NOT FOLLOW CHILD CUSTODY ARRANGEMENT ACCORDING TO THIRD PARTY. OFFICERS DID NOT HAVE ACCESS TO CIVIL DOCUMENT SHOWING CHILD CUSTODY ARRANGEMENT.

EXHIBIT 

	Cash Bank	Acct. # /	Paid by	Amount	Amount	Home	Child Support
	Deposit	Check #	Check/Other	Rich's	Borrowed	Expenses	Recovery Unit
				Pay check	from Rich	While	Payments
				deposit	and paid	living	
				was reduced	back as	together	
				too.	a credit to		
					monthly		
					child		
					support		
					payment		
Sep-07	\$ 700.00						
Oct-07	\$ 700.00						
Nov-07	\$ 700.00						
Dec-07	\$ 700.00						
Jan-08	\$ 700.00						
Feb-08	\$ 700.00						
Mar-08	\$ 700.00						
Apr-08	\$ 700.00						
May-08	\$ 700.00						
Jun-08	\$ 700.00						
2-Jul-08	\$ 200.00	8086					
3-Jul-08	\$ 500.00	462					
14-Jul-08	\$ 300.00	8086					
28-Jul-08	\$ 600.00	8086					
11-Sep-08			\$ 1,403.71	Rented truck/gas to move DeeAnn's belongings to IA			
22-Sep-08	\$ 600.00	8086					
30-Sep-08	\$ 600.00	462					
4-Nov-08	\$ 600.00	462		\$900.00			
5-Dec-08	\$ 500.00	462		\$1,000.00		\$ 500.00	
11-Dec-08					\$ 65.00		
5-Jan-09	\$ 500.00	462		\$1,400.00		\$ 500.00	
2-Feb-09	\$ 500.00	462		\$1,400.00		\$ 500.00	
2-Mar-09	\$ 500.00	462		\$900.00		\$ 500.00	
3-Apr-09	\$ 500.00	462		\$1,000.00		\$ 500.00	
5-May-09	\$ 500.00	462			\$ 40.00	\$ 500.00	
6-May-09		462			\$ 30.00		
21-May-09		462			\$ 20.00		
1-Jun-09	\$ 500.00	462		\$1,370.43		\$ 500.00	
2-Jul-09	\$ 500.00	462		\$1,370.43		\$ 500.00	
3-Aug-09	\$ 500.00					\$ 500.00	
2-Sep-09	\$ 500.00					\$ 500.00	
16-Sep-09					\$ 265.00		
22-Sep-09					\$ 125.00		
2-Oct-09	\$ 500.00					\$ 500.00	
15-Oct-09					\$ 20.00		
2-Nov-09	\$ 500.00					\$ 500.00	
13-Nov-09					\$ 40.00		
16-Nov-09					\$ 150.00		
25-Nov-09					\$ 180.00		
3-Dec-09	\$ 500.00						
3-Jan-10	\$ 500.00	1125		\$750.00			
27-Jan-10					\$ 35.00		
29-Jan-10					\$ 165.00		
1-Feb-10	\$ 500.00			\$940.00			
23-Feb-10					\$ 120.00		
1-Mar-10	\$ 500.00						
2-Apr-10		5003	\$ 500.00				
14-Apr-10					\$ 115.00		
30-Apr-10		5004	\$ 700.00				
1-Jun-10		5006	\$ 500.00				
7-Jun-10					\$ 300.00		
2-Jul-10		1141	\$ 101.00				
2-Jul-10		5009	\$ 500.00		\$ 85.00		
30-Jul-10		5012	\$ 100.00				
2-Aug-10	\$ 500.00			\$160.00			
24-Aug-10					\$ 75.00		
31-Aug-10		5021	\$ 9.13				

EXHIBIT  
J-2



E-FILED 2014 JUN 07 3:12 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT							
31-Aug-10							
7-Sep-10	\$ 500.00			\$770.00	\$ 250.00		
13-Sep-10					\$ 25.00		
4-Oct-10	\$ 500.00						
14-Oct-10							\$15.00
2-Nov-10						Money Order	\$135.00
1-Dec-10	HALF MY PAYCHECK STOLEN!!!			Payroll Deduction		<b>\$1,214.60</b>	
1-Jan-11	HALF MY PAYCHECK STOLEN!!!			Payroll Deduction		<b>\$1,214.60</b>	
1-Feb-11	HALF MY PAYCHECK STOLEN!!!			Payroll Deduction		<b>\$1,214.60</b>	
1-Mar-11					Payroll Deduction	\$742.80	
1-Apr-11					Payroll Deduction	\$742.80	
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 19,900.00</b>		<b>\$ 3,823.89</b>				<b>\$5,279.40</b>
<b>Child Support Paid</b>	<b>\$ 29,003.29</b>						

EXH BIT  
"I-2"

ACTUAL Child Support owed per month if calculated with accurate earnings in Aug 2007 would've been LESS than <b>\$580.00</b> for the period from September 1, 2007 through February 28, 2011 for forty two <b>42</b> months plus <b>2</b> months at <b>\$619.00</b> is <b>\$25,598.00</b> owed.	
<b>ACTUAL Child Support Owed:</b>	<b>\$25,598.00</b>
<b>DOCUMENTED Support Paid:</b>	<b>\$29,003.29</b>
<b>ACTUAL Child Support Arrearages Due:</b>	<b>-\$3,405.29</b>

**Child Support Arrearages Ordered (COERCED UNDER DURESS): \$4,000.00**  
**ACCURATE Arrearages Due: -3,405.29**

**DeeAnn Johnson MANIPULATED, EXTORTED NET PROFIT: \$7,405.29**

DeeAnn Rae Johnson was SUBPOENAED to produce Bank Records dating back to August 2007. For the record DeeAnn Johnson VIOLATED this subpoena and refused to produce same as INSTRUCTED BY LAW that CLEARLY CONFIRMS THE ABOVE to be present during the coerced, manipulated mediation session of April 18, 2011. DeeAnn Rae Johnson then took advantage of this CREATED opportunity to LEVERAGE the IGNORANCE of mediator Kim Stamastelos to present FALSE TESTIMONY in an effort to BENEFIT DeeAnn Rae Johnson with FABRICATED FALSE EVIDENCE that I in any way shape or form in fact earned EXACTLY \$127,200.00 each and every year while on a Medical Disability Retirement leading up to August 17, 2007. Her attorney, Jonathan Coy, ESQ. created the CRIMINALLY FABRICATED EXACT \$1,600.00 per month child support order that I signed off on because I was threatened I would never see my children again. DeeAnn Rae Johnson stated she would move out of the State of Iowa and change her name and the names of the children and leave no trace of either child if I did not sign off on these documents. She then demanded I pay her CASH directly into her U.S. Bank account in the Sacramento California branch office any amount I could as listed on the spread sheet above. I continued to do so until I moved in with her in late Novemeber of 2008 when I began to pay her \$1000.00 per month for child support and house rent. Three (3) years later, DeeAnn then REPORTED to Child Support Recovery that I had paid ZERO (\$0.00) and demanded FULL PAYMENT of all arrearages totalling over \$62,000.00. The above was then negotiated under SEVERE DURESS at the risk a judge may not accept any payments made as a "Gift." NET PROFIT \$7,405.29 Then she refused to pay back my mother \$3000 she owed her because nothing was allegedly placed in writing. When you total both the EXTORTED child support arrearages and the amount owed my mom, it totals \$10,405.29

7979103

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR POLK COUNTY

FILED  
POLK COUNTY, IA  
11 MAY 13 PM 1:26  
CLERK DISTRICT COURT

Upon the Petition of	)	
	)	
DEEANN R. JOHNSON,	)	CASE NO. DRCV37370
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
and Concerning	)	
	)	
RICHARD W. HOFFMANN,	)	<b>ORDER MODIFYING DECREE</b>
	)	<b>ESTABLISHING PATERNITY,</b>
Respondent.	)	<b>CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT AND</b>
	)	<b>VISITATION RIGHTS</b>

On the 18th day of April, 2011, the parties mediated Respondent's Application for Modification and reached an agreement on all issues contained therein. The Court having reviewed the file and being fully advised in the premises, FINDS as follows:

1. The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter.
2. The Petitioner, DeeAnn R. Johnson, born July 4, 1969, resides at 1008 NE Kamies Lane, Ankeny, Polk County, Iowa 50021.
3. The Respondent, Richard W. Hoffmann, born April 4, 1961, resides at 1006 SE Belmont Drive, Apt. #12, Ankeny, Polk County, Iowa.
4. The parties have two minor children: Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann, born September 13, 2005, and Noah Christian Johnson-Hoffmann, born October 5, 2006.
5. A Modification Order should be entered as hereinafter set forth.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that the Decree Establishing Paternity, Custody, Child Support and Visitation Rights entered August 17, 2007 shall be modified as follows:

1. The Petitioner and Respondent are hereby awarded joint legal custody of the parties' minor children: Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann, born September 13, 2005, and Noah Christian Johnson-Hoffmann, born October 5, 2006.

The parties shall have the following rights and responsibilities as joint legal custodians of the child:

- a. Both parents shall have legal access to information concerning the child, including but not limited to medical, educational and law enforcement records.
- b. Both parents shall participate equally in the rights and responsibilities of legal custodians, including but not limited to decisions affecting the child's legal status, medical care, education, extracurricular activities and religious training.
- c. The parties shall consult with each other with respect to the education, religious training, medical care, extracurricular activities and all other matters related to the child, whose well-being and development shall at all times be of paramount consideration to the parties. If either party has knowledge of any illness, accident or other matter seriously affecting the well-being of the child, that party shall promptly notify the other and, except in emergencies, shall not take any action without consulting the other.
- d. Each parent will support the right of the other to love and discipline the child. Disciplinary actions taken by one parent will be supported by the other parent. Disagreements about child-rearing practices will be resolved outside the presence of the child.
- e. Each of the parties shall act to foster feelings of affection and respect between the child and the other party, and neither will do anything which may estrange the child from the other party or impair the child's high regard for the other party.

- f. The parties shall consult on the child's activities. The parties shall cooperate in coordinating and carrying out planned activities for the child.
2. Petitioner shall have primary physical care of the parties' minor children.
3. Unless otherwise agreed, Respondent shall have visitation with the parties' minor children as follows:
  - a. Each weekday from 3:00 p.m. until 6:00 p.m.
  - b. Every other weekend on Saturday from 8:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. and Sunday from 8:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. Once Respondent has living quarters where the children each have their own bed, every other weekend commencing on Friday at 3:00p.m. and continuing until Sunday at 6:00 p.m.
  - c. Martin Luther King Day, President's Day, Memorial Day, and Veteran's Day each year from 6:00 p.m. the day before to 6:00 p.m. the day of the holiday.
  - d. In even numbered years, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day from 6:00 p.m. the day before to 6:00 p.m. the day of the holiday.
  - e. In odd numbered years, New Year's Day and Christmas Eve from 6:00 p.m. the day before to 6:00 p.m. the day of the holiday.
  - f. The children's birthdays and Father's Day each year from 8:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. (likewise Petitioner shall have the children on her birthday and Mother's Day from 8:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m.).
  - g. Winter Vacation visitation shall be on each weekday from 8:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m.

- h. School Break visitation shall be on each weekday from 8:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m.
- i. Summer Visitation shall be on each weekday from 12:00 p.m. until 6:00 p.m. Petitioner shall provide Respondent monthly schedules for the children's activities in June, July, and August by the 20th of the preceding month. Each party shall be entitled to two 7 day periods per summer for out of town vacations. A party wishing to exercise their out of town vacation shall give 14 days prior notice with complete itinerary and contact information.
- j. Any other times as agreed upon by the parties or in writing with 72 hours notice if not agreed.

4. Respondent shall immediately, and on a semi-annual basis going forward, execute a release for his treating psychiatrist to determine whether he is in compliance with his medication and counseling treatment. If Respondent is not in compliance, weekend visitation and holidays will cease including overnights until Respondent is in compliance.

5. Unless otherwise agreed, Respondent shall pick the children up at school or at Petitioner's residence to commence visitation and Petitioner shall pick the children up at Respondent's residence at the conclusion of the visitation.

6. Each party shall have a First Right of Refusal, should the other party not be able to have the children in their immediate physical care for any time lasting more than 3 hours. Prior to hiring or leaving the children in the care of another, the party with whom the children are with, will advise the other party that they will be away from the children for 3 or more hours and allow the other parent the opportunity to take the children into their care.

7. Should the parties not be able to mutually work out a parenting schedule conflict, the parties shall utilize a mediator or seek family counseling to help resolve the conflict prior to seeking any court assistance. Any fees and costs resulting from utilizing a mediator or family counsel shall be paid equally.

8. The parties shall provide the children access to reasonable communication with the other parent while the children are in their care. Each party shall have, at minimum, a cell phone the children can use to communicate with the other parent. The parties shall ensure that call are returned.

9. If either party plans to move, that party shall give 30 days notice to the other party.

10. Respondent shall pay to Petitioner the sum of \$619.00 per month, as and for child support, beginning June 1, 2011 and shall continue on the first day of each month thereafter until the parties' children reach the age of 18 years or graduates from high school, whichever event last occurs, marries, dies, or otherwise becomes self-supporting or emancipated, whichever earliest occurs. When only one child remains subject to support, Respondent shall pay to Petitioner the sum of \$421.00 per month, as and for child support. Petitioner releases and satisfies all child support arrearages as of April 18, 2011 except \$4,000, which shall be paid to Petitioner in the sum of \$124.00 per month until satisfied with the ability to pay the full amount at any time. All child support shall be paid through the Collection Services Center, P.O. Box 9125, Des Moines, Iowa 50306-9125. Judgment is hereby entered on behalf of Petitioner and against Respondent for said child support sums as they become due and remain unpaid. An order for mandatory income withholding by Respondent's employer for payment of child support shall be entered immediately.


11. Each party to this action shall file with the Collection Services Center upon entry of this decree, and shall update as appropriate, information on the location and identity of the party, including social security number, residential and mailing addresses, telephone number, driver's license number, and name, address and telephone number of the party's employer. In any subsequent child support action initiated by the Child Support Recovery Unit or between the parties, upon sufficient showing that diligent effort has been made to ascertain the location of such party, the unit or the Court may deem due process requirements for notice and service of process met with respect to the party, upon delivery of written notice to the most recent residential or employer address filed with the Collection Services Center pursuant to the Decree. This information shall only be disclosed and used pursuant to Iowa Code §598.22B and shall not be deemed a public record.

12. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to order either or both parties to pay the remaining post secondary education subsidy to financially assist any or all of the parties' children with post high school education or vocational training pursuant to Iowa Code §598.21F (2011).


13. Petitioner shall claim both children as dependents. If child support is modified in a subsequent proceeding, dependent exemptions may be reviewed for modification as well.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that any portions of the Decree Establishing Paternity, Custody, Child Support and Visitation Rights not specifically modified herein shall remain in full force and effect.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that each party shall pay his or her own attorney fees for this action, and shall equally divide the costs of the action.

  
JUDGE - FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

  
DeeAnn R. Johnson, Petitioner

  
Richard W. Hoffmann, Pro Se Respondent



  
Espnola F. Cartmill  
Belin McCormick, P.C.  
666 Walnut St., Ste. 2000  
Des Moines IA 50309  
ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER  
J0403V0001\ (00985803).DOC

EXHIBIT "5-7"





DAS MARYMUN 378 6704  
503 378 6704  
800 854 3508

EXHIBIT "K-1"




EXHIBIT "K-24"

**Subject:** RE: Intended filing in District Court in and for Polk County  
**From:** <DeeAnn.Johnson@wellsfargo.com>(Add as Preferred Sender)  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 16, 2014 4:34 pm  
**To:** <dad@iowaoregonabduduction.com>

**From** Subject (Thread Messages)

**Date**

**Size**

Bottom line I have primary physical care of Morgan and Noah. I'm filing a restraining order. You might get to see Morgan and Noah a year from now.

**DeeAnn Johnson**  
**Underwriter**  
**Pacific Division Retail Investor/Agency NDS**  
**MAC P6184-040**  
**Fax # 866-931-0365**

If this email was sent to you as an unsecured message, it is not intended for confidential or sensitive information. If you cannot respond to this e-mail securely, please do not include your social security number, account number, or any other personal or financial information in the content of the email. This may be a promotional email. To discontinue receiving promotional emails from Wells Fargo Bank N.A., including Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, click here [NoEmailRequest@wellsfargo.com](mailto:NoEmailRequest@wellsfargo.com). Wells Fargo Home Mortgage is a division of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. All rights reserved. Equal Housing Lender. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage-2701 Wells Fargo Way-Minneapolis, MN 55467-8000

**From:** dad@iowaoregonabduduction.com [mailto:dad@iowaoregonabduduction.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 16, 2014 4:20 PM  
**To:** Johnson, DeeAnn  
**Cc:** daddy@iowaoregonabduduction.com  
**Subject:** Intended filing in District Court in and for Polk County

Please see the attached.

I have had every intention of filing this prepared and notarized Motion to Petition for Modification of Custody, Child Support, and Child Visitation Rights PLUS a Writ of Assistance to be executed by the Marion County Sheriff's Department in the State of Oregon [just as I explained to Officer Mark Seyfried of the Salem Police Department this morning yet he ERRONEOUSLY included verbiage in his report the AFFIRMATION that it was "I" who was in fact was planning to allegedly abduct Noah and Morgan as false entries from the exchange of information provided him] This will be executed online with [www.iowacourts.gov](http://www.iowacourts.gov) by twelve noon tomorrow, Tuesday, June 16, 2014 IF you do not respond at that time to confirm you will in fact:

Fully cooperate to, unlike your purposeful misinformation to Officer Seyfried that you moved to Oregon three (3) weeks ago WITH BOTH CHILDREN ON THE SAME PLANE OR IN THE SAME VEHICLE YOU ALLEGEDLY DRIVEN when the EVIDENCE on your

EXHIBIT 42-13

credit card and the evidence of the police "Event" reports created by both Des Moines Police Department and Ankeny Police Departments confirms the children were in FACT taken from Kenneth Stoner's residence by alleged abductor Anika L. Blum and her accomplice Doug M. Blum of 413 Winterberry Street, Ankeny, Iowa 50023, held against their will, and then transported over State lines to Denver to meet with you to be later flown to Portland International Airport arriving ten minutes after its scheduled time of 6:32 PM to arrive at 6:42 PM as the flight logs clearly verify:

You SHALL first allow me to speak to BOTH Morgan and Noah this evening as court ordered under the current custodial agreement. Please do not violate same as you continuously do.

Second, you provide both your work phone and work address without further delay

And lastly, you deliver both Morgan and Noah to the Salem Police Department by 7:00 PM

Or I will publish a website as [www.iowaoregonabduction.com](http://www.iowaoregonabduction.com) with all the true events WITH CONVICTING VIDEO of all said events that will further destroy your credibility with local law enforcement agencies including here in Oregon as well.

You have until noon tomorrow to express your decision or the attached SHALL be filed as promised above.

Your anticipated cooperation and is both expected and greatly appreciated.

Have a great day! Look forward to hearing from you my 12:00 PM noon tomorrow.

