

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR POLK COUNTY

UPON THE PETITION OF)	
)	
DEEANN RAE JOHNSON,)	CASE NO. DRCV37370
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE
AND CONCERNING)	IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
)	CONTEMPT TO ENFORCE WRIT OF
RICHARD WILLIAM HOFFMANN, SR.)	ASSISTANCE WITH REQUEST FOR
)	ARREST WARRANTS FOR ANIKA L.
Respondent)	BLUM, DOUG M. BLUM, AND
)	DEBORAH L. EASTWOOD

COMES NOW the Respondent, Richard William Hoffmann, Sr. of 4846 Timberline Drive, West Des Moines, Iowa 50265, with further evidence to entered against Petitioner, DeeAnn Rae Johnson, of 1191 Winter Street NE, Salem, Oregon, 97301 to include request to issue Arrest Warrants for the criminal abduction of Morgan Elizabeth Johnson-Hoffmann and Noah Christian Johnson-Hoffmann that occurred at 1212 Southlawn Drive, Des Moines, Iowa 50315 from the involved subjects, DeeAnn Rae Johnson; Anika L. Blum and Doug M. Blum of 413 Wintergreen Street NW, Ankeny, Iowa 50023; Deborah L. Eastwood of 4232 65th Street, Urbandale, Iowa 50322. Please verify the following:

- 1) Petitioner entered FALSE SWORN TESTIMONY she brought both children to Iowa with her in November 2007 when in fact she abandoned both children, Noah at six (6) weeks of age, and Morgan at 14 months of age, for over three (3) months as evidenced in the video taken by Respondent in his Sacramento, California home. Please see <http://tinyurl.com/kidsabandoned> that ends in “We Miss You Mom and Tyler” at 2:19.
- 2) Respondent flew both children to Iowa by himself in late February 2007 in which an airline ticket was purchased in Morgan’s name on Frontier Airlines.

- 3) Respondent was served legal papers in June 2007 generated by Petitioner to establish Paternity, Child Support and Visitation while he was 1,800 miles away in Sacramento, California that included three items:
 - a) That although Respondent was on Non-Industrial Disability Retirement from the State of California at \$2,380.00 per month, included was a fully completed Child Support Calculation Sheet generated by Jonathan Alan Coy, Attorney that was entered as admissible evidence showing Respondent earned exactly \$127,200.00 SELF EMPLOYED INCOME annually, that after income tax deductions and other standard deductions resulted in exactly \$6,660.40 per month to create, against Petitioner's income of \$2,045.00 per month an obligation of exactly the desired \$1,598.00 child support that was rounded up to exactly \$1,600.00 of mandatory desired child support as Petitioner DEMANDED her attorney FABRICATE.
 - b) The finished Paternity, Child Support, and Child Visitation Decree DRCV-037370 that included the mandated \$1,600.00 Child Support obligation to be paid directly to the Iowa Child Support Recovery Unit.
 - c) The printed Waiver To Verify Financial Records awaiting Respondents signature to cause the Court to NOT review any and all financial documents to verify or deny the fabricated self-declared Self-Employed income of exactly \$127,200.00 per year as listed above though Respondent has worked only part-time at best after being medically retired (non-industrial) as a Fire Captain/Peace Officer for the State of California from June 1979 through May 2000.
- 4) The fact Jonathan Alan Coy refused to review or accept Respondent's verifiable financial records including his last three pay stubs and last three Federal Income Tax returns that clearly verify Respondent did not earn 400% more (over \$100,000.00) than he did that he faxed verification thereof to J. A. Coy's law office upon Respondent declaring he represented himself as he had inadequate resources to hire an attorney and as such, shall have full access to Petitioner's hired counsel to work out any and all details.
- 5) The fact Jonathan Alan Coy, who upon receiving Respondent's accurate financial records, Jonathan Alan Coy threatened Respondent that any further attempt to contact his office in any manner whatsoever would result in formal criminal harassment charges.