Daddy

EXHIBIT 2-24

# Crime/Incident Report

Print Date: 06/16/2014 11:57:00

## SALEM POLICE DEPARTMENT

Case Id <b>SMP14022717</b>	Type Description <b>DISTRB</b>	DISTRB	Report Date <b>06/16/2014 8:19</b>
Location <b>725 MARKET ST NE</b>		Occurred From <b>06/16/2014 8:19</b>	Occurred To <b>06/16/2014 10:09</b>
District <b>SMP02</b>	Linked Incident		

Department Routing  
; DVA ; TAT ; YSU ; DIST 2

### SYNOPSIS

### OFFENSES

OFFENSE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION TYPE	UCR
01360	Disturbance/Noise CHILD CUSTODY DISPUTE	SCH	999

### INVOLVED PARTIES

INVOLVED	NAME	DOB	AGE	SEX	RACE	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	HAIR	EYE
INVOLVED	HOFFMAN, RICHARD WILLIAM 5941 VISTA DR Apt 44, WEST DES MOINE IA Home #: ( ) Bus #: ( ) SSN: [REDACTED] DLN: [REDACTED] IA	[REDACTED]	53	M	WHI	215 lbs	6R06in	BLN	BLU
INVOLVED	JOHNSON, DEEANN RAE 1191 WINTER ST NE, SALEM OR Home #: ( ) Bus #: ( ) SSN: [REDACTED] DLN: [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	44	F	WHI				
OTHER	JOHNSON, MORGAN 1191 WINTER ST NE, SALEM OR Home #: ( ) Bus #: ( ) SSN: [REDACTED] DLN: [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	8	F	WHI				
OTHER	JOHNSON, NOAH 1191 WINTER ST NE, SALEM OR Home #: ( ) Bus #: ( ) SSN: [REDACTED] DLN: [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	8	M	WHI				
OTHER	LEMONS, KRINA 725 MARKET ST NE, OR Home #: ( ) Bus #: (503) 860-4840 SSN: [REDACTED] DLN: [REDACTED]			F	WHI				
WITNESS	JONES, LAUREN 725 MARKET ST NE, SALEM OR Home #: (503) 860-4840 Bus #: ( ) SSN: [REDACTED] DLN: [REDACTED]			F	WHI				

*SALEM-KEIZER EDUCATION  
FOUNDATION CAMP  
(503) 364-2933*

*Cell #: (503) 860-9840  
ACTUALLY CELL*

*503 589-2001 Ext. 11137*

*EXHIBIT 'm-1'*

WITNESS	NAUGHT, TERRA	F	WHI
	725 MARKET ST NE, SALEM OR		
	Home #: (	Bus #: (503) 860-4840	Cell #: (
	SSN:	DLN:	
<b>PROPERTY</b>			
<b>MO</b>			
<b>NARRATIVE</b>			
<p>On 061614 I responded to Grant School, 725 Market St NE on a disturbance. The caller, Lauren Jones, summer school staff, called to report that a male, Richard Hoffman had arrived at the school and waited for an hour and a half, and then confronted school staff about his children, (O)Morgan and (O)Noah. She said he demanded to see them, She reported that Richard "got in the face" of Terra Naught, summer school coordinator, and filmed her when she told him to leave. She said eventually he did leave the building and sat on a bench in front of the school.</p> <p>Upon arrival I contacted Richard Hoffman who was very polite and respectful, but would go from being relatively calm to angry. He talked continuously, quickly and would jump from one train of thought to another, but most having to do with child custody issues. He immediately mentioned that this issue would be very big and that "there's going to be TV cameras here later." He told me that his ex wife, Deeann Johnson, moved from Iowa three weeks ago, taking their two children, Morgan and Noah.</p> <p>Richard showed me a copy of an unnotorized, unsigned copy of the custody agreement, from Iowa, from April 2011. He pointed out one section and asked me to read it out loud. It essentially said that Deeann would have to notify him of any changes of visitation within 72 hours. I also noticed that one section said that Richard would have to provide proof of psychiatric care.</p> <p>I contacted Teena Naught and Lauren Jones who said that Richard was not on the point of contact list for Morgan and Noah, and until he was, they would not allow him to enter the school and have contact with the children. They also said Richard was trespassed from Grant School property for his conduct this morning.</p> <p>I contacted Deeann who said she moved from Iowa to Salem about 3 weeks ago with her children and she was getting remarried. She said Richard came out and she allowed him to have some contact with the children. She said during one episode at a Dairy Queen in Silverton, Richard made comments to a Dairy Queen employee that there would be a child abduction soon. She said Silverton Police took a report on this incident.</p>			

EXHIBIT "M-2"

Deeann said Richard had not provided proof of psychiatric treatment and had thus broken the custody arrangement. I told her that these were civil issues and recommended she get an attorney. I informed her about restraining orders and to call the police of any future incidents including trespass. She said she wanted me to tell Richard that he was trespassed from her home. I told her to call 911 in case he returned. She was upset that we would not take preemptive action on Richard, and I told her that so far this was a civil, child custody case and encouraged her to contact an attorney, get a restraining order if need be, and have a safety plan.

I contacted Richard and he again alluded to the fact that he would abduct "rescue" his children. I told him that he needed to contact an attorney regarding child custody issues. I told him he was trespassed from Grant School and Deeann's residence. He said he understood.

On the way back to my car, Richard stopped me and started talking in detail about his child custody issues and past incidents and legal actions. He talked like this for over five minutes. The entire time he was very polite and respectful, but he talked continuously and quickly changed from story to story. He sometimes become angry, and then apologized.

Closed

SUMMARY		
REVIEW STATUS: APPROVED	REVIEWED BY: S245	DATE: 6/16/2014 10:57:45AM
INVESTIGATOR ASSIGNED:	ASSIGNED DATE:	
DEPT. CASE DISPOSITION: CLOSED	DATE: 6/16/2014 9:11:24AM	
UCR STATUS: NA	DATE: 6/16/2014 9:11:24AM	IBR EXEP CLEAR CLASS: NA
Reporting Officer	Reviewed/Approved by	Date Reviewed/Approved
S137 SEYFRIED,MARK	S245 ALBERT,GORDON SGT	06/16/2014 10:57

1A 503 588-6160

SLT WAF DE MANN 504

EXHIBIT "M-3"

Date/Time	Address	Agent / Workstation
06/16/2014 08:19:32	725 MARKET ST NE ( 725 MARKET ST NE, GRANT COMMUNITY SCHOOL (MapBook:3419), SALEM )	SPETTERSON / CP14

**Person 1 of 1**

Last Name : HOFFMAN      First Name RICHARD      Middle Name :  
 Suffix :      DOB : ██████████      SOC :  
 Involvement OTHER      DL State : OR      DL Number :  
 Address :      Phone:  
 Race :      Gender :      Hair Color :  
 Weight :      Height :      Eye Color :  
 Agent : DWENTZ      Creation : 06/16/2014 08:20:49  
 Note :

**Modifications**

Date/Time	Attribute	Value	Agent / Workstation
06/16/2014 08:18:55	Response Notes	[503-860-4840] FATHER OF STUDENT AT LOC WAS GETTING IN THE FACE OF A TEACHER	SPETTERSON / CP14
06/16/2014 08:19:03	Response Notes	[503-860-4840] UNK WEAPONS, UNK INTOX	SPETTERSON / CP14
06/16/2014 08:19:30	Response Notes	[503-860-4840] FATHER HAS BEEN ASKED TO LEAVE , IS NOW SITTING ON FRONT STEPS OF SCHOOL	SPETTERSON / CP14
06/16/2014 08:19:59	Response Notes	FATHER/ HOFFMAN, RICHARD DOB/ ██████████	SPETTERSON / CP14
06/16/2014 08:20:25	Response Notes	FATHER HAS THREATENED TO ABDUCT HIS KIDS	SPETTERSON / CP14
06/16/2014 08:20:49	Response Notes	FATHER HAS BEEN AT LOC FOR 1 1/2 HOURS FOR HIS CHILDREN TO SHOW UP AS HE PAID FOR THE CAMP AND WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE KIDS ARRIVED	SPETTERSON / CP14
06/16/2014 08:21:02	Response Notes	KIDS ARE AT LOC WITH THERE MOM BUT FATHER IS NOT LEAVING	SPETTERSON / CP14
06/16/2014	Unit Statuses	S137 - DISPATCH	MSEYFRIED /

EXHIBIT "M-4"



08:21:19			SMP69668
06/16/2014	Response Notes	(S137) S137 Status has been	PPSS /
08:21:20		changed to DISPATCH	VIPERCOMMSYS
		Agent:MSEYFRIED	
		Workstation:SMP69668	
06/16/2014	Response Notes	FATHER/ WMA 603	SPETTERSON / CP14
08:22:09		AVERAGE BUILD REDDISH	
		SHORT HAIR LSW WHI	
		POLO SHIRT W/ FIRE DEPT	
		INSIGNIA BLU WORK	
		PANTS	
06/16/2014	Unit Statuses	S137 - AT SCENE	MSEYFRIED /
08:22:20			SMP69668
06/16/2014	Response Notes	(S137) S137 Status has been	PPSS /
08:22:20		changed to AT SCENE	VIPERCOMMSYS
		Agent:MSEYFRIED	
		Workstation:SMP69668	
06/16/2014	Response Notes	HAS CALMED DOWN	SPETTERSON / CP14
08:22:21			
06/16/2014	Response Notes	FATHER WAS FILMING	SPETTERSON / CP14
08:23:48		THE COORDINATOR	
		WHILE BEING ASKED TO	
		LEAVE	
06/16/2014	Response Notes	COORDINATOR/ NAUGHT,	SPETTERSON / CP14
08:23:59		TERRA	
06/16/2014	Response Notes	(S245) S245 Status has been	PPSS /
08:50:54		changed to DISPATCH	VIPERCOMMSYS
		Agent:DWENTZ	
		Workstation:CP09	
06/16/2014	Unit Statuses	S245 - DISPATCH	DWENTZ / CP09
08:50:54			
06/16/2014	Response Notes	(S245) S245 Status has been	PPSS /
08:51:10		changed to ENROUTE	VIPERCOMMSYS
		Agent:DWENTZ	
		Workstation:CP09	
06/16/2014	Unit Statuses	S245 - ENROUTE	DWENTZ / CP09
08:51:10			
06/16/2014	Response Notes	(S245) S245 Status has been	PPSS /
08:54:25		changed to AT SCENE	VIPERCOMMSYS
		Agent:GALBERT	
		Workstation:SMP69626	
06/16/2014	Unit Statuses	S245 - AT SCENE	GALBERT / SMP69626
08:54:25			
06/16/2014	Case Number	SMP14022717	DWENTZ / CP09
09:08:07			
06/16/2014	Unit Statuses	S245 - CLEAR	GALBERT / SMP69626
09:11:12			
06/16/2014	Response Notes	(S245) S245 Status has been	PPSS /
09:11:13		changed to CLEAR	VIPERCOMMSYS
		Agent:GALBERT	
		Workstation:SMP69626	

EXHIBIT 4M-54

06/16/2014 10:19:35	Disposition Code	05- CARD REPORT	MSEYFRIED / SMP69668
06/16/2014 10:19:37	Response Notes	(S137) S137 Status has been changed to CLEAR Agent:MSEYFRIED Workstation:SMP69668	PPSS / VIPERCOMMSYS
06/16/2014 10:19:37	Unit Statuses	S137 - CLEAR	MSEYFRIED / SMP69668

EXHIBIT 4M-6.

# CAD Response Report

**Sequence # :** SMP201406160050

<b>Response Type</b> DISTRB	<b>Case Number</b> SMP14022717	<b>Call Answered</b> 06/16/2014 08:17:34
<b>Created</b> 06/16/2014 08:19:32	<b>Dispatched</b> 06/16/2014 08:21:19	<b>Terminated</b> 06/16/2014 10:19:38

**CAD Information**

Response Type: DISTRB  
 Primary Unit : S137 - SEYFRIED,MARK  
 Assisting Units : S245 - ALBERT,GORDON  
 Response Origin : P911  
 Disposition Code :

**Location Information**

Validated Address : True


RP Location : COORDINATOR FOR AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAMS  
 Common Place : GRANT COMMUNITY SCHOOL  
 Zone : SMP02 Dispatch Group : DIST 02  
 House Number : 725 Municipality : 725  
 Street Name : MARKET State :  
 Suffix : Zip Code :  
 Street Type : ST X : 7547998.9039722197  
 Cross Streets : Y : 478185.0436351280  
 Free Format Address : 725 MARKET ST NE ( 725 MARKET ST NE, GRANT COMMUNITY SCHOOL  
 Address : (MapBook:3419), SALEM )

**Callers**

<u>Date/Time</u>	<u>Caller Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Agent / Workstation</u>
06/16/2014 08:17:34	JONES, LAURA	COORDINATOR FOR AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAMS	503- 860- 4840	SPETTERSON/ CP14

**Address History**

*EXHIBIT "m7"*



Libraries > Pictures > 2014-06-15

Organize Play Share with Slide show Email Burn New folder

Search 2014-06-15

Arrange by: Folder

001 002

002 Properties

General Security Details Previous Versions

002

Type of file: QuickTime Movie (.MOV)

Opens with: Windows Media Player Change...

Location: C:\Users\Reward (800)656-1624\Pictures\2014-06-

Size: 144 MB (151,864,890 bytes)

Size on disk: 144 MB (151,867,392 bytes)

Created: Sunday, June 15, 2014, 1:28:04 PM

Modified: Sunday, June 15, 2014, 1:28:04 PM

Accessed: Sunday, June 15, 2014, 1:28:04 PM

Attributes:  Read-only  Hidden

OK Cancel Apply

EXHIBIT 201

Port of Portland Communications Center

Request for CAD printout or Audio recordings.

CAD  Audio

PORT POLICE CASE NUMBER OR CAD # (IF KNOWN)

14-10509

DATE / TIME INCIDENT OCCURRED

6/10/14 @ 18:34:20

DATE / TIME OF REQUEST

7/1/14 @ 1306

TYPE OF INCIDENT INVOLVED

Child Custody

LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE

ABC Meet & Greet / PDX Terminal / 7000 NE Airport Way, Portland OR 97218

NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON MAKING REQUEST

Hoffman, Richard 4/4/61

PHONE

515-988-0464

NAME AND ADDRESS OF REQUESTING AGENCY

EMAIL ADDRESS

Richard@portfire.com

DELIVER ITEM(S) TO (NAME, TITLE AND ADDRESS)

DELIVERED VIA EMAIL/NAME PROVIDED

A. Has your agency reviewed the requested audio recording or CAD printout and with whom?

ABOVE SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE REQUESTING AGENCY

Complete above request and fax to 503-460-4493 or e-mail chuck.haneca@portofportland.com

(To be completed by Port of Portland staff)

1. COMMUNICATIONS CENTER MANAGER OR DESIGNEE.

Approved:  YES  NO Date 7/1/14 By: [Signature]

Reason for denial:

~~2. PORT FIRE CHIEF OR DESIGNEE IF APPLICABLE:~~

Approved:  YES  NO Date \_\_\_\_\_ By: \_\_\_\_\_

Reason for denial:

3. PORT POLICE CHIEF OR DESIGNEE:

Approved:  YES  NO Date 7/1/14 By: [Signature]

Reason for denial:

4. PORT LEGAL/RISK: Are there legal issues that limit or prevent release of requested item(s)?  YES  NO

Approved:  YES  NO Date \_\_\_\_\_ By: \_\_\_\_\_

Reason for denial:

FINAL APPROVAL - CHIEF PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER or designee:

I authorize release of the item(s) listed below to the above-listed agency and requestor.

[Signature]

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

DELIVERY OF RELEASED ITEM(S):

List item(s) released: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Delivered by (print name): \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Received by (print name): \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_

EXHIBIT 90-14

CALL: PA14-10509 CLEARED (R)

Desk/  
Time Date Unit ID  
18:34:20 06 10 PDX2 25127

Priority: 4 Received by: T TYPED  
Initial: ASSIST  
Final: ASSIST

Reporting: 36834

STUDY:  
ABC MEET GREET

12554-2 OREGON MARKET PIA

Community: PORT County: MU District: PA Zone: PA

Contact: HOFFMAN G1: RICH G2: Sex: DOB:

ABC MEET N GREET. STANDBY IN THE AREA WHILE RP WAITS FOR HIS KIDS TO COME

THROUGH AREA..RP IN A WHI POLO THAT SAYS "HPT FIRE RESCUE" ON IT, NAVY BLDG

PAVTS, SANDALS

ER:447 PT 36834 12554-2 OREGON MARKET PIA

AV:447 PT 36834 12554-2 OREGON MARKET PIA

OS:447 PT 36834 12554-2 OREGON MARKET PIA

AV:447 PT 36834 12554-2 OREGON MARKET PIA

Cleared by:CHANGED TO:G

Clear remarks:CHANGED TO:FLIGHT GOT HERE 30 MIN AGO, HAVEN'T SHOWED UP

AV:416 PT 41968

Report: N Founded: Y How cleared: G

FLIGHT GOT HERE 30 MIN AGO, HAVEN'T SHOWED UP

Call was CLEARED

Reporting Officer:CHANGED TO:PA36834

END OF REPORT

18:37:29 06 10 PDX2 25127  
18:38:07 06 10 PDX1 42507  
18:38:29 06 10 PDX1 42507  
18:38:32 06 10 PDX1 42507  
18:59:34 06 10 PDX1 42507  
18:59:34 06 10 PDX1 42507  
18:59:36 06 10 PDX1 42507  
18:59:37 06 10 PDX1 42507  
18:59:37 06 10 PDX1 42507  
18:59:37 06 10 PDX1 42507

EXHIBIT NO-24

SILVERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

Incident # 2014-00003220

INCIDENT REPORT

400 S WATER ST  
SILVERTON, OR  
97381

EVENT	REPORTED DATE/TIME	06/15/2014 13:46
	OCCURRED LOCATION	411 Westfield STREET

SUBJECT	SUBJECT TYPE	Complainant					
	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)	Rommes, David John Marvin					
	DOB	AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
	[REDACTED]	22	[REDACTED] Salem, OR 97303-				
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE		
-61780	Male	6	290	Unknown	Unknown		
DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE TYPE		SSN			
[REDACTED] / OR	[REDACTED]	(503)873-1330					

SUBJECT	SUBJECT TYPE	Involved Person					
	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)	Patt, Maddox Ian					
	DOB	AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
	[REDACTED]	18	[REDACTED] Silverton, OR 97381-				
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE		
-61780	Male	5	250	Unknown	Unknown		
DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE TYPE		SSN			
[REDACTED] / OR	[REDACTED]	(503)873-1330					

SUBJECT	SUBJECT TYPE	Involved Person					
	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)	Hoffmann, Richard William					
	DOB	AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
	[REDACTED]	53	[REDACTED] West Des Moines, IA 50266-				
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE		
-61780	Male	6	245	Unknown	Unknown		
DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE TYPE		SSN			
[REDACTED] / OR	[REDACTED]						

SUBJECT	SUBJECT TYPE	Involved Person					
	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)	Johnson, Deeann Rae					
	DOB	AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
	[REDACTED]	44	[REDACTED] Salem, OR 97301-				
RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE		
[REDACTED]	Female	5	150	Unknown	Unknown		
DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE TYPE		SSN			
[REDACTED] / OR	[REDACTED]						

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVIEWED BY
Hein, Tim, ,	06/15/2014	

EXHIBIT "P-1"

## SILVERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

Incident # 2014-00003220

## CASE REPORT

400 S WATER ST  
SILVERTON, OR  
97381

## NARRATIVE

\*\*\*\*\*

## Routing:

\*\*\*\*\*

- 1) Silverton Police Records
- 2) Marion County Sheriff's Office (Attn: Deputy Rau)

\*\*\*\*\*

## Crimes:

\*\*\*\*\*

None

\*\*\*\*\*

## Attachments:

\*\*\*\*\*

- 1) IOWA DMV printout for Deeann Johnson.
- 2) IOWA DMV printout for Richard Hoffman.
- 3) DMV printout for David Rommes.
- 4) DMV printout for Maddox Patt.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Location:

\*\*\*\*\*

411 Westfield Street. This is an address located within the City of Silverton, County of Marion, and State of Oregon.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Summary:

\*\*\*\*\*

On June 15, 2014 I was dispatched to the Dairy Queen located at 411 Westfield Street regarding a suspicious male who had been at the location. I arrived and spoke to the complainant, David Rommes in addition to Maddox Patt. Both told me the male, later identified as Richard Hoffman left a suspicious note in addition to calling and telling them to check the Iowa/Oregon abduction sites. David and Mattox were concerned due to Richard coming into the restaurant with two children. I later contacted Richard near Mac's Place Bar. Richard told me his ex-wife, Deeann Johnson had allowed him to take their two children, Noah Johnson and Morgan Johnson to the Dairy Queen. While speaking to Richard I realized he was the suspicious male reported to me on 6-14-14 regarding case (14-3196). I later contacted Deeann who informed me she had primary custody of Noah and Morgan, while Richard had visitation. Due to Richard's suspicious activity on 6-14-14 and 6-15-14 I am routing this report to Marion County Deputy, Kevin Rau.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Narrative:

\*\*\*\*\*

On June 15, 2014 at approximately 1:46 PM I was dispatched to the Dairy Queen located at 411 Westfield Street regarding a suspicious male who had been at the location. I arrived and spoke to the complainant, David Rommes (██████████) David told me a male, later identified at Richard Hoffman (██████████) had come into the restaurant with two children and had been acting strangely.

While I was speaking to David, another Dairy Queen employee, Maddox Patt (██████████) joined. Maddox told me he had served Richard food. Maddox told me while he had been serving Richard, he told him to watch the news for him. Maddox told me he felt this was strange. David told me Richard and the two children with him left the restaurant. Maddox told me

REPORTING OFFICER

DATE

REVIEWED BY

Hein, Tim, ,

06/15/2014

2 OF 5



## SILVERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

## INCIDENT REPORT

CASE# 2014-00003220

400 S WATER ST  
SILVERTON, OR  
97381

## NARRATIVE (continuation)

after Richard had left he found a napkin with Richard's signature, printed name, and a message saying, "I love you." Maddox told me he felt the note was very strange.

David told me at approximately 1:44 PM he received a phone call from Richard. Richard asked David if he got the note he had left on the napkin. Richard told David to watch the news. In addition, Richard told David to check the Iowa and Oregon abduction sites. David felt as though he should report the suspicious circumstances to the police due to Richard having two children with him when he came into the restaurant. I asked David and Maddox what the children's demeanor was like while they were in the restaurant. Both told me the children appeared to be happy. David provided me with the phone number for Richard.

I contacted Richard using the phone number I had been provided with. After informing Richard with the reason for why I was calling, he told me he was in Silverton and could meet me outside of Mac's Place Bar. I met with Richard who was sitting on a bench outside of Mac's Place. I informed Richard of the suspicious circumstances reported to me. Richard began rambling by telling me he was a retired Fire Chief out of California. Richard continued by telling me his ex-wife, Deeann Johnson (DOB: 7-4-69) had her sister take their mutual children without his knowledge.

After letting Richard talk about several different issues I re-directed the conversation to the suspicious activity at Dairy Queen. I asked Richard about the note he had left. Richard told me he wrote "I love you" because he appreciated the service the restaurant had provided to him and his children. I asked Richard what he meant when he called the restaurant and told them to watch the news and check the Iowa/Oregon abduction sites. Richard informed me he was dealing with a custody issue between his ex-wife, Deeann over their children, Noah Johnson (██████████) and Morgan Johnson (DOB: 9-13-05). Richard informed me he had visitation of his children, but not primary custody. Richard told me he was going to be filing paperwork with the courts in Iowa to get his kids back. Richard told me he was going to make sure it was on the news. In addition, Richard told me he was creating a website so people could watch when the police took his kids away from Deeann.

I asked Richard where his children were currently at. Richard told me Deeann had been in Silverton and allowed him to take the kids to Dairy Queen. Richard told me after he left Dairy Queen, Deeann took the kids back to her house in Salem. In continuing to speak to Richard he told me he had parked his vehicle along Oak Street near First Street. Richard pointed towards his vehicle and I recognized it as being involved in another call I had taken (14-3196) on 6-14-14.

The call I had taken on 6-14-14 was regarding a male acting suspiciously at the frozen yogurt shop located in the 200 block of Oak Street. It had been reported to me a male had come into the business talking loudly, slamming his hands down on objects, and scaring the eighteen year old employee working at the time. In speaking with the employee I was provided a description of the male. The description of the male matched Richard. In addition, I was shown a picture of the suspicious male's vehicle. The vehicle shown to me at the time matched Richard's vehicle.

I asked Richard if he had gone into the frozen yogurt shop on Oak Street the previous day. Richard told me he had. I informed Richard it had been reported to me that he had asked the employee working that day what time she was getting off work in addition to telling her she should meet his kids. I told Richard it had been reported he spoke to the employee for about forty five minutes to an hour, mainly about problems regarding his ex-wife and his kids. Richard told me he had spoken to the employee. I told Richard that people in town did not know the whole story behind what he was telling them. I told Richard the things he was telling people were scaring them. Richard told me he understood and would keep his conversations with people more low key.

Throughout my contact with Richard it was very difficult to keep him focused on one subject. One second Richard would be answering a question I had asked him and another second he would begin talking about a completely different subject.

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVIEWED BY
Hein, Tim, ,	06/15/2014	

3 OF 5

EXHIBIT "P-2"

SILVERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

INCIDENT REPORT

CASE# 2014-00003220

400 S WATER ST  
SILVERTON, OR  
97381

NARRATIVE (continuation)

After speaking with Richard I contacted Deeann by phone. I asked Deeann if her children were with her. Deeann told me they were. I asked Deeann if she had allowed Richard to take the children to Dairy Queen. Deeann told me she did not like the idea, but allowed it due to it being father's day. Deeann informed me she had primary custody of the children, but Richard had visitation. Deeann told me she did not know how much longer Richard would have visitation. Deeann told me Richard was supposed to periodically prove to the courts he was taking his medication prescribed to him by a psychiatrist. Deeann told me Richard had not done so for some time. I asked Deeann if she knew what kind of mental/medical issues Richard had. Deeann told me she did not know.

Due to the two separate suspicious activity calls reported to me in addition to Richard's behavior while speaking to him, I am forwarding this report to Marion County Deputy, Kevin Rau.

\*\*\*\*\*

Disposition:

\*\*\*\*\*

Closed.

Officer Tim Hein/C15/51580

REPORTING OFFICER

DATE

REVIEWED BY

Hein, Tim, ,

06/15/2014

EXHIBIT "P-3"

<b>SILVERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT</b> <b>INCIDENT REPORT</b> 400 S WATER ST SILVERTON, OR 97381	Incident # 2014-00003220
--	--------------------------

<b>EVENT</b>	REPORTED DATE/TIME	06/15/2014 13:46
	OCCURRED LOCATION	411 Westfield STREET

<b>SUBJECT</b>	SUBJECT TYPE	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)					
	DOB	AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
	RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE	
	DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE TYPE		SSN		
	Individual	Johnson, Noah Christopher					
	[REDACTED]	7	[REDACTED] Salem, OR 97301-				
	-61780	Male			Unknown	Unknown	

<b>SUBJECT</b>	SUBJECT TYPE	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)					
	DOB	AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
	RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE	
	DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE TYPE		SSN		
	Individual	Johnson, Morgan Elizabeth					
	[REDACTED]	8	[REDACTED] Salem, OR 97301-				
	-61780	Female			Unknown	Unknown	

<b>SUBJECT</b>	SUBJECT TYPE	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)					
	DOB	AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
	RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE	
	DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE TYPE		SSN		

<b>SUBJECT</b>	SUBJECT TYPE	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)					
	DOB	AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)				
	RACE	SEX	HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE	
	DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHONE	PHONE TYPE		SSN		

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVIEWED BY
Hein, Tim, ,	06/15/2014	

EXHIBIT "P-4"



**IOWA**  
**COMMERCIAL DRIVER LICENSE**  
 HOFFMANN  
 RICHARD WILLIAM  
 5941 VISTA DR APT 436  
 WEST DES MOINES, IA 50266  
 DL No. 291AE8386  
 ISS 04/02/2014 EXP 04/04/2019  
 Class B End NPS  
 Restrictions NONE  
 Sex M Hgt 65-04 Eyes BLU  
 DONOR: Y  
 DOB 04/04/1961  
 DD 679446661HR1555M040419R

332450

Rev 07/25/2011  
 CLASS: B-Veh w/26001 GCWR or more towed unit is less than 10001  
 GVWR  
 ENDORSEMENTS: N-Tank Vehicles, P-Passenger, S-School Bus  
 RESTRICTIONS:  
 04/04/1961

**BlueCross BlueShield** **PERS Choice BASIC**

**RICHARD W HOFFMANN**  
 Identification Number  
 CPR251A23489

Group No:	CB040A	PPO Oic Visit Copay	\$20
Plan Code:	040	RxBIN	004336
Coverage(s):		RxPCN	ADV
Medical		RxGroup	RX5707

See EOC for Benefit Specifics

**PPO**

**BlueCross BlueShield** **CVS CAREMARK**

**MEMBERS:** When submitting inquiries always include your member number from the face of this card. Possession or use of this card does not guarantee payment.

**PROVIDERS:** Please submit claims to your local Blue Cross and/or Blue Shield Plan. To ensure prompt claims processing, include the 3-digit alpha prefix that precedes the patient's identification number listed on the front of this card.

All non-emergency hospital admissions must be pre-certified 3 full days in advance. Emergency admissions must be registered within 24 hours.

**MEDICAL CLAIMS & INQUIRIES:**  
 PO BOX 80007 LOS ANGELES, CA 90060  
 This card is for identification only in the PERS Choice Health Plan.

[anthem.com/ca/calpers](http://anthem.com/ca/calpers)

Member Services	1-877-737-7776
24/7 NurseLine	1-800-700-9185
Pre-Service Review	1-800-451-6780
Coverage While Traveling	1-800-810-2583

[www.caremark.com/calpers](http://www.caremark.com/calpers)

CVS Caremark Customer Care*	1-877-542-0284
CVS Caremark Help Desk*	1-800-364-6331

\*Pharmacy Services independently provided by CVS Caremark, Inc., who contracts directly with the group.

Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company provides administrative services only and does not assume any financial risk or obligation with respect to claims. Blue Cross of California, using the trade name Anthem Blue Cross, administers claims on behalf of Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company and is not liable for benefits payable. Independent licensees of the Blue Cross Association.

Pharmacy Benefits Administrator

EXHIBIT "Q-2"

**Progress Note**

Client 332450 Richard William Hoffmann

Date of Service 06-23-14

Provider P5584 Maria Pos, QMHP

Present Subp. Ct, QMHP

Setting MCHD Psychiatric Cr

Ticket # 5087970

Service	Service Description	Duration
01		60
69		45

This information has been disclosed to you from records which confidentially is protected by federal law. Federal regulations (42 CFR Part 2) prohibit you from making any further disclosure of it without the specific written consent of the person to whom it pertains, or as otherwise permitted by such regulations. A general authorization for the release of medical or other information is not sufficient.

**Narrative****Author: Maria Pos, QMHP****MARION COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE RECORD - PSYCHIATRIC CRISIS CENTER**MH PROVIDER: NoneCRISIS PLAN ON FILE?  YES  NODOB: 04-04-1961EVALUATION DATE: 06-23-14 EVALUATION TIME: 1920 INITIAL ASSESSMENT  RESCREEN

PROVISIONAL DX: Axis I: 296.90 Mood Disorder NOS; Reported Diagnosis by Hx: Bipolar; R/O 296.02

PRESENTING ISSUES/SX: Ct came to PCC stating he wanted to get an evaluation and to talk about his legal case to get his kids back. He stated he was referred by Police Officer, Kevin Rowe. Ct stated he's in Oregon "to rescue my kids" and he said he won't leave until he has his kids. Ct stated he wanted an evaluation to determine if he was a danger to himself or others. He said that his ex reported that he allegedly threatened to kill his kids, his ex, and her friend. Ct stated he currently has a restraining order against him, that was served yesterday, so he is unable to see his kids or his ex. Ct stated he is a retired fire caption and served during 1979-2000.

Ct stated he was a single dad when the children were young and took them back to their mother because he could no longer support them. Ct stated he was served papers to get child support that stated he had more than he actually did, and he said he was unable to pay this amount of money. Ct stated he had a previous counselor last October but stated he currently does not need a counselor. He stated he went to a psychiatrist in the past who diagnosed him with Bipolar but he stated he does not have bipolar. Ct stated he did not want to go to a counselor or psychiatrist due to this being situational stress. Ct stated he just wanted a safe space to talk about this and thanked screener.

Ct denies SI and HI. Ct denies ever stating wanting to kill his kids or his ex.

RISK SUMMARY: Ct denies SI and HI. Ct reports stress from impending legal issues.

TREATMENT PLAN: Provided empathy and reflective listening. Discussed Ct seeking legal advice through legal aid. Ct stated he didn't need legal advice and he already had the information ready to go. Discussed with Ct about contacting a counselor or psychiatrist for further evaluation and ct stated he did not need a counselor or psychiatrist. Gave Ct business card with contact information about PCC. Ct stated he was going out to eat and then return to a hotel. He stated he felt good about his legal case and he was going to follow up about documentation.

HOSPITALIZATION?:  YES  NOVOLUNTARY:  PLACED ON HOLD: EXHIBIT 90-3<sup>b</sup>

# Progress Note

Client 332450 Richard William Hoffmann

Date of Service 06-23-14

Provider P5584 Maria Pos, QMHP

Present Ct, QMHP

Setting MCHD Psychiatric Cr

Subp.

Ticket # 5087970

HOSPITAL PLACED AT: \_\_\_\_\_

REFERRED TO: PCP: \_\_\_ MH PROVIDER: X COMMUNITY RESOURCES: X

## Signatures

Author: *Maria Pos, QMHP*  
Maria Pos, QMHP

Date Signed: 07-01-14

This information has been disclosed to you from records whose confidentiality is protected by federal law. Federal regulations (42 CFR Part 2) prohibit you from making any further disclosure of it without the specific written consent of the person to whom it pertains, or as otherwise permitted by such regulations. A general authorization for the release of medical or other information is not sufficient.

EXHIBIT "Q-4"



Marion County Mental Health Programs  
Adult Screening & Mental Health Assessment

Date: 06/23/14 Time: 1920 Duration: 90

Site: PCC ID: 332450

BIB:  Police Other: Self

Name: Richard Hoffmann DOB: 04/04/61 Age: 53 SSN: 545-08-5033 County: Polk  
Home Address: Reports currently living from Hotel to hotel Ph: 515-988-0464 Msg Ph: \_\_\_\_\_  
Others in the Home & Relationship to Client: \_\_\_\_\_  
Emergency Contact: Pam Heck Relationship: Fiance Ph: 515-402-6572

This information has been disclosed to you from records whose confidentiality is protected by federal law. Federal regulations (42 CFR Part 2) prohibit you from making any further disclosure of it without the specific written consent of the person to whom it pertains, or as otherwise permitted by such regulations. A general authorization for the release of medical or other information is not sufficient.

Other Agencies:  
CM/Therapist: None Agency: \_\_\_\_\_  
PCP: \_\_\_\_\_ Agency: \_\_\_\_\_  
Other Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**Presenting Issues:** Ct came to PCC stating he wanted to get an evaluation and to talk about his legal case to get his kids back. He stated he was referred by Police Officer, Kevin Rowe. Ct stated he's in Oregon "to rescue my kids" and he said he won't leave until he has his kids. Ct stated he wanted an evaluation to determine if he was a danger to himself or others. He said that his ex reported that he allegedly threatened to kill his kids, his ex, and her friend. Ct stated he currently has a restraining order against him, that was served yesterday, so he is unable to see his kids or his ex. Ct stated he is a retired fire caption and served during 1979-2000.

Ct stated he was a single dad when the children were young and took them back to their mother because he could no longer support them. Ct stated he was served papers to get child support that stated he had more than he actually did, and he said he was unable to pay this amount of money. Ct stated he had a previous counselor last October but stated he currently does not need a counselor. He stated he went to a psychiatrist in the past who diagnosed him with Bipolar but he stated he does not have bipolar. Ct stated he did not want to go to a counselor or psychiatrist due to this being situational stress. Ct stated he just wanted a safe space to talk about this and thanked screener.

Ct denies SI and HI. Ct denies ever stating wanting to kill his kids or his ex.

**Presenting MH Symptoms:** Rapid speech, pressured speech, inflated mood, flight of ideas, distractibility, increase in goal directed activity, irritability, anger while discussing past events, and psychomotor agitation. Disclosed impairment in relationships. Ct did not report past depressed episode. Ct stated having a diagnosis of Bipolar from a past psychiatrist.

**Risk Factors:** Ct denies SI and HI. Ct reports stress from impending legal issues.

**Protective Factors:** Ct has a desire to see his children and stated he loves his children.

**Interventions:** Provided empathy and reflective listening. Discussed Ct seeking legal advice through legal aid. Ct stated he didn't need legal advice and he already had the information ready to go. Discussed with Ct about contacting a counselor or psychiatrist for further evaluation and ct stated he did not need a counselor or psychiatrist. Gave Ct business card with contact information about PCC.

**Assessment of Risk and Rationale (Describe any action alleged or observed):** Ct did not appear imminently dangerous at this time to himself or others due to denying SI and HI and stating he would never hurt himself or others.

**Consumer/Family Support for Recovery and Resolution:** Ct stated he has some friends in Iowa.

**Assessment/Justification for Service Referral:** Referral to legal aid was made to give Ct more information to pursue about his legal matters. Referrals were made for a counselor and psychiatrist to help ct process and manage his ongoing stress and sx with his current situation.

**Initial Service Plan and Recommendations:** Ct stated he was going out to eat and then return to a hotel. He stated he felt good about his legal case and he was going to follow up about documentation.

*This is a provisional diagnosis only based upon the client's presenting problem and information gathered during this crisis contact.*

Axis I: 296.90 Mood Disorder NOS; Reported Diagnosis by Hx: Bipolar; R/O 296.02 Axis II: 799.9 Deferred

Axis III: Thyroid Axis IV: Psychosocial stressors, impending legal issues, restraining order Axis V: GAF: 40

Assessor: Maria Pos Credentials: QMHP

Signature: Maria Pos

Date: 6/23/14

EXHIBIT 46-54 JD



Consumer History & Mental Health Status Exam

Name: Richard Hoffmann DOB: 04/04/61 Date: 06/23/14 ID#: 332450

MH Hx (including, onset, duration, severity, hx of hosp and MH Tx): Ct reported having outpatient mental health services in Sacramento 2007.