- 6) The fact Petitioner demanded Respondent sign both the Waiver and the finished Decree to verify full acceptance without any opportunity to contest any false sworn written testimony entered in your court or, as she was 100% convinced, given her ability to articulate her unquestioned expertise as an underwriter, she could easily deceive any small financial firm into believing there would never be a need to do a full background check to verify any detail in a successful attempt to leverage Respondent's undying love for his children as she stated, "I live 1,800 miles away. I can have the kids packed in less than 20 minutes. It'll take you 30 hours to get here. My family hates you. They will tell you nothing! I will change my name, my social security number, and the children's last name and I will move to either New York, Los Angeles, Miami, or Seattle or someplace in between and you will NEVER EVER SEE YOUR CHILDREN EVER AGAIN! Even a private investigator will be unable to find me with a false social security number that I will FABRICATE each and every year when I "relocate" and secure yet another position at yet another small firm who will never do a background check. But even if I could be traced, after spending thousands to find me to get to your children, you'd have to start all over again in a new court some place and I'll again slam you for \$1,600.00 child support when you finally do catch me. Now sign all the documents and have them overnighted to arrive by noon on August 17, 2007 to be entered in court at 1:30 PM OR ELSE YOU'LL NEVER SEE YOUR CHILDREN EVER AGAIN!!!"
- 7) The fact that, after Respondent was felony extorted to sign said documents under severe duress, Petitioner demanded Respondent pay cash directly to her of whatever amount he could from his \$2,380.00 disability retirement check on the first day of each month to eliminate any record whatsoever that could be created by a cancelled check or Petitioner threatened again she would "pack-up" the children in less than 25 minutes and move to any one of four corners of the nation without any notice whatsoever.
- 8) The fact, that after Respondent spent every other nickel to travel every four (4) to five (5) weeks from Sacramento to Iowa to maintain his loving relationship with his daughter and establish the same with his son yet adhered to Petitioner's threat to use any and all resources available to her to eliminate Respondent from their children's lives altogether as she was successful when her older son, Tyler James Johnson, at age 16 has ZERO contact with his father. Petitioner's son now resides with Kenneth Stoner at 1212 Southlawn Drive, Des Moines, Iowa which she has also since ABANDONED him.

- 9) The fact that 39 months after said August 17, 2007 Decree was enforced to demand said falsified sworn written testimony Child Support obligation had been fabricated, Petitioner reported to Iowa Child Support Recovery Unit and declared under penalty of perjury Respondent had paid ZERO (\$0.00). Iowa Child Support Recovery invoiced Respondent for \$59,200.00 in child support arrearages.
- 10) The fact Petitioner refused any responsibility for her or Jonathan Alan Coy's assistance to create and submit said extorted sworn written false testimony of said financial documentation stating, "If it wasn't true, why did you ever sign it!?!"
- 11) The fact Respondent experienced:
 - a) An immediate loss of 50% of his disability retirement then \$2,530.00 monthly income reduced to \$1,215.00;
 - b) Half his disability retirement was still \$385.00 less than the minimum payment due PLUS an additional \$320.00 per month was obligated to begin to pay back said \$59,200.00 that was increasing at a rate of \$705.00 per month to a total of \$1,920.00 leveraged;
 - c) Fact it would be impossible for Respondent to ever resolve said felony extorted falsified obligation at the current rate that at the age of 70, he would owe over \$219,600.00;
 - d) Fact all three (3) credit bureaus immediately reported \$59,200.00 in child support arrearages that increased exponentially each month as one of Iowa's worst "Dead-Beat-Dad's" ever CAUGHT that upon any background check of any reputable agency or entity resulted in the successful purposeful attempt to further destroy Respondent's credibility;
 - e) The immediate revocation of Respondent's Commercial Driver's License even though Respondent was a driving instructor for 13 years at his assigned fire departments to cause great harm for his ability to provide for his young family;
 - f) The immediate confiscation all federal and state income tax refunds until paid in full;
 - g) The lien of all real property;
 - h) AND the incredible SITUATIONAL stress that resulted upon him in which Respondent's significant other had to violate the integrity of her 401k retirement fund just to help make ends meet or face eviction as a homeless couple.
- 12) The fact Respondent immediately reported to Iowa Child Support Recovery Unit to begin to resolve said "issue" who's personnel did all they could to expedite Respondent's case file to

be completed in three (3) months instead of the normal, expected six (6) or more before final amount due reached an obligation of \$63,245.00 by April 2011.