Current/prior medications: Ct stated he was not on any medications currently. Ct reported being on Lithium previously for four weeks and it didn't help him so he stopped taking it. He said this caused a Thyroid problem.

Medical Issues: Thyroid

Pregnant:  Y  N Smoker:  Y  N Med Allergies: \_\_\_\_\_

Substance Use:  Y  N Acute Intoxication:  Y  N Potential for Relapse:  High  Low

Substance	Date of Last Use	Amount Per Use	Duration of Current Use	Frequency of Use	Route of Administration
Alcohol	06/22/14	2 beers		Occasionally	

Impressions:  Use  Misuse  Abuse  Dependence

Rationale: \_\_\_\_\_

Treatment History: Ct denies A/D treatment and says he is always the designated driver

Do you gamble?  Y  N If yes, have you ever felt the need to bet more and more money?  Y  N Have you ever lied to people important to you about how much you gamble?  Y  N Treatment: \_\_\_\_\_

Trauma Hx: Unknown

Psycho / Social Hx: Ct stated he is a retired fire captain and peace officer. He has two children.

He stated he was first with his ex in 2004 and then they had two kids together and he was a single father until he could no longer support the children. Ct stated he couldn't make his house payments and work during this time and took the kids back to their mom.

Ct stated he lost his house in 2008.

This information has been disclosed to you from records whose confidentiality is protected by federal law. Federal regulations (42 CFR Part 2) prohibit you from making any further disclosure of it without the specific written consent of the person to whom it pertains, or as otherwise permitted by such regulations. A general authorization for the release of medical or other information is not sufficient.

Intensity of Symptoms: Clearly indicate an assessment of each item.

Mood (state type): Elevated mood

Sleep (include nightmares): Ct stated he sleeps fine everynight and gets plenty of rest

Appetite/weight change (including eating disorders): Ct reports normal appetite and eating

Feelings of worthlessness: Ct denies, and stated "he's never been in a better place"

Feelings of guilt: Ct denies

Social withdrawal: Ct reports having many friends

Anxiety & panic: Ct stated having no anxiety, Ct presented with psychomotor agitation

Fears & phobias: Ct denies

Delusions (type): Ct denies

Hallucinations: Ct denies

Obsessions/compulsions: Ct denies

Anger issues: Ct reports prior anger issues but denies current anger issues

Impulse control: Ct stated he has low impulsivity

Motivation/performance: Ct stated he was motivated to get his kids

Mental Status: (Appearance, Affect, Orientation, Speech, Thought processes, Concentration/Memory & Insight/Judgment)

Ct appeared appropriately dressed and oriented to date, time, and place. Ct had elevated mood, psychomotor agitation, and some irritability. Ct presented with a flight of ideas and distractibility.

EXHIBIT 46-64

jr

**Progress Note**

Client 332450 Richard William Hoffmann Date of Service 06-29-14  
 Provider P4758 Stacey A. Caraballo, MS, CADCI, Present client  
 QMHP  
 Setting MCHD Psychiatric Cr Subp. Ticket # 5087229

Service	Service Description	Duration
01		120
69		45

This information has been disclosed to you from records whose confidentiality is protected by federal law. Federal regulations (42 CFR Part 2) prohibit you from making any further disclosure of it without the specific written consent of the person to whom it pertains, or as otherwise permitted by such regulations. A general authorization for the release of medical or other information is not sufficient.

**Narrative****Author: Stacey A. Caraballo, MS, CADCI, QMHP****MARION COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE RECORD - PSYCHIATRIC CRISIS CENTER**MH PROVIDER: NoneCRISIS PLAN ON FILE?  YES  NODOB: 04-04-1961EVALUATION DATE: 06-29-14 EVALUATION TIME: 1420 INITIAL ASSESSMENT  RESCREEN

PROVISIONAL DX: 799.9 deferred due to not having a full screening

PRESENTING ISSUES/SX: Client arrived again today to discuss his frustrations with the custody issues between himself and the mother of his children. He presented documentation, text messages, videos, and verbal testimony about what's going on. He came in recently and met w/ a screener to get an evaluation to assist in his case to get his kids back. He reports that he would like DHS notified as he is concerned for the safety of his children. Client was primarily interested in having his side of his case heard and did not complete a full screening because of his need to vent. Client had rapid and pressured speech. He had flight of ideas, inflated mood, distractibility, irritability and anger when discussing the situation, as well as psychomotor agitation. His voice was very loud and he demonstrated his point multiple times by slapping his hands together or slapping the table.

RISK SUMMARY: He denies SI and HI and states that despite what his ex says, he has never thought of hurting/killing his kids and is trying to regain custody of them through legal means and not by abducting them as the ex says

TREATMENT PLAN: Client presents tonight very upset and frustrated with the situation of his not being able to see his kids, how he feels he's been treated by the police, and an upcoming court date to refute his restraining order. He spent two hours discussing/venting about his case regarding the loss of his children and the receipt of a restraining order. He was requesting DHS be called as he's concerned that his children are being mentally abused. He was informed that we could call DHS but it would be an after hours DHS that only responds if there's an emergency. He was offered the hotline number. He stated he felt better after being listened to regarding his case.


HOSPITALIZATION?:  YES  NOVOLUNTARY:  PLACED ON HOLD: HOSPITAL PLACED AT: REFERRED TO: PCP:  MH PROVIDER:  COMMUNITY RESOURCES: 

EXHIBIT 4R-14

# Progress Note

Client	332450 Richard William Hoffmann	Date of Service	06-29-14
Provider	P4758 Stacey A. Caraballo, MS, CADCII, QMHP	Present	client
Setting	MCHD Psychiatric Cr	Subp.	Ticket # 5087229

## Signatures

Author:   
 Stacey A. Caraballo, MS, CADCII, QMHP

Date Signed: 06-29-14

This information has been disclosed to you from records whose confidentiality is protected by federal law. Federal regulations (42 CFR Part 2) prohibit you from making any further disclosure of it without the specific written consent of the person to whom it pertains, or as otherwise permitted by such regulations. A general authorization for the release of medical or other information is not sufficient.

EXHIBIT "R-2"



Marion County Mental Health Programs  
Adult Screening & Mental Health Assessment

Site: PCC ID: 332450

BIB:  Police Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Richard W. Hoffman, Sr DOB: 04-04-1961 Age: 53 SSN: 545-08-5033 County: POLK  
Home Address: 5941 Vista Dr. #436 Des Moines IA 50266 Ph: 515-988-0464  
Others in the Home & Relationship to Client: N/A  
Emergency Contact: Pam Heck Relationship: fiance Ph: 515-402-6572

Information has been disclosed to you from records whose confidentiality is protected by federal law. Federal regulations (42 CFR Part 2) prohibit you from making any further disclosure of it without the specific written consent of the person to whom it pertains, or as otherwise permitted by such regulations. A general authorization for the release of medical or other information is not sufficient.

Other Agencies:  
CM/Therapist: None Agency: \_\_\_\_\_  
PCP: None Agency: \_\_\_\_\_  
Other Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**Presenting Issues:** Client arrived again today to discuss his frustrations with the custody issues between himself and the mother of his children. He presented documentation, text messages, videos, and verbal testimony about what's going on. He came in recently and met w/ a screener to get an evaluation to assist in his case to get his kids back. He reports that he would like DHS notified as he is concerned for the safety of his children. Client was primarily interested in having his side of his case heard and did not complete a full screening because of his need to vent.

**Presenting MH Symptoms:** Client had rapid and pressured speech. He had flight of ideas, inflated mood, distractibility, irritability and anger when discussing the situation, as well as psychomotor agitation. His voice was very loud and he demonstrated his point multiple times by slapping his hands together or slapping the table.

**Risk Factors:** He denies SI and HI and states that despite what his ex says, he has never thought of hurting/killing his kids and is trying to regain custody of them through legal means and not by abducting them as the ex says.

**Protective Factors:** He states he has his legal case ready to present to the judge

**Interventions:** He reports he's tried to get the police to help him multiple times and has come here twice now for expressing his frustration about the situation.

**Assessment of Risk and Rationale (Describe any action alleged or observed):** Client does not appear to be a danger to himself or others at this time, due to his denial that he has SI or HI.

**Consumer/Family Support for Recovery and Resolution:** client has a fiance and states he has several supportive friends who are helping him manage his affairs in Iowa.

**Assessment/Justification for Service Referral:** He does not meet criteria for hospitalization and did not ask for any services other than a request to call DHS on his behalf.

**Initial Service Plan and Recommendations:** Client presents tonight very upset and frustrated with the situation of his not being able to see his kids, how he feels he's been treated by the police, and an upcoming court date to refute his restraining order. He spent two hours discussing/venting about his case regarding the loss of his children and the receipt of a restraining order. He was requesting DHS be called as he's concerned that his children are being mentally abused. He was informed that we could call DHS but it would be an after hours DHS that only responds if there's an emergency. He was offered the hotline number. He stated he felt better after being listened to regarding his case.

*This is a provisional diagnosis only based upon the client's presenting problem and information gathered during this crisis contact.*

Axis I: 799.9 deferred due to the incomplete screening Axis II: 799.9 deferred  
Axis III: None noted Axis IV: Separated from his children, 1500 miles from home Axis V: 55

Assessor: Stacey A. Caraballo Credentials: PhD, LPC, CADC II, CGAC I, QMHP

Signature:

Date: 10-29-14

EXHIBIT "R-3"

Consumer History & Mental Health Status Exam

Name: Richard Hoffman DOB: 04-04-1961 Date: 6-29-14 ID#: 332450

MH Hx (including, onset, duration, severity, hx of hosp and MH Tx): This was not determined during this appt. He was here primarily to vent his frustrations with not being able to see his kids after getting served with a restraining order.

Current/prior medications: None

Medical Issues: Thyroid

Pregnant:  Y  N Smoker:  Y  N Med Allergies: \_\_\_\_\_

Substance Use:  Y  N Acute Intoxication:  Y  N Potential for Relapse:  High  Low

Substance	Date of Last Use	Amount Per Use	Duration of Current Use	Frequency of Use	Route of Administration
Unknown					

Impressions:  Use  Misuse  Abuse  Dependence

Rationale: Unknown

Treatment History: Unknown

Do you gamble?  Y  N If yes, have you ever felt the need to bet more and more money?  Y  N Have you ever lied to people important to you about how much you gamble?  Y  N Treatment: Unknown

Trauma Hx: He feels very traumatized by this incident and his inability to see his kids.

Psycho / Social Hx: Not determined in this assessment

Intensity of Symptoms: Clearly indicate an assessment of each item.

Mood (state type): He reports he's very sad/upset about this situation. He was frequently tearful in discussing his problem.

Sleep (include nightmares): Not assessed

Appetite/weight change (including eating disorders): Not assessed

Feelings of worthlessness: Not assessed

Feelings of guilt: Not assessed

Social withdrawal: Not assessed

Anxiety & panic: Not assessed

Fears & phobias: Not assessed

Delusions (type): Not assessed

Hallucinations: Not assessed

Obsessions/compulsions: Not assessed

Anger issues: Not assessed

Impulse control: Not assessed

Motivation/performance: Not assessed

This information has been disclosed to you from records whose confidentiality is protected by federal law. Federal regulations (42 CFR Part 2) prohibit you from making any further disclosure of it without the specific written consent of the person to whom it pertains, or as otherwise permitted by such regulations. A general authorization for the release of medical or other information is not sufficient.

Mental Status: (Appearance, Affect, Orientation, Speech, Thought processes, Concentration/Memory & Insight/Judgment)

He is dressed appropriately for the occasion. His affect is very labile as he goes from angry/agitated to tearful and despondent. He is fully oriented; speech is loud, rapid, and pressured, concentration is affected as he has difficulty tracking his thoughts and changes subjects frequently.

EXHIBIT "R-44"



# Iowa Department of Human Services

**Terry E. Branstad**  
Governor

**Kim Reynolds**  
Lt. Governor

**Charles M. Palmer**  
Director

## Child Abuse Assessment PARENTAL NOTIFICATION

RICHARD HOFFMAN  
2021 NW HICKORY LN  
#5  
ANKENY IA 50023

DATE: 7/16/2013

Incident #: 2013190045

RE: NOAH JOHNSON-HOFFMAN, MORGAN JOHNSON-HOFFMAN

From:

<b>Child Protective Worker</b> PATTY MCANDREW		<b>Telephone Number</b> 515/725-2600	
<b>Office Address</b> POLK CTY RIVER PLACE	<b>City</b> DES MOINES	<b>State</b> IA	<b>Zip Code</b> 50310

An assessment is a cooperative effort between the Department of Human Services and family members to identify a child and family's strengths and needs, and to develop a plan of action to address identified concerns. Iowa Code Section 232.71B requires that a child's parents be notified if an abuse assessment is being conducted concerning your child. The purpose of this letter is to notify you that a child abuse assessment is being conducted concerning your child. State law requires the child protection worker to complete the assessment within 20 business days of receiving the report. You will receive notice of the completion of the assessment report. You may already have been contacted by the child protection worker, whose name and phone number are listed above. You are encouraged to provide the child protection worker with information about your child.

DENIAL OF CRITICAL CARE has been alleged.

Please review the back of this letter for additional information about the child abuse assessment process. If you have questions or concerns, contact the child protection worker. If that person is not available, please leave a message or ask to speak to the worker's supervisor. Thank you.

1305 E. Walnut Street, Des Moines, IA 50319-0114

470-3239 (REV 07/11)

EXHIBIT "S-1"

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

CHILD PROTECTIVE ASSESSMENT SERVICES - A GUIDE FOR FAMILIES

Iowa law requires that a child protective assessment be conducted by the Department of Human Services (DHS) when someone reports that a child has been subjected to abuse by a person responsible for the care of that child (caretaker). Caretakers may include parents, guardians, foster parents, baby-sitters and others. This guide may answer some of your questions regarding the assessment process.

**WHAT IS CHILD ABUSE?** Iowa law identifies nine types of child abuse. These are: (1) Physical Abuse--injuries which are not accidental, or do not have an explanation which fits the injury. Spanking a child is not considered to be physical abuse, unless it leaves a bruise or other injury; (2) Mental injury--intellectual or psychological injury which causes an observable and substantial impairment; (3) Sexual Abuse--sexual activity between a child and caretaker, or allowing a child to be sexually abused. (4) Child Prostitution--permitting or encouraging a child to engage in prostitution; (5) Denial of Critical Care (also known as neglect)--lack of food, clothing, shelter, or other care necessary for a child's well-being when these expose a child to danger or significant harm; (6) Presence of an Illegal Drug in a Child's Body--an illegal drug is found in a child's body due to the acts or omissions of a caretaker. (This may occur through ingesting the drug by mouth, or through passive smoke inhalation.) (7) Bestiality - commission of bestiality in the presence of a child. (8) Manufacture or possession of dangerous substance with the intent to manufacture a dangerous substance- endangerment of children by illegal drug manufacturing or having possession of dangerous chemicals intending to manufacture illegal drugs. (9) Allows access by a registered sex offender.

**WHO TURNED IN THIS REPORT?** Iowa law does not permit the child protection worker to disclose this information to you. However, you do have the right to know what allegations have been made.

**WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF I DON'T COOPERATE?** If the child protection worker cannot talk to you or your family members about the incident reported, the assessment will have to be completed without information which only you can provide regarding the alleged abuse and regarding your family' strengths and concerns.

**HOW MANY PEOPLE WILL HAVE TO BE INVOLVED?** Persons who have information about the report may be contacted. The child protection worker is required to complete a written summary regarding all reports received by the Department in which a child may have been subjected to abuse. You and your family will be asked to participate in the assessment process and reasonable attempts will be made to include your information, opinion and wishes in the written summary.

**WHAT IS THE POSSIBLE OUTCOME OF AN ASSESSMENT?** In many cases, the assessment service will be concluded and your case will be closed. For situations in which you and/or your child protection worker determine that additional supports or services will be needed, these may be developed during the assessment service. In some instances, you and your family will receive continued services through the Department of Human Services. Only about 2% of cases result in the removal of a child from the family home. A removal is considered to be a last resort and only occurs in situations where the child is significantly injured or is likely to receive significant injury and the caretakers are unable or unwilling to protect the child. You will receive a written notice that the assessment has been completed. For families receiving the assessment services, you will receive a copy of the written summary which describes the incident reported and also identifies your family's strengths and concerns. This written summary may also include a recommendation for additional services or supports. In most cases, this recommendation will reflect your input and wishes. Abuse which meets specific criteria will be placed on the State's Child Abuse Registry.