- 13) The fact Respondent's child support obligation was reduced \$981.00 per month (\$11,772.00 per year) to \$619.00 per month as determined with now ACCURATE financial data.
- 14) The fact that Respondent filed a complaint against Jonathan Alan Coy's to the Iowa State Supreme Court Attorney Disciplinary Board for his direct involvement to present felony extorted false sworn written testimony into your court of law that Respondent earned over 400% (over \$100,000.00) more income than he did as "self-declared" self-employed income to arrive at the exact desired figures that was insulated/protected by said endorsed waiver to review any and all financial documents upon the threat said children would never be provided any opportunity to establish nor maintain any relationship with Respondent unless endorsed by Respondent upon the purposeful obstruction thereof or face imminent Criminal Harassment charges.
- 15) That in mediation scheduled April 18, 2011 with court appointed mediator attorney Kimberly Stamestelos:
 - a) Petitioner violated Court Ordered Subpoena to produce any and all financial records to prove child support payments were in fact deposited by cash deposit into her personal checking account as demanded or never ever see the children ever again.
 - b) Petitioner demanded Respondent sign off on paragraph that he self-declare, with absolutely ZERO psychological exam and/or medical reports or any documentation whatsoever to justify same, that Respondent SHALL BE CONSIDERED EXTREMELY psychologically unstable and therefore an EXTREME DANGER to his children.
 - c) When Respondent presented EXTORTION email from Petitioner dated 3/31/2011 to Stamestelos as verified at 11:00 AM April 18, 2011 as sent directly to Kimberly Stamestelos that Petitioner DEMANDED \$10,000.00 paid by his mother PLUS the attached spreadsheet that evidenced Petitioner in fact owed Respondent \$3,405.29 in EXTORTED child support in which the Iowa State Child Support Recovery Unit was utilized as the FELONY instrument to FELONY extort same, Kimberly Stamestelos declared she would recover said extorted falsified child support arrearages of \$3,405.29 if Respondent signed off that he was a psychological DANGER to his children who required medication and psychological counseling regiment OR BE DENIED ALL

VISITATION UNTIL COMPLIANCE WAS EVIDENCED UPON SIGNED MEDICAL CONFIDENTIALITY RELEASE.

- d) Respondent signed-off on said paragraph UNDER EXTREME DURESS or face never seeing his children EVER as a psychologically UNSTABLE, DANGEROUS father with ZERO evidence to justify same by any psychologist or psychiatrist in which Kimberly Stamestelos warned she would instead write a letter to the Judge stating Respondent as extremely belligerent and would then therefore ensure Respondent's \$29,003.29 paid child support would be considered a GIFT and he would instead owe the full \$63,245.00 to be paid off at \$320.00 per month over the next 16.5 years thus arriving at a totally child support obligation of \$92,248.29 in only 42 months.
- e) Upon signing said paragraph, Mediator Stamestelos then returned with DEMAND Respondent agree to pay Petitioner an ADDITIONAL \$15,000.00 in child support arrearages that were calculated and court ordered upon FALSE sworn written testimony. Respondent adamantly refused and declared to Mediator Stamestelos she had committed felony extortion of same [At a SAVINGS OF \$77,248.29 that Respondent should have been excited to receive!] to cause Respondent to self-declare as a psychological DANGER to his children. Respondent again demanded Petitioner reimburse the full \$3,405.29 to him instead in exchange for the then now self-declaration of himself as a psychological danger to his children.
- f) Mediator Stamestelos reported to Petitioner and her attorney Espnola Cartmill but then returned with DEMAND Respondent agree to pay Petitioner \$10,000.00 in FALSIFIED child support arrearages [At a SAVINGS OF \$82,248.29 that Respondent should have been excited to receive!] as indicated in Petitioner's original extortion email dated March 31, 2011. Respondent again demanded Petitioner reimburse the full \$3,405.29 to him instead in exchange for self-declaring himself as a psychological danger to his children. Respondent again refused adamantly as he stated this was FELONY EXTORTION.
- g) Mediator Stamestelos then returned with DEMAND Respondent agree to pay Petitioner \$7,000.00 in FALSIFIED child support arrearages [At a SAVINGS OF \$85,248.29 that Respondent should have been excited to receive!]. Respondent again demanded Petitioner reimburse the full \$3,405.29 to him instead in exchange for self-declaring

himself as a psychological danger to his children. Respondent again refused adamantly as he stated this was FELONY EXTORTION.