**If you have further questions, contact your child protection worker whose name and phone number are listed on the other side of this form.**

EXHIBIT 'S-29



**IOWA INCIDENT REPORT**  
**ANKENY POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
 411 SW ORDANANCE RD

(815) 389-5240

Case Number <b>13-002768</b>
Date of Report <b>7/8/2013</b>
Status <b>01 - ACTIVE</b>

<b>S U M M A R Y</b>	County <b>POLK - 77</b>	Report Type <b>0 - INITIAL INCIDENT</b>	Day of week Incident Occurred <b>3 - TUESDAY</b>
	Dispatched Location <b>1429 NW 23RD LN</b>	Dispatched Time <b>07:54</b> Hrs.	Arrival Time <b>08:01</b> Hrs.
	In Incident Date / Time Known? <b>YES</b>	Incident Date or Lower Date Range <b>07/09/2013</b>	Upper Date Range
	Incident Time or Lower Time Range <b>08:45</b> Hrs.	Upper Time Range	Hrs.
<b>INCIDENT REPORTED BY</b>			
Incident Reported by Victim? <b>NO</b>	Reporting Victim's Sequence No.	Name - Last <b>HOFFMANN</b>	First <b>RICHARD</b>
Business Name (if incident was Reported by a Business)		Address <b>NW HICKORY LN</b>	
City <b>ANKENY</b>	State <b>IA</b>	Zip Code <b>50023</b>	Home/Cell Phone
		Work Phone	

<b>O F F E N S E</b>	Sec. No. <b>001</b>	Code Section <b>729.6(7)</b>	Charges/Offense <b>CHILD ENDANGERMENT - NO INJURY</b>	UCR Offense Code <b>ALL OTHER OFFENSES - 90Z</b>
	Attempted/Completed <b>C - COMPLETED</b>	Type of Criminal Activity (up to 3)		
	Type of Weapon/Feroc Involved (up to 3) <b>00 - NONE</b>		Gang Information (up to 2) <b>N - NONE/UNKNOWN</b>	
	Method of Entry <b>N - NO FORCE</b>	Point of Entry <b>DOOR</b>	No. of Premises Entered <b>1</b>	Offender Suspected of Using <b>N - NOT APPLICABLE</b>
<b>LOCATION OF OFFENSE</b>				
001	Location Type <b>20 - RESIDENCE/HOME</b>	X Coordinate <b>00448661</b>	Y Coordinate <b>04022189</b>	
Literal Description <b>1429 NW 23RD LN</b>				

<b>O F F E N D E R</b>	Type of Offender <b>01 - OFFENDER</b>	Sequence No. <b>01</b>	Name - Last <b>JOHNSON</b>	First <b>DEEANN</b>	Middle <b>RAE</b>	Suffix	
	Alias(es)				Offender Sobriety <b>0 - UNKNOWN</b>		
	Address <b>618 SW WALNUT STREET</b>		City <b>ANKENY</b>	State <b>IA</b>	Zip Code <b>50023</b>	Home/Cell Phone	
	DOB Known? <b>YES</b>	DOB	Age or Lower Age Range <b>44</b>	Upper Age Range	SSN	Resident Status <b>R - RESIDENT</b>	
Driver's License - Number		State <b>IA</b>	Gender <b>F</b>	Height <b>5' 04"</b>	Weight <b>160 LBS</b>	Eye Color <b>BLUE - BLU</b>	
Hair Color <b>BROWN - BRO</b>		Race <b>3 - CAUCASIAN</b>		Ethnicity <b>N - NOT OF HISPANIC ORIGIN</b>			
Skin Tone <b>FAIR - FAR</b>		Scars/Marks/Tattoos <b>UNK</b>		Was offender present when officer arrived? <b>NO</b>			
Type of Injury <b>N - NONE</b>		Hospital Taken to		Transported By			

<b>EMPLOYMENT OR SCHOOL INFO</b>		
Employer or School	Occupation	Hours of Employment
Address	City <b>WEST DES MOINES</b>	State <b>IA</b>
Zip Code	Work Phone	

<b>ARREST INFO</b>		
Offender Arrested? <b>NO</b>	Arrestes Seq. No.	Type of Arrest
Arrest Date	Arrest Time Hrs.	
Miranda By	Miranda Date	Miranda Time Hrs.
Arrestes Condition		Arrestes Armed With (up to 2)
Place of Birth		Additional Incidents Cleared

<b>JUVENILE INFO</b>		
Parent/Guard. Contacted?	Name - Last	First
Middle	Suffix	
Address	City	State
Home/Cell Phone	Work Phone	Juvenile Arrestes Disposition

EXHIBIT "S-3"



V I C T I M	Type of Victim <b>I - INDIVIDUAL</b>		Sequence No. 1	Municipality			City	State	Zip Code	Suffix		
	Business/Organization/Municipality Name			Address			City <b>ANKENY</b>	State <b>IA</b>	Zip Code <b>50023</b>			
	Home/Cell Phone		Alias(es)				Victim Sobriety <b>1 - HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING</b>					
	DOB Known? <b>YES</b>	Age or Lower Age Range <b>87</b>	Upper Age Range		SSN		Resident Status <b>R - RESIDENT</b>					
	Driver's License - Number		State	Gender <b>F - FEMALE</b>	Height <b>4' 08"</b>	Weight <b>60 LB</b>	Eye Color <b>BLUE - BLU</b>	Hair Color <b>BROWN - BRO</b>				
	Skin Tone <b>FAIR - FAR</b>	Race <b>3 - CAUCASIAN</b>		Ethnicity <b>N - NOT OF HISPANIC</b>		Scars/Marks/Tattoos <b>UNK</b>						
	Type of Injury				Hospital Taken To			Transported By				
	<b>EMPLOYMENT OR SCHOOL INFO</b>											
	Employer or School <b>STUDENT</b>				Occupation				Hours of Employment			
	Address				City			State	Zip Code	Work Phone		
	<b>VICTIM CONNECTED TO UCR OFFENSE CODES</b>											
	UCR Offense Code 1 <b>ALL OTHER OFFENSES - 802</b>				UCR Offense Code 2							
	UCR Offense Code 3				UCR Offense Code 4							
	<b>ADDITIONAL OFFENSE CIRCUMSTANCE INFO</b>											
	Aggravated Assault/Homicide Circumstances (up to 2)											
Additional Justifiable Homicide Circumstances												
<b>VICTIM'S RELATIONSHIP TO OFFENDER(S)</b>												
First Offender Seq. No.	Victim's Relationship to First Offender				Second Offender Seq. No.	Victim's Relationship to Second Offender						
Third Offender Seq. No.	Victim's Relationship to Third Offender				Fourth Offender Seq. No.	Victim's Relationship to Fourth Offender						
<b>SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES</b>												
Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/>		Bias Crime <input type="checkbox"/>		Domestic Abuse <input type="checkbox"/>			LEOKA <input type="checkbox"/>					
<b>BIAS CRIME</b>												
Bias Motivation					Target Code							
Bias Group Affiliations												
<b>DOMESTIC ABUSE</b>												
Children Present?	Seq. No. of Domestic Abuse Offender		Does the victim have a current No Contact Order? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			Has the subject been charged with domestic abuse assault on the victim or others in the past? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO						
Was the Abused Persons Rights given? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			Does the Victim request a No Contact Order? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO									
Domestic Abuse Referrals (up to 5)												
<b>LEOKA</b>												
Officer Killed					Type of Assignment							
Body Armor					Call Type							

EXHIBIT 5-44

VICTIM	Type of Victim <b>I - INDIVIDUAL</b>	Sequence No. <b>2</b>	Name (Last, First, Middle)		Middle	Suffix	
	Business/Organization/Municipality Name			Address	City <b>ANKENY</b>	State <b>IA</b>	Zip Code <b>50023</b>
	Home/Cell Phone	Alias(es)			Victim Sobriety <b>1 - HAD NOT BEEN DRUNKING</b>		
	DOB Known? <b>YES</b>	Age or Lower Age Range <b>08</b>	Upper Age Range	SSN	Resident Status <b>R - RESIDENT</b>		
	Driver's License - Number	State	Gender <b>M - MALE</b>	Height <b>4' 02"</b>	Weight <b>80 LB</b>	Eye Color <b>BLUE - BLU</b>	Hair Color <b>BROWN - BRO</b>
	Skin Tone <b>FAIR - FAR</b>	Race <b>3 - CAUCASIAN</b>	Ethnicity <b>N - NOT OF HISPANIC</b>	Scars/Marks/Tattoos <b>UNK</b>			
	Type of Injury			Hospital Taken To		Transported By	
	<b>EMPLOYMENT OR SCHOOL INFO</b>						
	Employer or School <b>STUDENT</b>			Occupation		Hours of Employment	
	Address			City	State	Zip Code	Work Phone
	<b>VICTIM CONNECTED TO UCR OFFENSE CODES</b>						
	UCR Offense Code 1 <b>ALL OTHER OFFENSES - 90Z</b>			UCR Offense Code 2			
	UCR Offense Code 3			UCR Offense Code 4			
	<b>ADDITIONAL OFFENSE CIRCUMSTANCE INFO</b>						
	Aggravated Assault/Homicide Circumstances (up to 2)						
Additional Justifiable Homicide Circumstances							
<b>VICTIM'S RELATIONSHIP TO OFFENDER(S)</b>							
First Offender Seq. No.	Victim's Relationship to First Offender		Second Offender Seq. No.	Victim's Relationship to Second Offender			
Third Offender Seq. No.	Victim's Relationship to Third Offender		Fourth Offender Seq. No.	Victim's Relationship to Fourth Offender			
<b>SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES</b>							
Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/>		Bias Crime <input type="checkbox"/>	Domestic Abuse <input type="checkbox"/>		LEOKA <input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>BIAS CRIME</b>							
Bias Motivation			Target Code				
Bias Group Affiliations							
<b>DOMESTIC ABUSE</b>							
Children Present?	Seq. No. of Domestic Abuse Offender	Does the victim have a current No Contact Order? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		Has the subject been charged with domestic abuse assault on the victim or others in the past? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			
Was the Abused Persons Rights given? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		Does the Victim request a No Contact Order? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO					
Domestic Abuse Referrals (up to 8)							
<b>LEOKA</b>							
Officer Killed			Type of Assignment				
Body Armor			Call Type				
VEHICLE	Vehicle Year	Make	Model		Style		
	License Plate #	License State	Year	VIN			
	Color(s)				Was the Owner Present?		
	Associated Offense Sequence No.	Vehicle Impounded?	Impound Location		Impound Tag Number		

EXHIBIT "S-5"

PROPERTY	Associated Offense Seq. No.		Type of Loss		Property Sequence Number		
	Property Description				Value of Property	Date Recovered	
	Make		Model	Color		Serial Number	
	Lost Property?			BIN Number		NCIC Number	
	<b>FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS</b>						
	Type of Document		Account Holder		Name of Bank	Amt. of Document	
	<b>STOLEN MOTOR VEHICLES</b>						
	No. of Stolen Motor Vehicles			No. of Recovered Motor Vehicles			
	<b>SUSPECTED DRUG INFO</b>						
	Suspected Drug Type 1		Estimated Drug Quantity		Type of Drug Measurement		
Suspected Drug Type 2		Estimated Drug Quantity		Type of Drug Measurement			
Suspected Drug Type 3		Estimated Drug Quantity		Type of Drug Measurement			
ITEM	Assoc. Property Sequence #	Type of Loss/Etc.		Item Description		BIN#	NCIC#
	Make	Model	Color	Serial	Quantity	Value	

**NARRATIVE**

On July 9, 2013 at approximately 0754 hrs I was dispatched to 1420 NW 23rd Ln. in Ankeny reference a child custody dispute.

Upon arrival I spoke with Richard Hoffman, [redacted] who informed me he lives at [redacted] NW Hickory Ln. #5 in Ankeny and has been separated from his children's mother, Deeann Johnson, [redacted] since 2011. Richard explained a decree is in place through the courts system and per the decree he is to have the children daily from 1200 hrs to 1800 hrs. During the summer he gets a schedule from Deeann and transports the children to summer camps and bible school. Richard informed me for approximately the past month and a half he meets Deeann on the roadway when she is going to work, and he is going to pick up the children from her house. Richard said the children would be left alone for 5 or 10 minutes during this transition.

Richard informed me today, July 9, 2013 he was to pick up the children at 0845 hrs to go to 0900 hrs bible school. Richard informed me he arrived at 0745 hrs and found his 7 year old daughter, [redacted] home alone. He knew they were home alone because he called the house phone and was told by [redacted] they were alone.

I arrived on scene and made contact at the front door with [redacted]. [redacted] informed me she thought Deeann left at approximately 0645 hrs for work. [redacted] explained to me she sometimes get scared staying home alone but was told not to go outside the house unless she needs to walk the family dog, Buddy. [redacted] said she thinks she has stayed home with [redacted] alone about 15 times till 0800 hrs or later. [redacted] informed me she usually makes pre-made pancakes or waffles for breakfast. [redacted] said if an emergency happens she has been advised to call 911 or walk to the neighbor, Vivian's house. [redacted] informed me they do not go over to Vivian's to be babysat, but believe she is home most of the time if they needed something. [redacted] informed me she believes Richard arrives at approximately 0800 hrs. daily. Richard said he picks up the children and takes them to his house for breakfast.

I contacted Deeann by cell phone and she informed me Richard has been to her house by 0715 hrs all summer long, so the children stay home alone for a short amount of time. I had not cell phone calls, emails, or text messages saying when Richard was the pick up the children or what the actual arrangement was. Deeann said Richard comes from his apartment to her house and watches tv until the kids wake up, this is the reason he arrives at 0715 hrs. Deeann also said Richard will not allow her to drop the children off at his house. She would not tell my why it was not allowed.

The house was clean, and food was located in the house. The children left with Richard for the day. DHS was contacted and a copy of the report was faxed to them. DHS incident number 2013-190045.

I have nothing additional at this time.

EXHIBIT 5-6

<b>OFFICER</b>	Complainant/Reporting Party Signature <i>B. Anderson #57</i>				
	Reporting Officer <b>ANDERSON BETSY</b>	Sadge Number <b>BKAS7</b>	Video Taken?	Evidence Seized?	Photos Taken?
	Supervisor	Sadge Number	Incident Assigned To		
	Cleared Exceptionally			Date Cleared Exceptionally	
	Notifications Investigators <input type="checkbox"/> Identification Unit <input type="checkbox"/> Officer Case Investigative Photos <input type="checkbox"/>				

EXHIBIT 'S-75

Iowa Department of Human Services  
**NOTICE OF INTAKE DECISION**

Date: 07/27/2013

Richard Hoffman  
2021 NW HICKORY LN  
#5  
ANKENY IA 50023

Dear: Richard Hoffman

You are receiving this notice because you made a report of suspected child abuse to our office in Des Moines on July 27, 2013 regarding Morgan Johnson-hoffman, Noah Johnson-hoffman. Iowa law requires that we notify you of our decision on whether or not the Department will take action on the report you made.

- The report of suspected child abuse you made has been accepted for assessment. The assessment takes up to 20 working days to complete. Iowa law does not allow us to notify you of the outcome of the assessment unless you are a mandatory reporter making this report as required by law. The worker assigned may contact you to confirm information or to obtain additional information. Otherwise, there may be no further contact with you. However, we encourage you to contact our office if you continue to have concerns or have additional information.
- The report of suspected child abuse you made will not result in an assessment because:
  - The person who is the subject of the suspected abuse is not a child.
  - The person alleged responsible for the abuse is not a caretaker of the child.
  - The reported allegation does not constitute child abuse.
  - The information provided is insufficient to infer that child abuse or neglect has occurred.
  - The reported allegation was previously accepted for assessment or investigation on

As a result of your report, we have forwarded information to:

- The county attorney's office
- Law enforcement

Your concerns may best be addressed by you contacting:

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local school district or AEA          | <input type="checkbox"/> Community based services              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Local child abuse prevention services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Faith community                       |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local child abuse prevention services |  |

Thank you for sharing your concerns with us.

Sincerely,

Darci Patterson  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Child Protective Intake Supervisor

07/27/2013  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

1-800-362-2178  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Number

EXHIBIT 774

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. Fill out the form as completely as possible. Please write legibly.
- 2. Submit the form in person to the Salem Police Department or mail in the complaint to the address provided.
- 3. You will be contacted by an investigator for an interview.


Name: RICHARD WILLIAM HOFFMANN Date: 6/26/14  
 Street address: 5941 USTA DR. #436 City: WEST DES MOINES State: IA Zip code: 50266  
 How do you want us to contact you? CALL ASAP Phone: 515 988 0464  
 Location or address where incident occurred: 725 MARKET ST NE SALEM IA  
 Police report number: SMP14022717 Date: 9/16/14 Time: 08:11 a.m./p.m. Was someone arrested:  Yes  No  
 Officer(s) or employee involved: DETECTIVE CORPORAL M. SKYFRIED, 5137 / SGT. ALAN GRAHAM, 5245  
 Witness name: SELF Phone: SAME  
 Witness address: SAME

Briefly describe what happened. If needed, please attach additional sheets of paper to this complaint form.:

SMP 14022717 - COUNTLESS ERRORS + SEVERE DERELICTION OF DUTY TO CREATE REPORT - INACCURATE DATA RECEIVED REGARDING BOTH WRITTEN + VERBAL TESTIMONY COMMUNICATION BY RESPONDENT TOO MANY TO LIST HERE - DEMAND IMMEDIATE ACTION TO AMEND.  
SMP 14023648 - SGT. GRAHAM STATED AGAINST DEPT. POLICY TO DELIVER PHONE TO CHILDREN YET CONFIRMED HE WOULD AS "GAIN" TO USE MY

I would like to have more information about this incident.  I want to file an Internal Affairs complaint.  
 Complainant's signature: LOVE FOR MY CHILDREN TO LEVERAGE ME TO MEET SO I COULD


BE SERVED WITH A RESTRAINING ORDER THAT I REMARKABLY WELCOME! YOU HAVE NO IDEA THE CASE AGAINST PETITIONER.




SALEM POLICE DEPARTMENT  
 555 LIBERTY ST. SE, ROOM 130  
 SALEM, OREGON 97301

- CONTACT INFORMATION**
- SALEM POLICE DEPARTMENT
  - INTERNAL AFFAIRS OFFICE  
503-588-6160
  - INTERNAL AFFAIRS EMAIL  
spdia@cityofsalem.net
  - POLICE RECORDS SECTION  
503-588-6144
  - CHIEF OF POLICE  
503-588-6100
  - CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
  - CITY MANAGER  
503-588-6255
  - COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW BOARD  
503-588-6219
  - COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPT.  
HUMAN RIGHTS & RELATIONS CMSN  
503-588-6261

PLEASE EXPEDITE REQUEST. YOUR OFFICE REFUSES TO RETURN MY CALLS! STOP!



**SALEM POLICE DEPARTMENT**

**CITIZEN COMPLAINT PROCESS & FORM**

**GERALD F. MOORE**  
CHIEF OF POLICE

EXHIBIT 10

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Fill out the form as completely as possible. Please write legibly.
2. Submit the form in person to the Salem Police Department or mail in the complaint to the address provided.
3. You will be contacted by an investigator for an interview.

Name: RICHARD W. HOFFMANN Date: 6/26

Street address: 5941 VISTA DR. #436 City: LUSTIGES MINE State: IA Zip code: 50266

How do you want us to contact you? PHONE + MAIL + EMAIL Phone: 515988-0464

Location of address where incident occurred: PHONE CONVERSATION W/ SGT WIEDEMANN #5904

Police report number: NONE RECORDED Date: 6/11/14 Time: 1500 p.m. Was someone arrested:  Yes  No

Officer(s) or employee involved: SGT WIEDEMANN #504 OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

Witness name: SELF Phone: SAME

Witness address: SAME

Briefly describe what happened. If needed, please attach additional sheets of paper to this complaint form.:

DURING A PHONE CONVERSATION (CALL FROM) ON JUNE 11, 2014 @ APPROX. 1500 HRS I DISCUSSED LINE BY LINE THE MISREPRESENTATIONS, INACCURATE WRITTEN + VERBAL ALLEGED TESTIMONIES AND INCREDIBLE EXAGGERATIONS THERE OF IN DET. COPPOLA IN SGT FRIED, 5137'S INCIDENT REPORT - DIST A SMP 140217 GENERATED UPON THE VERBAL TESTIMONY OF ALL

I would like to have more information about this incident.  I want to file an Internal Affairs complaint.  
CONCERNED PARTIES THE MORNING OF JUNE 16, 2014 @ 725 MARKET ST. NE  
 Complainant's signature: \_\_\_\_\_

SALEM, OREGON, 97301. ABSOLUTELY NO CASE WAS INITIATED NOR WAS I GIVEN A RETURN CALL FROM ANY INTERNAL AFFAIRS PERSONNEL AT ANY TIME TO CONFIRM OR DENY A CASE WAS GENERATED, - SEVERE VIOLATION OF DUTY. BY ALL STAFF.

**CONTACT INFORMATION**

**SALEM POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
**INTERNAL AFFAIRS OFFICE**  
 503-588-6160

**INTERNAL AFFAIRS EMAIL**  
 spdia@cityofsalem.net

**POLICE RECORDS SECTION**  
 503-588-6144

**CHIEF OF POLICE**  
 503-588-6100

**CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**

**CITY MANAGER**  
 503-588-6255

**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW BOARD**  
 503-588-6219

**COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPT.**  
**HUMAN RIGHTS & RELATIONS CMSN.**  
 503-588-6261

**SALEM POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
 555 LIBERTY ST. SE, ROOM 130  
 SALEM, OREGON 97301



*[Signature]*  
 RICHARD W. HOFFMANN, SA 6/26/14 714 HRS.




EXHIBIT 42

## Crime/Incident Report

Print Date: 06/25/2014 12:17:00

## SALEM POLICE DEPARTMENT

Case Id <b>SMP14023648</b>	Type Description <b>AOA ASSIST OTHER AGENCY</b>	Report Date <b>06/22/2014 15:12</b>
Location <b>SMP02</b>	Occurred From <b>06/22/2014 15:12</b>	Occurred To <b>06/22/2014 16:55</b>
District <b>SMP02</b>	Linked Incident	
Department Routing <b>MCSO</b>		

## SYNOPSIS

## OFFENSES

OFFENSE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION TYPE	UCR
01205	Assist Rendered - Law	PKL	999

## INVOLVED PARTIES

	DOB	AGE	SEX	RACE	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	HAIR	EYE
OTHER HOFFMAN,RICHARD WILLIAM 5941 VISTA DR Apt 44,WEST DES MOINE IA Home #:                      Bus #: SSN:                              DLN:                              Cell #:		53	M	WHI	215 lbs	6ft06in	BLN	BLU
OTHER JOHNSON,DEE ANN Home #:                      Bus #: SSN:                              DLN:                              Cell #:		44						

## PROPERTY

## MO

## NARRATIVE

Richard Hoffmann phoned the Salem Police Department and requested to speak to a sergeant about a custody problem he was having with his ex-wife. I phoned Richard and he told me he has been trespassed from his ex-wife's property and told he can not call her or he would be subject to arrest for phone harassment. Richard said he purchased a cell phone for his two children, who are living with his ex-wife Dee Ann Johnson, and requested I deliver the phone to his children.

I was aware of the child custody situation between Dee Ann and Richard and contacted Marion County Records to inquire about a restraining order. I was advised there was a restraining order against Richard that had not been served. I called the Marion County Sheriff's Office and was advised there civil deputy was not working. I spoke with Sgt. Knutson who advised she did not have immediate access to the restraining order but said the respondent should have a copy and that I could serve Richard that copy.

I told Richard I could meet with him and pick up the phone and deliver it to his children. Richard told

EXHIBIT "W-1"



me he was out of town. I filed a restraining order in Marion County District Court.

I went to Dee Ann Johnson's residence and explained my reason for being there. Dee Ann had a copy of the restraining order and gave it to me.

Richard phoned me at 1830 hrs and told me he was in the parking lot of the Circle K at Fairgrounds Road and Highland Ave. I arrived at 1845 hrs and gave Richard the restraining order I had obtained from Dee Ann. I told Richard the restraining order was valid and not to violate it or he would be arrested. I told him he could contact the Marion County Courthouse if he wanted to contest it. Richard told me he understood.

I told Richard the restraining order also prohibited him from contacting his children, therefore I would not be able to deliver the phone he had for them. Richard told me he understood. Richard would not provide the address where he was staying and said he would most likely stay in a hotel tonight.

I phoned Marion County Records and told them I served the restraining order on Richard and provided them the case number.

**SUMMARY**

<b>REVIEW STATUS:</b> APPROVED	<b>REVIEWED BY:</b> S258	<b>DATE:</b> 6/22/2014 9:31:12PM
<b>INVESTIGATOR ASSIGNED:</b>	<b>ASSIGNED DATE:</b>	
<b>DEPT. CASE DISPOSITION:</b> CLOSED	<b>DATE:</b> 6/22/2014 8:37:04PM	
<b>UCR STATUS:</b> NA	<b>DATE:</b> 6/22/2014 4:58:52PM	<b>IBR EXEP CLEAR CLASS:</b> NA
<b>Reporting Officer</b> S243 GRAHAM,ALAN	<b>Reviewed/Approved by</b> S258 MUMEY,DARRON CPL	<b>Date Reviewed/Approved</b> 06/22/2014 21:31

EXHIBIT W-2

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF IOWA, IN AND FOR POLK COUNTY

Upon the Petition of  
DEEANN JOHNSON,  
Petitioner,

DR-CV 37370

And Concerning,  
RICHARD HOFFMANN,  
Respondent.

DECREE ESTABLISHING PATERNITY  
CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT AND  
VISITATION RIGHTS

NOW ON this 19<sup>th</sup> day of August 2007, the Court is presented with a stipulated Decree resolving the issues of the above-captioned matter. The Petitioner, DeeAnn Johnson, is represented in these proceedings by her attorney, Jonathan A. Coy, Thornton Law Office, P.L.C. The Respondent, Richard Hoffmann, is not represented by counsel. After reviewing the Court file and being otherwise apprised in the Premises, the Court enters the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree:

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The Petitioner, DeeAnn Johnson, filed her Petition to Establish Paternity, Custody, Child Support, and Visitation Rights with this court on or about July 2, 2007.
2. The Respondent, Richard Hoffmann, accepted service on July 17, 2007.
3. On August 17, 2007, this matter came before the Court for a pretrial conference.
4. At the time of the filing of the Petition, DeeAnn Johnson was a resident of Ankeny, Polk County, Iowa.
5. DeeAnn and Richard have been together as a couple since December 2004. The parties separated on or about November, 2006.

FILED  
POLK COUNTY IA  
07 AUG 17 PM 3:39  
CLERK DISTRICT COURT

EXHIBIT "A-1"

6. The parties are the parents of two minor children: MORGAN ELIZABETH JOHNSON-HOFFMANN, born September 13, 2005, in Roseville, California, and NOAH CHRISTIAN JOHNSON-HOFFMANN, born October 5, 2006, in Roseville, California. The parties have no other children that will be affected by this proceeding. The Court has been provided with copies of the paternity affidavits signed by Richard for these two children.

7. The parties have agreed that it would be in the best interest of their children if DeeAnn were awarded primary physical care of the children, subject to Richard's visitation rights as set forth in the Decree below.

8. The parties have further agreed that the Petitioner, DeeAnn Johnson, shall be awarded sole legal custody of the children due to the Respondent residing in California.

9. For purposes of calculating child support, the parties have agreed that the Petitioner's net monthly income is \$2,043.95 and the Respondent's net monthly income is \$6,660.40. According to the child support guidelines, the Respondent shall pay the Petitioner \$1,598.50 per month in child support so long as the two children qualify for child support. For rounding purposes, the parties request that the child support be set at \$1,600 for two children. The Respondent shall pay the Petitioner \$1,078.98 when only one child qualifies for child support.

10. The parties have agreed that the Respondent, Richard Hoffmann, shall be responsible for paying the court costs of this proceeding and each party shall be solely responsible for paying his or her own attorney fees.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Court makes the following Conclusions of Law:

1. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter jurisdiction over this proceeding.
2. The material allegations in the Petition are supported by competent and uncontroverted evidence.
3. The Respondent, Richard Hoffmann, is established as the children's biological father.
4. The Court has examined this Decree, which has been approved as to form and content by the Parties, it appears to be a fair and equitable settlement, and should be approved.

**DECREE**

**PATERNITY**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Respondent, Richard Hoffmann, is established as the biological father of MORGAN ELIZABETH JOHNSON-HOFFMANN, born September 13, 2005, (now 2 years old), and NOAH CHRISTIAN JOHNSON-HOFFMANN, born October 5, 2006, (now 10 months old).

**CHILD CUSTODY**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that DeeAnn Johnson is awarded sole legal custody of the parties' minor children: MORGAN ELIZABETH JOHNSON-HOFFMANN, born September 13, 2005, (now 2 years old), and NOAH CHRISTIAN JOHNSON-HOFFMANN, born October 5, 2006, (now 10 months old).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that DeeAnn Johnson shall have primary physical care of the parties' minor children and that Richard Hoffmann is awarded reasonable and liberal visitation rights as the parties can mutually agree. If the parties' cannot agree upon visitation, then Richard is entitled to the minimum visitation as described below.

Visitation: Richard shall have visitation with the children every eight weeks for a period up to fourteen days. Visits shall be from 7:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. on each day during the visitation period. Richard is permitted to take the children out of the residence during the day during the visitation hours, provided that Richard does not remove the children from Polk County. Any subsequent visitation period shall commence on the eighth week from the end of the previous visitation. *Such visitation shall not interfere with children's attendance at school.*

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for purposes of exercising visitation, Richard shall be responsible for picking the children up from and returning to DeeAnn's residence for visitation periods and times, and DeeAnn shall have the children available and prepared for each visitation period. *By Judge*

Each parent shall provide to the other and, at all times, keep the other parent apprised of his or her respective home addresses and telephone numbers even if the telephone numbers are unlisted in the telephone directory.

#### CHILD SUPPORT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondent, Richard Hoffmann, shall pay child support to DeeAnn Johnson in the sum of \$1600 per month so long as both children qualify for child support. Richard shall pay \$1,078.98 per month in child support to DeeAnn when only one child qualifies for child support. Richard's child support obligation shall begin on September 1, 2007, and shall continue to be paid on the first of each month of each and every subsequent month thereafter until the first of the following events occur for each child:

- (1) The child reaches age eighteen and is no longer enrolled in high school;
- (2) The child graduates from high school, unless the child has not reached the age of eighteen;

- (3) The child reaches age nineteen, regardless if the child has graduated from high school;
- (4) The child becomes self-supporting;
- (5) The child marries; or
- (6) The child dies.

NOTICE: In any subsequent child support action initiated by the Child Support Recovery Unit or between the parties, upon sufficient showing that diligent effort has been made to ascertain the location of such party, the Unit or the Court may deem due process requirements for notice and service of process to be met with respect to the party upon delivery of written notice to the most recent residential or employer address filed with the Clerk of Court or the Child Support Recovery Unit.

PAYMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT AND IMMEDIATE INCOME WITHHOLDING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all child support shall be paid to the Collections Services Center, P.O. Box 9125, Des Moines, Iowa 50306-9125. Each payment shall identify the payor, payee, and the Iowa District Court 05771 DRCV 37370.

Richard is advised that an Order for Immediate Wage Withholding has already been approved by the Court. Richard shall be personally responsible for ensuring that his entire child support payment is promptly paid, whether or not his employer correctly withholds the entire support amount. Richard shall be personally responsible for getting a new wage assignment in place at each and every subsequent employer. Whether or not support payments by the obligor are in arrears, the District Court may enter an ex parte order for immediate income withholding pursuant to Iowa Code section 252D.8 (2007).

EXHIBIT "X-5"

MEDICAL INSURANCE AND EXPENSES

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondent shall be required to provide health and dental insurance for the minor children, if available at a reasonable cost, so long as that child qualifies for child support. If the Respondent does not provide health insurance, the Petitioner may obtain a health insurance policy for the children and the Respondent shall reimburse the Petitioner for the costs of the policy. The Respondent shall be responsible for paying all uncovered medical expenses for each child. "Medical expenses" shall include, but are not limited to, costs for reasonably and necessary medical, orthodontia, dental treatment, physical therapy, eye care, including eye glasses or contact lenses, mental health treatment, substance abuse treatment, prescription drugs, and any other uncovered medical expense.

INCOME TAX DEDUCTIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petitioner shall be able to claim the parties' children as personal dependency exemptions for state and federal income taxes for each and every year that the children qualify.

NECESSARY DOCUMENTS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each of the parties shall execute and deliver to the other any documents that may be reasonably required to accomplish the intent of this instrument.







VERIFICATION OF PETITIONER

STATE OF IOWA :  
COUNTY OF POLK :

I, DeeAnn Johnson, hereby state that I have read the above Decree; I understand the Decree; that it represents my terms and conditions for resolution of this case, and that it is my request that the Court approve this Decree.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DEEANN JOHNSON/ PETITIONER

Subscribed and Sworn to before me and in my presence on this 10<sup>th</sup> Day of August, 2007, by DeeAnn Johnson.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NOTARY PUBLIC In and For the State of Iowa

RESPONDENT VERIFICATION

STATE OF IOWA :  
COUNTY OF STORY :

I, Richard Hoffmann, hereby state that I have read the above Decree; I understand the Decree; that it represents my terms and conditions for resolution of this case, and that it is my request that the Court approve this Decree. I understand that Mr. Jonathan A. Coy is the Petitioner's attorney and represents DeeAnn's best interests. I state that I have not received any legal or other advice from Mr. Coy and he has not forced, pressured, or advised me to sign this Decree.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RICHARD HOFFMANN/ RESPONDENT

Subscribed and Sworn to before me and in my presence on this 16<sup>th</sup> Day of Aug. 2007, by Richard Hoffmann.


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NOTARY PUBLIC In and For the State of Iowa



EXHIBIT 4-84

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JONATHAN A. COY / ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER


Original Filed

Copy to:


Jonathan A. Coy  
218 SE 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 102  
Ames, Iowa 50010  
ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

Richard Hoffmann  
5709 Tahama  
Sacramento, California 95841  
RESPONDENT

EXHIBIT X-94



*Edith B J 4/14/14*



PROPERTIES OF VIDEO CAPTURED AT PORTLAND INTER. AIRPORT  
TUESDAY, JUNE 10, 2014 @ 7:08:16 PM PDT.

EXHIBIT 4Z



MARION COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

POLK COUNTY DISTRICT COURT-IOWA STATE

STATE OF OREGON	)	Court Case/DA # :DRCV37370
County of Marion	) ss.	CSP Case # :
	)	Sheriff's Case #: 1403538

**Sheriff's Return of Service**

I hereby certify that I received the within FAMILY LAW CASE REUIREMENTS ORDER, PETITION FOR MODIFICATION FO CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT, AND CHILD VISITATION RIGHTS AND WRIT OF ASSISTANCE, ORDER MODIFYING DECREE ESTABLISHING PATERNITY, CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT AND VISITATION RIGHTS, EXHIBITS A-1 TO A-9, EXHIBITS B TO I on the 3rd day of July, 2014

I further certify that I served the same within the said State and County at 11:00 AM on the 3rd day of July, 2014, on the within named DEEANN RAE JOHNSON at 100 HIGH ST NE, SALEM, personally and in person, by delivering a true copy thereof.

I hereby declare that the above statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that I understand it is made for use as evidence in court and is subject to penalty for perjury.

Jason Myers, Sheriff  
Marion County, Oregon

By TED BURWELL  
DEPUTY

By [Signature]

Fee: \$36.00

**COPY**  
ORIGINAL RETURNED TO COURT

EXHIBIT "AA"

REQUEST FOR HEARING  
(To Be Completed By Respondent Only)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Ann Hansen [Redacted]  
Petitioner (date of birth) )  
(full name of person who asked for restraining order) )

Case No. 14C31598

v.

REQUEST FOR HEARING  
(Family Abuse Prevention Act)

Richard Hoffmann [Redacted]  
Respondent (date of birth) )  
(full name of person to be restrained) )

7/3/14 11:00 AM 3A

I am the Respondent in the above-referenced action and I request the following:

Select Paragraph 1 OR 2 below.

- 1.  NO HEARING DATE HAS BEEN SET, and I am requesting a hearing to contest (object to) all or a part of the order as follows (mark one or more):
  - The order restraining me from contacting, threatening or attempting to contact the Petitioner.
  - The order granting temporary child/ren custody to the Petitioner.
  - The terms of the parenting time order.

Other: I REQUEST VIDEO, AUDIO AND/OR ANY WRITTEN ALLEGED "EVIDENCE" TO SUPPORT THE CLAIMS ALLEGED BY PETITIONER. I AM REQUESTING IMMEDIATE ACTION INCLUDING A WRIT OF ASSISTANCE TO SAFELY RESCUE

- 2.  THE COURT HAS ORDERED AN "EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES" HEARING, but I am requesting an earlier hearing date to be held within 5 days after the date I file this request with the court, which is earlier than the date of the hearing already scheduled by the court which is \_\_\_\_\_ (date).

- I will also be contesting (objecting to) all or a part of the order as follows (mark one or more):
  - The order restraining me from contacting, threatening or attempting to contact the Petitioner.
  - The order granting temporary child/ren custody to the Petitioner.
  - The terms of the parenting time order.

THE CHILDREN BACK TO THE RESPONDENT FATHER, WITHOUT FURTHER DELAY

THANKS!  
[Signature]

I  will  will not be represented by an attorney at the hearing. The name and Bar Number of the attorney (if known) are: \_\_\_\_\_

- I will need no language interpretation services at the hearing.
- I will need American's with Disabilities Act accommodations at the hearing.

Notice of the time and place of the hearing can be mailed to me at the address below.

[Signature]  
Respondent's Signature

I AM MOVING FROM HOTEL TO HOTEL AUGUST'S WHICH IS OPEN. THANKS!

**Certificate of Document Preparation.** You are required to truthfully complete this certificate regarding the document you are filing with the court. Check all boxes and complete all blanks that apply below:

- I selected this document for myself and I completed it without paid assistance.
- I paid or will pay money to \_\_\_\_\_ for assistance in preparing this form.

Submitted by:

RICHARD WILLIAM HOFFMANN SR.

Print Name,  Respondent  Attorney for Respondent  OSB No. (if applicable)

5941 VISTA DR, #436, WEST DES MOINES, IA 50266

Address or Contact Address City, State, Zip Telephone or Contact Telephone Number  
Use a Safe Contact address Use a Safe Contact number

PLEASE CALL 515 988-0464

NOTE! PLEASE READ AND PERFORM YOUR DUTY TO ACT GIVEN THE TESTIMONY IN THE SD PAGE ATTACHMENT SIGNED BY RESPONDENT UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY. A "WRIT OF ASSISTANCE" 6/23/14 IS HELD BY REQUESTER!

[Signature]  
RICHARD WILLIAM HOFFMANN SR.

1533ms

6/23/14

TO THE COURT; DISTRICT JUDGE:

WEEKEND

AS THERE IS NO SUPERVISED

VISITATION AVAILABLE AT THE

TIME OF THIS WRITING, I BEL

AN IMMEDIATE AMENDMENT

TO THE CURRENT RESTRAINING


ORDER #14C 31598 TO

INCLUDE WEEKDAY VISITATION

SO I CAN IN FACT VISIT MY

CHILDREN DURING THEIR NORMAL

BUSINESS HOURS. THANK!

  
R. M. AND H. M. AND



**TO PETITIONER AND RESPONDENT:**

**NOTICE OF EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES HEARING:**

The court has scheduled an exceptional circumstances hearing about the temporary custody of your child/ren, on:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_ Courtroom: \_\_\_\_\_

See below for information about the "Exceptional Circumstances" hearing.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Ann Johnson

Petitioner (your full name)

[Redacted]

See CIF )  
(date of birth) )

Case No. 14C31548

v.

) NOTICE TO RESPONDENT/  
) REQUEST FOR HEARING  
) (Family Abuse Prevention Act)

Richard Hoffmann

Respondent

(full name of person to be restrained)

[Redacted]

See CIF )  
(date of birth) )

THIS FORM MUST BE ATTACHED TO ALL COPIES OF THE RESTRAINING ORDER

**TO RESPONDENT: A RESTRAINING ORDER HAS BEEN ISSUED BY THE COURT WHICH AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS. THIS ORDER IS NOW IN EFFECT.** You have the right to contest this Restraining Order as set out in the paragraph(s) checked below.

- An "Exceptional Circumstance" Hearing Has Been Scheduled. (This means that the box on the top of this page, "Notice of "Exceptional Circumstances" Hearing," has been filled out.)