- h) Mediator Stamestelos then returned with DEMAND Respondent agree to pay Petitioner \$5,000.00 in FALSIFIED child support arrearages. [At a SAVINGS OF \$87,248.29 that Respondent should have been excited to receive!] Respondent again demanded Petitioner reimburse the full \$3,405.29 to him instead in exchange for self-declaring himself as a psychological danger to his children. Respondent again refused adamantly as he stated this was FELONY EXTORTION.
 - i) Mediator Stamestelos DEMANDED Respondent agree to pay Petitioner \$5,000.00 in FALSIFIED child support arrearages and that Petitioner was NOT going to accept anything less. Respondent then showed and demanded full credit of \$1,403.81 spent on September 11, 2008 to move all Petitioner's belongings from Sacramento California to Ankeny, Iowa. Petitioner would only agree to accept \$1,000.00 credit in which it was ordered that Respondent owe \$4,000.00 in FALSIFIED child support arrearages to Petitioner thus netting, upon adding the \$3,405.29 Petitioner OWED Respondent, \$7,405.29 in FALSIFIED FELONY EXTORTED child support arrearages paid at \$124.00 per month until paid in full in addition to the \$981.00 reduced monthly child support then set to the ACCURATE \$619.00. Yet now Respondent had then also now been SELF-declared as a psychologically UNSTABLE DANGER to his children.
- 16) That on February 28, 2012 Petitioner petitioned for a Temporary Restraining Order in which no statutory basis was found. Please compare entries/non-entries alleged in June 20, 2014 Restraining Order filed in Oregon District Court In and For Marion County. ZERO ENTRY OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE whatsoever! End result: DISMISSED but the mere fact Respondent now had a record of Restraining Order filed, regardless if it was dismissed or not, lost INCREDIBLE CREDIBILITY with others but especially higher class women he was trying to date who would ask for his birthday and thus find record of same and would subsequently REFUSE any and all further contact from Respondent or they too would file an identical Restraining Order against him.
- 17) That, as evidenced by written itinerary in which Respondent had primary physical custody of said minor children from May 30, 2014 through July 12, 2014, that on May 30, 2014, Respondent drove Petitioner to Des Moines International Airport to fly to Portland, Oregon

in which the plan was for Respondent to also relocate to Oregon in mid-July 2014 upon Petitioner returning to Van Meter, Iowa to recover her vehicle from Respondent's ex-girlfriend's home and Petitioner and Respondent would then drive both children with them as all parties were to then officially relocate to Salem, Oregon area.

18) That on June 9, 2014 Respondent made all proper arrangements including signed emergency medical and dental treatment release forms for Kenneth Stoner (the same individual who is caring for Petitioner's 16 year old son) to babysit said minor children from June 9, 2012 through June 14, 2014 upon Respondent's two (2) days business trip at the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) convention in Las Vegas on June 9, 2014 and June 10, 2014 to meet with the NFPA Title 14 Engineers who will write all the specifications/legal code to be passed by congress to mandate nation-wide Respondent's patented fire protection equipment as well as personally meet with Underwriter's Laboratory personnel who will test said fire protection equipment as certify same as SAFE.

19) That, at 10:12 AM CDT on June 9, 2014, Kenneth Stoner received a two (2) part text from Petitioner that alleged, "Kenny, I had three calls from softball parents last night that are very concerned for Morgan and Noah. Will you please let my friend have the kids – she will put them on a plane and I will meet them in Denver. Please! They aren't ok with rich."

a) **Respondent hereby REQUIRES the court to produce ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. text, etc.) of said allegations or CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAN HANDS AND IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY AND ALL ACTIONS IN ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**

20) That upon Respondent's arrival in Las Vegas, he received a pending text from Petitioner and engaged in text conversation in which Petitioner threatened "I'm going to get them this week then." that ended as Respondent confirmed he would return, "Friday night." June 13, 2014.

21) That no other communication was received from Petitioner regarding her intentions yet with only three (3) hours "written notice" and therefore in violation of said Decree by a full 69 hours short of the minimum 72 hours required to make proper changes to said agreement, she had her sister Anika L. Blum of Ankeny and friend Deborah L. Eastwood of Urbandale

FELONY KIDNAP both children from Kenneth Stoner's residence by force and intimidation as both children screaming, "NO!!! NO!!! NO!!!" every step of the way in an extremely violent manner and resulting psychological TERROR to a vehicle driven by Anika L. Blum that sped away in westerly direction with no destination given.

- 22) That upon Kenneth Stoner notifying Respondent of said TERROR event subjected to all parties, that it was determined that Respondent would be the notifying party from his hotel in Las Vegas to Polk County Sheriff's to report said FELONY KIDNAPPING .
- 23) That upon notifying Polk County Sheriff's dispatch, that Respondent's TWO (2) phone requests for help for his felony kidnapped children was terminated by said dispatchers twice in which they implied they had previously heard about him from the Petitioner who had notified Polk County Sheriff dispatch earlier that day and in which Respondent was thus treated as if he was an alleged convicted Spousal and Child Abuser, drug addict, drug dealing, Pimp from the gutters of Des Moines who was fornicating with his favorite prostitutes in his Las Vegas hotel suite funded by illegal drug and prostitution activities.
- 24) That Respondent was forced to call Des Moines Police Department NON-Emergency line long distance to request an officer respond to the scene of the felony kidnapping.
- 25) That Respondent contacted Polk County Sheriff dispatch a third time to request a "Welfare Check" from Ankeny Police to respond to the Anika L. and Doug M. Blum residence to check the safety and security of Respondent's kidnapped children assumed to be then FELONY IMPRISONED at said location at 413 NW Winterberry Street in Ankeny, Iowa.
- 26) That the Des Moines Police Department officer was so concerned for the emotional well-being/welfare of Respondent's severely emotionally disturbed and threatened felony kidnapped and then now imprisoned and detained children, that he then contacted his dispatch of the Des Moines Police Department to request a then second "Welfare Check" for both said minor children at the Blum residence in Ankeny.
- 27) That Respondent called Polk County Sheriff dispatch for a return call from the on-duty Sargent regarding the welfare of said children as no calls, return calls, or texts permitted or completed literally any communication of both said children with their loving father the Respondent.
- 28) That upon the Ankeny Police Officer response, that the written report regarding the investigation at the scene of felony imprisonment of both children, that the responding officer

recorded, "In regards to father wanting to file kidnapping charges. Father has not been following custody agreement."

a) **Respondent hereby REQUIRES the court to produce ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence or Court convictions of said allegations declared by this Peace Officer at the SCENE OF THE FELONY IMPRISONMENT AND DETENTION OF SAID CHILDREN in the presence of the FELONY ABDUCTOR Anika L. Blum and her FELONY ACCOMPLICE Doug M. Blum.**

29) That Ankeny Police Department Sgt. Figueroa left a voice mail for Respondent that indicated he had heard he had some "issues" and IF AND ONLY "IF" Respondent felt he still needed to talk he would return Respondent's call but to otherwise just have a nice night partying in Las Vegas.

30) That upon Respondent's requested return call from Sgt. Figueroa, that Sgt. Figueroa indicated he would do NOTHING to help facilitate speaking to his children, but rather stated they were fine and not worry about a thing and simply party while he had his time there and went so far as to say when he, Sgt. Figueroa recently visited Las Vegas, he had to call a friend (fellow inebriated peace officer?) he was partying with to come find him on the wrong floor as he was so inebriated, that he was unable to safely return to his hotel room. He then hung-up on Respondent upon stating, "Your kids are fine! Shit! ...you're down there! Just PARTY! I did!"

31) That upon Respondent's request for a second "Welfare Check" that next morning on June 10, 2014 at approximately 6:00 AM PDT/ 8:00 AM CDT, that the on-duty Sargent stated nearly an hour after his call that the children were fine, she would do NOTHING to accommodate court ordered communication with either child, and regardless of Respondent's concern two (2) felony counts would be committed if the children had airline tickets over state-lines, that she in fact verified they did have tickets to Portland, Oregon but that since this involved minor children, that no details would ever be disclosed regarding said children EVER as she could not verify the relationship of the reporting Respondent as father of said children. SHE REFUSED TO ACCOMMODATE ANY COMMUNICATION whatsoever between the two (2) felony kidnapped and imprisoned children and their loving father the Respondent.

- 32) That Respondent then changed all business plans the remainder of the week including cancelling his participation in mandatory training scheduled June 11 through June 13, 2014 in Kansas City for Durham School Services to maintain his position as a School Bus Driving Instructor in the Waukee School District to instead fly to Portland later that morning departing at approximately 10:30 AM PDT.
- 33) That Respondent then attempted to contact Doug M. Blum on his cell phone but no communication resulted except by voicemail. All attempts to text communicate were also unanswered at that time.
- 34) That Respondent called Anika L Blum to her cell phone at approximately 10:00 AM PDT in which Anika L, Blum answered, exchanged greetings as an unknown caller, but upon her realizing the caller was the Respondent when he asked her, "Can I speak to my daughter?" that Anika L. Blum immediately terminated the call and refused to respond to his voice mail that immediately followed outlining her felony kidnapping, felony imprisonment, and her direct involvement of felony transportation of both children over state-lines per Ankeny Police Department as well as her direct violation to the current order/decreed by preventing said children any telephone access to their loving father so he could reassure them that the emotionally horrific traumatic experience the night before to present time was just a life experience they will never forget, but with Daddy's, love and support, will eventually get over these horrific events.
- 35) That Respondent arrived in Portland at approximately 1:00 PM PDT in which he received a pending text communication from felon accomplice Doug M. Blum as recorded and entered into evidence in this Court, that Respondent immediately called Doug M. Blum who, and from absolutely no reference whatsoever, declared that if Petitioner were to expire (die) at any time, that it would be he who would receive full custody of both children and not the Respondent. That when Respondent asked why such verbiage was not included in any custody agreement that he was aware of in any Court whatsoever, that he, Doug M. Blum, believed adamantly that he was very clear, "... 'cuz my wife (Anika) says its true! That's why!" When Respondent demanded felon accomplice Doug M. Blum to produce same, Blum became silent and Respondent terminated call in total disbelief this felon accomplice could believe such a ludicrous allegation.