The court has determined that there are exceptional circumstances affecting your child/ren and has ordered a hearing to be held on the issue of temporary custody. If you wish to be heard on the issue of temporary custody, you must appear at the date and time stated in the box above. If you disagree with any of the OTHER TERMS of the Restraining Order, you must also appear at the time and place specified above. This will be your only chance to do so. If you do not go to the hearing, the Restraining Order may be upheld (continued) and all matters decided against you. If you want an earlier hearing date than the date specified above, you must complete the request for hearing form below and mail or deliver it to the address on Page 2.

An "Exceptional Circumstances" Hearing Has NOT Been Scheduled. (This means that the box on the top of page 1 is BLANK.) If you want to contest (object to) the terms of this order, including the award of temporary custody to Petitioner, you must complete the attached "REQUEST FOR HEARING" form (on Pages 3 and 4) and mail or deliver it to the address on the bottom of this page.

A REQUEST FOR HEARING must be made within 30 days after you receive the order. You must include your address and telephone number with your request for a hearing. The hearing will be held within 21 days, or within 5 days if you are contesting a temporary custody provision (not parenting time). At the hearing, a judge will decide whether the order should be canceled, changed, or continued. **If you do not go to the hearing, the restraining order may be upheld (continued) and all matters decided against you.**

If no hearing date has been set already and you do not request a hearing within 30 days after you receive this Restraining Order, this restraining order will continue in effect as issued.

**Enforceability of the Restraining Order**

The Restraining Order you have received is in effect and remains in effect until the court modifies or dismisses it or until it expires. The order may also be renewed upon a finding that a person in the Petitioner's situation would reasonably fear further acts of abuse by you if the order is not renewed. If you are arrested for violating this order, the security amount (bail) is \$5,000, unless a different amount is ordered by the court.

This Restraining Order, or any Order continuing or changing this Order, is enforceable in every county in Oregon. It is also enforceable in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, tribal lands and territories of the United States.

**Violation of the Restraining Order**

Violation of any part of this restraining order, or any order continuing or changing this order, constitutes contempt of court, punishable by a fine of up to \$500 or one percent of your annual gross income, whichever is greater, or a jail term of up to six months, or both. Other consequences may also be imposed for contempt.

**Federal Restrictions**

**FIREARMS PROHIBITIONS MAY APPLY TO YOU!**

As a result of this Order, or any Order continuing or changing this Order, it may be unlawful for you to possess or purchase a firearm, including a rifle, pistol, or revolver, or ammunition pursuant to federal law under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g), as well as state and local law. If you have any questions whether these laws make it illegal for you to possess or purchase a firearm, you should consult an attorney.

You may also be subject to further restrictions and prohibited from:

- Traveling across state lines or tribal land lines with the intent to violate this Order and then violating this Order.
- Causing the Petitioner to cross state lines or tribal land lines for the purpose of violating the order
- Possessing, receiving, shipping or transporting any firearm or firearm ammunition.

**Other Laws May Also Apply To You**

Whether or not a Restraining Order is in effect, federal law may prohibit you from:

- Traveling across state lines or tribal land lines with the intent to injure the Petitioner and then intentionally committing a crime of violence causing bodily injury to the Petitioner.
- Causing the Petitioner to travel across state lines or tribal land lines if your intent is to cause bodily injury to the Petitioner or if the travel results in your causing bodily injury to the Petitioner.

**IF YOU COMPLETE THE REQUEST FOR HEARING FORM, YOU MUST MAIL OR DELIVER IT TO (address of court): \_\_\_\_\_**

# CONTESTING A FAMILY ABUSE PREVENTION ACT (FAPA) RESTRAINING ORDER

## INSTRUCTIONS

Procedures vary from court to court. Check with your local court for filing instructions.

### IMPORTANT NOTE

#### *INFORMATION THAT MUST BE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL*

You must keep certain information ("confidential personal information") out of any papers you file or submit to the court and, instead, provide that information in a Confidential Information Form (CIF). "Confidential Personal Information" includes social security number; date of birth; telephone number; email address; residential or mailing address *if that information is required by law*; employer's name, address, and telephone number; and driver's license number. It also applies to information regarding a party or a party's child. On the pleading or document where that confidential personal information would otherwise appear, you must note that the information has been separately provided under UTCRC 2.130.

CIFs filed *with the court* must be served on other PARTIES *unless* the court orders otherwise on page 2 of the CIF (Form 2.130.1).

If an Order of Nondisclosure has been entered you must serve UTCRC Form 2.130.3 on the other party. This notice informs the other party of the existence of the Order Preventing Disclosure.

#### **Relevant Rules and Forms**

UTCRC 2.130. -- Family Law Confidential Information Forms

UTCRC Form 2.130.1 -- Family Law Confidential Information Form (CIF)

UTCRC Form 2.130.3 -- Family Law Notice of Order Preventing Disclosure

### WHAT IF I DISAGREE WITH SOME OR ALL OF THE RESTRAINING ORDER?

The judge granted the restraining order based on input from the other side (the Petitioner). If you disagree with information given to the judge, or you disagree with all or part of the order, you have a right to give the judge your input.

### HOW DO I OBJECT TO THE RESTRAINING ORDER?

If you want a judge to consider whether the restraining order should remain in effect, or change some of the things in the restraining order, you must fill out the form called "Request for Hearing." The "Request for Hearing" form is part of the court paper that says "Notice to Respondent/Request for Hearing" on the top, right hand side of the page. You should have received a copy of this form when you were served with the restraining order. If you did not receive one, you may download the Request for Hearing form from the state website, <http://courts.oregon.gov/sites/OJD/OSCA/cpsd/courtimprovement/familylaw/index.page>, or contact the court that issued the order.

You have 30 days after you are served with the restraining order to ask the judge to dismiss or change the order, by filing a "Request for Hearing." The Request for Hearing must be filed with the court within 30 days from the date you were served. If you request a hearing and the judge continues the Restraining Order, federal law may prohibit you from possessing or purchasing any firearm or ammunition (*including hunting rifles*).

If it has been more than 30 days since the date you were served, the only type of hearing you may request is to make changes to custody and/or parenting time, your removal from the home, your restrictions from other premises, or contact by you in-person, by telephone, or otherwise. Either party may request this type of hearing. You may request such a hearing by asking the clerk at the courthouse for the forms needed to "modify" a restraining order. The judge may schedule a hearing to decide whether or not to change the order. The judge may decide not to change the order even if both sides agree that they want the same changes.

#### WHAT HAPPENS IF I DO NOT OBJECT?

If you do not ask for a contested hearing within the first 30 days after you receive the court papers, the Restraining Order will continue for one year from the date the judge signed it. It can also be renewed for one year at a time after that.

#### WHEN WILL THE CONTESTED HEARING BE HELD?

If the court has already ordered a hearing about custody of your children (sometimes called an "exceptional circumstance hearing"): If the court has found that there are "exceptional circumstances" regarding custody of the child/ren, the court will schedule a hearing to be held within 14 days. The box on the top of page 1 of the restraining order and "Notice to Respondent/Request for Hearing" will give you information about the date and place for that hearing. At the hearing, you will be asked to provide information about your children.

If the court has NOT ordered a hearing but you would like to request one: If the order grants custody and you ask the judge to make a change relating to child custody, the court must hold the hearing within 5 business days of your request. If you are not asking the judge to change child custody, the court must hold the hearing within 21 days of your request. If the hearing is scheduled more than a few days away, the court will send you notice of the time and date of the hearing in the mail. If there is not enough time to mail you a notice, the court may contact you by telephone. **Be sure the court always has your current contact addresses and contact phone numbers so you get notice of any hearing.** You also can call the court to check to see if a hearing has been set.

If you do not go to the hearing, you will lose your chance to ask the judge to dismiss or change the Restraining Order. If you cannot go to the hearing due to an emergency, call the court clerk right away. It may be helpful to have an attorney represent you at the hearing, but it is not required.

#### WHAT WILL HAPPEN AT THE HEARING I REQUEST?

The purpose of the hearing is to decide whether or not the restraining order will remain in effect, and if it does remain in effect, if the order will stay the same or change in some way.

If you would like more information about what to expect at the hearing, go to <http://courts.oregon.gov/sites/OJD/OSCA/cpsd/courtimprovement/familylaw/index.page> and look for the "Domestic Violence Information" link on the left side. From there, click on "Information for Respondents."

#### DO I NEED A LAWYER?

If you have questions about how the law works or what it means, you may need to talk to a lawyer. You are not required to have a lawyer to contest the restraining order, but you can have a lawyer represent or help you if you wish. If you need help finding a lawyer, you may call the Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service at 503.684.3763 or 800.452.7636. If you believe you cannot afford a lawyer, ask court staff if your area has a legal services (legal aid) program that might help you.

#### WHAT IF I NEED AN ACCOMMODATION OR AN INTERPRETER?

If you have a disability and need an accommodation, or you are unable to speak English and need a foreign language interpreter, you must tell the court as soon as possible, but at least four days before your hearing. Tell the clerk that you have a disability and what type of assistance you need or prefer, or which language you speak. If the clerk says there will be a "5 day hearing," be sure to indicate this on your "Request for Hearing" form that you will need an interpreter or accommodations the same day you file your "Request for Hearing" form.

TO PETITIONER AND RESPONDENT:

NOTICE OF "Exceptional Circumstances HEARING:

The Court has scheduled an "exceptional circumstances" hearing about the temporary custody of your child/ren, on:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Courtroom: \_\_\_\_\_

(To Be Completed by Court Staff Only)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
COUNTY OF Polk

DeAnn Johnson  
Petitioner (your full name)

See CIF )  
(date of birth) )

Case No. 14C31598

v.

Richard Hoffmann  
Respondent  
(full name of person to be restrained)

See CIF )  
(date of birth) )

RESTRAINING ORDER  
TO PREVENT ABUSE  
(Family Abuse Prevention Act)

NOTICE TO RESPONDENT:

- You must obey all of the provisions of this Restraining Order, even if the Petitioner contacts you or gives you permission to contact him/ her.
- Violation of this Restraining Order may result in your arrest and in civil and/or criminal penalties. This order is enforceable throughout Oregon and in every other state. Review this order carefully.
- See the attached "NOTICE TO RESPONDENT/REQUEST FOR HEARING" for more information about your rights to a hearing.

The Court, having reviewed the Petition, and having heard testimony, makes the following findings:

1. Petitioner and Respondent are RELATED as follows:

Judge's Initials

1. ABR

- A. Petitioner and Respondent are  spouses/ registered domestic partners, or  former spouses/former registered domestic partners.
- B. Petitioner and Respondent  are adults related by blood, marriage or adoption.
- C. Petitioner and Respondent  have been cohabiting (living together in a sexually intimate relationship) since \_\_\_\_\_ (date), or  cohabited from 2/09 (date) to 11-01 (date).
- D. Petitioner and Respondent  have been involved in a sexually intimate relationship within the last two years.
- E. Petitioner and Respondent  are the unmarried parents of a child/ren.
- F. Petitioner  is a minor and has been involved in a sexually intimate relationship with Respondent who is 18 years of age or older.

2.

Respondent has ABUSED Petitioner as defined by ORS 107.705; the abuse occurred WITHIN THE LAST 180 DAYS as provided in ORS 107.710; Respondent represents a CREDIBLE THREAT to the physical safety of Petitioner or Petitioner's child/ren; and the Petitioner is in IMMINENT DANGER OF FURTHER ABUSE.

2. ABR

Judge's Initials

3. This order involves minor CHILDREN.

A. Oregon has JURISDICTION over the issues of the child/ren custody and parenting time under ORS 109.701 to 109.834 on the following grounds:

3A. ABE

- 1.  Oregon is the child/ren's home state OR  No other state has home state jurisdiction OR  All courts with jurisdiction on home state or significant connections grounds declined jurisdiction  OR \_\_\_\_\_ is the child/ren's home state but it has declined jurisdiction  AND the children's parents or a person acting as a parent has significant connections with Oregon and substantial evidence is available here concerning the children's care, protection, and personal relationships. ORS 109.741 (1)(a)(b) and (c).
- 2.  Oregon was the home state within six months before this proceeding was commenced and the child/ren are absent from the state but a parent or person acting as a parent continues to live in Oregon. ORS 109.741(1)(a)
- 3.  Emergency grounds exist for the exercise of temporary jurisdiction because the child/ren are present in this state and have been abandoned or it is necessary to protect the child/ren because the child/ren, or a sibling or parent of the child/ren is subjected to or threatened with mistreatment or abuse. ORS 109.751

B. Existence of other orders concerning the minor children involved:

3B. ABE

- PRIOR ORDER EXISTS ELSEWHERE: A previous child/ren custody, parenting time, guardianship or juvenile dependency determination has been made in IOWA (State/Tribe/Country).
- CUSTODY/PARENTING TIME MATTER PENDING: A child/ren custody, parenting time, guardianship, or juvenile dependency proceeding has been commenced in \_\_\_\_\_ (State/Tribe/Country).
- NO PRIOR ORDER EXISTS AND NONE IS PENDING: No child/ren custody, parenting time, guardianship or juvenile dependency determination has been issued or proceeding commenced in another state, tribe or country having jurisdiction under ORS 109.701-109.834. The custody and parenting time provisions in this Order shall become a final determination for purposes of the Uniform Child/ren Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act if Oregon becomes the home state of the child/ren.

C. INTERSTATE JUDICIAL COMMUNICATION is needed because:

3C. ABE

- A custody/parenting time/child/ren placement matter is CURRENTLY PENDING in another state/tribe/country, or SEE ATACARA
- Oregon is exercising Temporary Emergency Jurisdiction under the UCCJEA in this protective order and ANOTHER STATE/TRIBE/COUNTRY HAS ALREADY ISSUED a custody/parenting time/child/ren placement order.

D. EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES exist that affect the custody of the child/ren.

3D. \_\_\_\_\_

4. EMERGENCY MONETARY ASSISTANCE: The Court finds that emergency monetary assistance is necessary to provide for the safety and welfare of the Petitioner and/or one or more child/ren in the custody of the Petitioner.

4. \_\_\_\_\_

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

Judge's Initials

Petitioner's Request (check all that apply):

1. Respondent is restrained (prohibited) from intimidating, molesting, interfering with or menacing Petitioner, or attempting to intimidate, molest, interfere with or menace Petitioner directly or through third parties.

1. ABR

2. Respondent is restrained (prohibited) from intimidating, molesting, interfering with or menacing, or attempting to intimidate, molest, interfere, or menace, the minor child/ren in Petitioner's custody directly or through third parties.

2. ABM

3. Except as otherwise set out in this Order, Respondent is restrained (prohibited) from entering or attempting to enter, or remaining in, the area within  150 feet or  \_\_\_\_\_ feet of the building and land at the following locations: (include names/addresses unless withheld for safety reasons)

3. ABR

a. Petitioner's current or future residence: 1191 Winter St NE, Salem OR 97301

b. Petitioner's current or future business or place of employment: Wells Fargo Home Mtg @ Portland Int'l Airport - Cascade Station

c. Petitioner's current or future school: \_\_\_\_\_

d. Other locations: My sister's homes: 413 Winterberry Ave NE LA & 1403 109th St Unboundale Et 4232

4. Respondent shall not knowingly be or stay within  150 feet or  \_\_\_\_\_ feet (other distance) of Petitioner unless otherwise ordered by the Court as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

4. ABR

Nothing in this restraining order prevents Respondent from appearing at or participating in a court (or administrative) hearing or other related legal process as a party or witness in a case involving the Petitioner. At these times, Respondent must stay at least 5 feet away from the Petitioner and follow any additional protective terms ordered in that case. Further, nothing in this order prevents Respondent from serving or providing documents related to a court (or administrative) case to the Petitioner in a manner permitted by law. However, Respondent may not personally deliver legally-related documents to the Petitioner.

5. Except as otherwise set out in this Order, Respondent is restrained (prohibited) from:

5. ABR

a. Contacting, or attempting to contact, Petitioner in person directly or through third parties.

b. Contacting, or attempting to contact, Petitioner by mail or e-mail, or any other electronic transmission, except for mailing court-ordered emergency monetary assistance, checks or money orders directly or through third parties.

c. Contacting, or attempting to contact, Petitioner by telephone, including cell phone or text messaging directly or through third parties.

d. Exceptions to the restraint from third party contact is as follows (list purpose/s and person/s): \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Initials

- 6. Respondent is restrained (prohibited) from entering, attempting to enter, or remaining at: 6. ABL
  - a. The child/ren's current or future day care provider, or removing them from daycare.
  - b. The child/ren's current or future school, or removing them from the school.

7. Respondent shall move from and not return to the residence located at: 7. \_\_\_\_\_

except with a peace officer to remove essential personal effects of the Respondent, and if the Respondent is the custodial parent, essential personal effects of Respondent's child/ren, including, but not limited to: clothing, toiletries, diapers, medications, social security cards, birth certificates, identification and tools of the trade.

8. A peace officer shall accompany the Petitioner to the parties' residence to **remove essential personal effects of Petitioner**, and if the Petitioner is the custodial parent, essential personal effects of the Petitioner's child/ren, including, but not limited to: clothing, toiletries, diapers, medications, social security cards, birth certificates, identification and tools of the trade. 8. \_\_\_\_\_

9. **Emergency Monetary Assistance:** The Respondent is ordered to pay Petitioner \$ \_\_\_\_\_ as Emergency Monetary Assistance by the 45<sup>th</sup> day after Respondent is served with this Restraining Order by  check or  money order. Payment is to be made by mail to the following address: \_\_\_\_\_ 9. \_\_\_\_\_

*Use Safe Contact Address*

10. **Firearms.** Respondent shall not purchase or possess any firearms or ammunition. 10. ABL  
[OJIN Event Code: FQOR]  
Other orders regarding firearms (*for court use only*): \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**FIREARMS NOTIFICATION**

If the firearms prohibition in Paragraph 10 is initialed by the judge, it IS unlawful under OREGON state law for you to possess or purchase a FIREARM, including a rifle, pistol, or revolver, and AMMUNITION.

You should consult an attorney if you have questions about this.

[OJIN EVENT CODE: NOGR]

11. Other Relief: 11. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



**CHILD CUSTODY**

Judge's Initials

12. **TEMPORARY CUSTODY** of the following child/ren is ordered as follows, subject to the parenting time terms set forth in Paragraphs 17 and 18 below.

12. ABL

Additional page attached labeled, "Paragraph 12 continued."

Party to Have Custody (Petitioner or Respondent)	Child/ren's Name	Date of Birth	Age
Petitioner	Morgan Johnson	See CIF 9-13-05	8
Petitioner	Nash Johnson	See CIF 10-5-06	7
		See CIF	
		See CIF	
		See CIF	
		See CIF	
		See CIF	

13. A peace officer of the county or city where the child/ren are located shall assist in recovering the custody of the parties' child/ren that was awarded to Petitioner. The peace officer is authorized to use any reasonable force to that end, including forcible entry into the following specific premises (list the address(es) where the child/ren are most likely to be found and why): \_\_\_\_\_

13. \_\_\_\_\_

14. (For court use only) Effect of Prior Custody Order (ORS 107.722) A CUSTODY ORDER ALREADY EXISTS in Case # DIRCV 31370 filed in

14. ABL

Polk County, Oregon, or  Iowa (another state/tribe).

14A. NO new custody order is made because the terms in the existing order or judgment shall continue to apply.

14B. The child/ren custody provisions in paragraph 12 of this Restraining Order are necessary to protect the safety and welfare of the child/ren or Petitioner but they CONFLICT with the custody provisions in the already existing order or judgment. Therefore, the child/ren custody provisions in this Restraining Order shall remain in effect only until this Restraining Order expires or is cancelled, until a new order is issued in the other case, or until \_\_\_\_\_ (date), whichever occurs first.

15. **Exceptional Circumstances Hearing:** The Court has found that exceptional circumstances affecting custody exist, so NO custody order is entered at this time about the parties' child/ren. Both parties shall instead appear at a hearing as indicated in the box on the upper center of page 1 of this Restraining Order. This hearing will be the respondent's only chance to contest this order. The purpose of the hearing will be to consider the temporary custody of the parties' child/ren and other issues that may be contested by the Respondent. At the hearing, the court may cancel or change this Order.

Judge's Initials

16. Until the Exceptional Circumstances Hearing, the residence of the child/ren and the parental contact with the child/ren shall be as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

16. \_\_\_\_\_

PARENTING TIME

17. The parent not awarded temporary custody shall have parenting time with the minor child/ren listed in paragraph 12 beginning on \_\_\_\_\_ as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

17. \_\_\_\_\_

a. NO PARENTING TIME because (explain why Respondent should not have parenting time): \_\_\_\_\_

17a. ABE

b. SUPERVISED PARENTING TIME:

17b. \_\_\_\_\_

3 hours or  \_\_\_\_\_ hours per week supervised by Supervised Parenting Centers, as follows: to be paid for by Richard Hoffmann - see attached options to be released on Sat or Sun only

c. PARENTING TIME as follows (day/s of week, place, times)  or as attached: \_\_\_\_\_

17c. \_\_\_\_\_

AND/OR

Every weekend from \_\_\_\_\_ (day) \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m. until \_\_\_\_\_ (day) to \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m.

FIRST AND THIRD or  SECOND AND FOURTH weekends from \_\_\_\_\_ (day) \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m. until \_\_\_\_\_ (day) to \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m.

Once per week on \_\_\_\_\_ (day) \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m. until \_\_\_\_\_ (day) to \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m.

d. The parent without temporary custody will pick up and return the child/ren at: \_\_\_\_\_

17d. \_\_\_\_\_

Petitioner's  Respondent's residence.  Petitioner  Respondent may remain at the curb (or driveway if no curb), for a maximum of 5 minutes or  \_\_\_\_\_ minutes, for the sole purpose of picking up and/or returning the child/ren.

Other location: \_\_\_\_\_

18. (For court use only) Effect of Prior Parenting Time Order (ORS 107.722) \_\_\_\_\_

18. ABE

A PARENTING TIME ORDER ALREADY EXISTS in Case # DRCV37510 filed in Polk County, Oregon, or  Idaho (Another State/Tribe)

NO new parenting time order is made because the terms in the existing order or judgment shall continue to apply.

The parenting time provisions in this Restraining Order are necessary to protect the safety and welfare of the child/ren or Petitioner but they CONFLICT with the custody provisions in the already existing order or judgment. Therefore, the parenting time provisions in this Restraining Order shall remain in effect only until this Restraining Order expires or is cancelled, until a new order is issued in the other case, or until \_\_\_\_\_ (date), whichever occurs first.

Judge's Initials

19. No further service is necessary because Respondent appeared in person before the court. 19. \_\_\_\_\_

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the SECURITY AMOUNT for violation of any provision of this Order is \$5,000 unless otherwise specified here: Other Amount: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

The above provisions of this Restraining Order are in effect for a period of one (1) year from the date of the judge's signature (unless renewed before it expires) or until the Order is dismissed, modified, or replaced, whichever occurs first.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH FULL FAITH AND CREDIT PROVISIONS OF VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT (This is not a Brady Certificate)

This Restraining Order meets all full faith and credit requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C. 2265. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter. The Respondent is being afforded notice and timely opportunity to be heard as provided by the law of this jurisdiction. This Order is valid and entitled to enforcement in this and all other jurisdictions.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The Petition for Restraining Order to Prevent Abuse is GRANTED as set forth above.

The Petition for Restraining Order is DENIED because:

- The Petitioner did not establish a claim for relief.
- The Petitioner did not appear at the time set for the ex parte hearing on his/her petition.
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

DATED: 6-20-14

Auseley J. Bayes  
JUDGE (Signature)  
Bayes  
Print or Type Name of Judge

Certificate of Document Preparation. You are required to truthfully complete this certificate regarding the document you are filing with the Court. Check all boxes and complete all blanks that apply:

- I selected this document for myself and I completed it without paid assistance.
- I paid or will pay money to \_\_\_\_\_ for assistance in preparing this form.

Submitted by:

DEEAnn Johnson

Print Name,  Petitioner  Attorney for Petitioner  OSB No. (if applicable)  
1191 Winter St NE Salem OR 97301 (515) 664-5959  
Address or Contact Address City, State, Zip Telephone or Contact Telephone Number  
Use Safe Contact Address Use Safe Contact Number

STATE OF OREGON  
Marion County Circuit Courts  
JUN 20 2014  
ENTERED

STATE OF OREGON  
Marion County Circuit Courts  
JUN 20 2014  
FILED

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case No. 14C31598

DeAnn Johnson  
Petitioner/State,

v.

FIREARMS NOTIFICATION  
under 42 U.S.C. 3796gg(4)(e)

Richard Hoffmann  
Respondent/Defendant.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO THE  RESPONDENT  DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE:

As a result of this:

- RESTRAINING ORDER (e.g., FAPA, EPPDAPA/Domestic Relations Temporary Order of Restraint/Other)
- PROTECTIVE ORDER (Stalking/Other)
- RELEASE AGREEMENT and/or NO CONTACT ORDER
- CRIMINAL CONVICTION
- PROBATION ORDER PROHIBITING CONTACT,
- ADJUDICATION OF CONTEMPT (for violation of restraining order),

It MAY be unlawful for you to possess, receive, ship, transport or purchase a FIREARM, including a rifle, pistol, or revolver, or AMMUNITION pursuant to federal law under 18 U.S.C. 922(g)(8) or (9), and/or other provision of federal or state law.

Your criminal conviction, plea of guilty or no contest plea MAY also negatively affect your ability to serve in the Armed Forces of the United States as defined in ORS 348.282 or to be employed in law enforcement.

**If you have any questions about whether federal or state laws make it illegal for you to possess or purchase a firearm, and/or about whether the order or conviction entered against you will affect your ability to serve in the military or be employed in law enforcement, you should consult an attorney.**

STATE OF OREGON  
Marion County Circuit Courts  
JUN 20 2014  
ENTERED

STATE OF OREGON  
Marion County Circuit Courts  
JUN 20 2014  
FILED

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DeAnn Johnson  
Petitioner (your full name)

See CIF )  
(date of birth) )

Case No. 14C31598

v.

**PETITION FOR RESTRAINING ORDER  
TO PREVENT ABUSE**  
(Family Abuse Prevention Act)  
ORS 107.700 – 107.735

Richard Hoffmann  
Respondent  
(full name of person restrained).

See CIF )  
(date of birth) )

**NOTICE TO PETITIONER**

You must provide complete and truthful information. If you do not, the court may dismiss any restraining order and may also hold you in contempt.

**Contact Address and Telephone Number:** If you wish to have your residential address or telephone number withheld from Respondent, use a contact address and telephone number so the court and the sheriff can reach you if necessary.

**NOTICE TO PETITIONER**

You must keep certain information ("confidential personal information") out of any papers you file or submit to the court and, instead, provide that information in a Confidential Information Form (CIF). On this document, where that confidential personal information would otherwise appear, you must provide that in a Confidential Information Form (CIF) under UTCR 2.130 (see instructions).

I am the Petitioner and I state that the following information is true:

I am a resident of Marion County, Oregon. I am 44 years old.  
Respondent is a resident of Washington Polk County, State of Oregon.  
Respondent is 53 years old.

- At the hearing, I will need an interpreter in the \_\_\_\_\_ language.
- At the hearing, I will need American's with Disabilities Act accommodations.

**1. CHECK and FILL OUT ALL THAT APPLY:**

- A. Respondent is my  spouse/domestic partner  former spouse/domestic partner. We were married/registered on \_\_\_\_\_ (date). Our marriage/partnership was dissolved on 02/09 (date).
- B. Respondent and I are adults related by blood, marriage, or adoption. Respondent is my \_\_\_\_\_ (type of relationship).
- C. Respondent and I have been cohabiting (living together in a sexually intimate relationship) since \_\_\_\_\_ (date), or cohabited from 2-04 (date) to 11-07 (date).
- D. Respondent and I have been involved in a sexually intimate relationship within the last two years.
- E. Respondent and I are the unmarried parents of a child.
- F. I am a minor and have been involved in a sexually intimate relationship with Respondent, who is 18 years of age or older.

2. WITHIN THE LAST 180 DAYS\*\*, RESPONDENT HAS (check all that apply):

- A. Caused me bodily injury.
- B. Attempted to cause me bodily injury.
- C. Placed me in fear of imminent bodily injury.
- D. Caused me to engage in involuntary sexual relations by force or threat of force

**\*\*THE 180 DAY PERIOD CAN BE INCREASED BY THE AMOUNT OF TIME RESPONDENT WAS IN JAIL, IN PRISON, OR LIVED MORE THAN 100 MILES FROM YOUR HOME:**

- The Respondent was incarcerated from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.
- The Respondent lived more than 100 miles from my home from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ (date).

3. DESCRIBE THE INCIDENT(S) OF ABUSE THAT HAPPENED IN THE LAST 180 DAYS:

Describe how Respondent hurt or threatened to hurt you, starting with the most recent incident:

Date: 6-19, County/State: Marion : Oregon  
 Threatened to kill me & abduct my children  
 Threatened to kill both of my sister's children

Date: 6-18, County/State: Marion : Oregon  
 Threatened to kill me & abduct my children  
 Threatened to kill both of my sisters children

Date: 6-16, County/State: Marion : Oregon  
 Threatened to kill me & abduct my children, & kill both of my sisters children

Additional pages attached labeled "Paragraph 3: Description of Abuse"

4. Are there incidents other than those described above in which the Respondent has hurt or threatened to hurt you before the 180 day period above? If yes, explain:

Describe how Respondent hurt or threatened to hurt you, starting with the most recent incident:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_, County/State: Waukegan Polk: Adair Iowa

Restraining me spitting on on me  
threatening my life if I don't do as he says

Date: \_\_\_\_\_, County/State: Waukegan Polk Adair Iowa

Pushing me down and holding me against  
my will while I was pregnant

Date: 6-11-11, County/State: Waukegan Polk Adair Iowa

hold my arms so tight that he left  
bruises, threatening me with physical  
emotional  
mental ang  
if I didn't  
comply

Additional pages attached labeled "Paragraph 4: Additional Abuse"

5. I am in imminent danger of further abuse by Respondent and the Respondent is a threat to my physical safety or the physical safety of my child/ren because: he has threaten to w/ his  
abduction my children, demands

6. IN ANY OF THE ABOVE INCIDENTS OR OTHER INCIDENTS OF ABUSE:

A. Were you injured?  Yes  No Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

B. Did you seek medical treatment?  Yes  No Describe: mental health

C. Were weapons involved?  Yes  No Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

D. Were drugs or alcohol involved?  Yes  No Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

E. Were the police called?  Yes  No Who was arrested? Police reports taken  
in Salem & Silverton no arrests

7.  The Respondent has access to firearms now, or I am concerned about his/her getting firearms.  
 I want the Respondent ordered not to possess or purchase firearms or ammunition because (explain how your and/or your children's safety and welfare are affected by Respondent's possession of firearms):  
my safety + the safety of my children

8.  There is another  restraining order and/or  stalking order between Respondent and me:  
 \_\_\_\_\_ County, State of \_\_\_\_\_, Case # \_\_\_\_\_
9.  There is another court case between Respondent and me for divorce/dissolution, annulment, legal separation, or paternity in: Polk Co County, State of IOWA  
 Case # DR CV 31370
10.  I need an order requiring Respondent to move from my residence. (Check all that apply.)  
 The residence is  solely in my name, or  jointly owned, or  jointly leased by me and Respondent, or  jointly rented by me and Respondent, or  Respondent is my spouse/registered domestic partner.
11.  I request that Respondent pay me emergency monetary assistance (one time payment) to help me and/or my child/ren in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for (describe why needed): \_\_\_\_\_

JOINT CHILD/REN

12. THE CHILD/REN OF RESPONDENT AND ME WHO ARE UNDER THE AGE OF 18:

Name	Age	Birthdate	Gender/Sex
Morgan Johnson	8	SEE CIF	F
Noah Johnson	7	SEE CIF	M
		SEE CIF	
		SEE CIF	
		SEE CIF	
		SEE CIF	
		SEE CIF	

Additional pages attached labeled "Paragraph 12: Joint Child/ren"

13. The child/ren are now living with DeAnn Johnson  
 at 1191 Winter St NE Salem Or 97301 (address or use a safe contact address). For how long? 1 month she arrived 5/30/14  
KIDS ARRIVED 6/10/14
14. Where have the child/ren listed in Paragraph 12 above lived for the last five years and with whom (starting with the most recent location)?

Child's Name	Lived With	From (date)	To (date)	County & State
Morgan	DeAnn	current	current	Marion OR
Noah	DeAnn	current	current	Marion OR
Morgan	DeAnn	birth	5-14	Polk IA
Noah	DeAnn	birth	5-14	Polk IA

Additional pages attached labeled "Paragraph 14: Child/ren-Past 5 Years"



- 15.  My child/ren have lived in Oregon for the last 6 months.
- My child/ren have NOT lived in Oregon for the last 6 months BUT my child/ren and I are now living in Oregon and I want the Court to award me custody because of an EMERGENCY. Describe the emergency:

Father is continually threatening to abduct  
maison + work. continues to threaten my  
childrens place of daycare

- 16. If you and Respondent are unmarried, has legal paternity of your child/ren been established?  Yes  No  
 If yes, in what way?  Birth Certificate  Child Support Proceeding  Voluntary Acknowledgment  
 Paternity Lawsuit  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

- 17. Is there another court order (other than child support) now in effect concerning any of the child/ren listed above?  Yes  No  
 If yes: Date of Order: 5-18-11 Case #: DREV 37370  
 Filed in polk County, State of Iowa

- 18. A. I have not participated as a party, witness or in any other capacity in any other proceeding concerning the custody, parenting time or visitation of the child/ren listed EXCEPT: none

B. I know of no other proceeding that could affect this case (including any other legal case for custody/parenting time enforcement or relating to domestic violence, protective orders, termination of parental rights and adoptions) in this or any other state EXCEPT: none

C. I know of no one, other than Respondent, who has physical custody of the child/ren or who claims custody, parenting time or visitation rights with the child/ren EXCEPT: none

- 19.  I believe that I will need the assistance of a peace officer to regain custody of my child/ren from the Respondent. The address(es) where the child/ren can most likely be found are listed on the proposed Order. I believe the child/ren are most likely to be found there because: NO

- 20.  The Department of Human Services (Child Welfare) is involved with my child/ren.  
 Explain: NO

**NOTICE TO PETITIONER**

You must notify the court of any change of address/contact address or telephone number/contact telephone number. All notices of hearing will be sent to this address and the court may dismiss the restraining order if you do not appear at a hearing.

*If you wish to have your residential address or telephone number withheld from Respondent, use a "contact address" and "contact telephone number" so the Court and the Sheriff can reach you if necessary.*





**CERTIFICATES OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT**

**FIREARMS NOTIFICATION under 42 USC §3796gg-(4)(e):** As a result of this Order, it **may** be unlawful for Respondent to possess, receive, ship, transport, or purchase a **firearm or ammunition** pursuant to **federal law** under 18 USC §922 (g)(8). This Order also **may** negatively affect Respondent's ability to serve in the Armed Forces of the United States or to be employed in law enforcement. [OJIN Event Code: **NOGR**]

**NOTICE TO RESPONDENT:** If you have questions about whether federal or state laws make it illegal for you to possess or purchase a firearm, and/or about whether this Order will affect your ability to serve in the military or be employed in law enforcement, you should consult an attorney.

**FIREARMS PROHIBITION:** This Order (or the original Order that is continued) prohibits Respondent from possessing FIREARMS or AMMUNITION and it is unlawful for Respondent to do so under **state law**. [OJIN Event Code: **FQOR**]

**FEDERAL FIREARMS FINDINGS (BRADY):** This Order may subject Respondent to federal prosecution for possession, receipt, shipping, transportation, or purchase of firearms or ammunition while it is in effect. [OJIN Event Code: **ORBY**; LEDS Brady Code: **Y**]

The Court finds:

**A. Relationship:** The person protected by this Order is (*check at least one*):

- A spouse or former spouse of Respondent.
- The parent of Respondent's child.
- A person who does or did cohabit (live in a sexually intimate relationship) with Respondent.
- Respondent's child.
- A child of an intimate partner\* of Respondent (\*intimate partner is spouse/former spouse, cohabitant/former cohabitant, or parent of Respondent's child).

**B. Notice and Opportunity to Participate:**

The Order was issued after a hearing of which Respondent received actual notice and at which Respondent had the opportunity to participate.

**C. Terms of Order:**


The Order restrains Respondent from harassing, stalking or threatening Petitioner or Petitioner's or Respondent's child/ren or engaging in other conduct that would place Petitioner in reasonable fear of bodily injury to Petitioner or Petitioner's or Respondent's child/ren; **AND**


Respondent represents a credible threat to the physical safety of Petitioner or Petitioner's or Respondent's child/ren; **OR**

This Order by its terms explicitly prohibits the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against Petitioner or Petitioner's or Respondent's child/ren that would be reasonably expected to cause bodily injury.

**FULL FAITH AND CREDIT PROVISIONS:** This protective order meets all full faith and credit requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, 18 USC §2265. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter. The Respondent was or is being afforded notice and timely opportunity to be heard as provided by Oregon law. This Order is valid and entitled to enforcement in this and all other jurisdictions.

DATED: July 3, 2014

  
Audrey J. Broyles  
Circuit Court Judge Pro Tem



STATE OF OREGON } ss  
County of Marion

The foregoing copy has been compared and is certified by me as a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in my office and in my custody.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the

Court on: 7.3.14  
TRIAL COURT ADMINISTRATOR  
By: [Signature]

E-FILED 2014 JUL 07 4:12 PM POLK - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT  
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR MARION COUNTY  
100 High Street NE, Marion County Courthouse  
P.O. Box 12869, Salem, Oregon 97309-0869  
(503) 588-5228

July 3, 2014

RICHARD WILLIAM HOFFMAN  
213 OAK ST  
SILVERTON OR 97381

**Johnson Deeann Rae/Hoffman Richard William**  
Case#: 14C31598 Domestic Relations Abuse Prvntn

**NOTICE OF SCHEDULED COURT PROCEEDING**

Scheduled Proceeding: **Hearing**  
Date: **8/19/14**  
Time: **10:00AM**  
Room: **COURTROOM 3A - ROOM 3300**  
Additional Information:  
REVIEW RO

IMPORTANT NOTICE: PLEASE READ  
Failure to appear at the court event indicated above at the time  
and place specified may result in an order being rendered against  
you in this case.

**CC:**  
DEEANN RAE JOHNSON