- 36) That Respondent upon taking adequate time to calm his nerves over such lunacy, that he again called felon accomplice Doug M. Blum to ask when might be a good time to contact Petitioner so Respondent could speak to his extremely distraught and confused children. Blum stated they were put on a Frontier Airlines flight to Denver at approximately 1:30 PM CDT to meet with Petitioner who flew from Portland to Denver earlier in the day so Petitioner could fly back with both children from Denver to Portland and upon Respondent reviewing the posted flight schedule in the terminal, he saw they were to arrive in Portland at 6:32 PM PDT.
- 37) That Respondent then reported said felony transportation of children over state-lines to the Port of Authority Police assigned to the Portland International Airport who informed Respondent that with no "Writ of Assistance" entered in any court, that they could do nothing but observe. Respondent then exited the secured area and remained in the waiting area outside the TSA Exit Gate.
- 38) That at approximately 6:20 PM PDT, Respondent contacted Portland Airport Security in which video surveillance commenced but was terminated at 6:59 PM PDT merely six (6) minutes prior to the Petitioner and both felony kidnapped children exited said gate to the unsecured area in which the Respondent rushed to greet, hug, console, and profusely reassure his children that no matter what happens to them, that Daddy is never too far away. Said children are still unaware how far they have been removed from the protective cover of their loving Daddy who waits patiently in Iowa as now the arrest warrant has been reinstated.
- 39) That upon reassuring both children, that Respondent then informed Petitioner to smile because they were all on video surveillance as all four (4) walked to the parking garage in which the Respondent took both still photography and videography to document place, date, and time to verify when felony kidnapping and imprisonment/detention and transportation of minor children occurred. Please see video evidence of Petitioner with no luggage and both children with only the bags Respondent had sent them with the previous Monday morning: <http://www.tinyurl.com/portlandairport01>
- 40) Respondent saw the children the following morning, spoke a few them a few times by phone and then e-filed Petition to Modify Custody, Child Visitation, and Custody AND "Writ of Assistance" in the Iowa court on Friday, June 13, 2014.

41) Respondent spent 30 minutes with both minor children on Father's Day at Dairy Queen in Silverton, Oregon in which Noah recorded the following statement:

<http://www.tinyurl.com/noahstatement>

42) For the record, Morgan refused to participate as Respondent did Not force her because Petitioner has been reported for Corporal Punishment as Respondent has witnessed when Petitioner took a full swing back and then full swing forward to with her right hand striking the right side of Morgan's head in the ear area just weeks after Petitioner's CONVICTION of Deprivation of Critical Care. The information was allegedly forwarded by DHS of Iowa to the Cournty Attorney but NO action was taken. Morgan did NOT want to risk being videotaped in which she could again be subjected to such PHYSICAL ABUSE with no consequences upon Petitioner as demonstrated then.

43) On Monday morning, June 16, 2014, Respondent then tried to add his name to the contact list to pick-up said children from the Kaiser-Salem Education Foundation Camp day-care facility at 725 NE Market Street, Salem, Oregon in which Respondent videotaped the interaction and resulting response of the said staff upon Petitioner's allegations Respondent was a TERRORIST THREAT to all children at said facility causing a staff member to call "911 Emergency" to alleged "Disturbance" in which incident report #14022717 written by Mark Seyfried, S137 and approved by Sgt. Albert Gordon, S245. See published video at: <http://www.tinyurl.com/salemdaycare01>, <http://www.tinyurl.com/salemdaycare02>, <http://www.tinyurl.com/salemdaycare03> for the accurate details of alleged said "DISTURBANCE" in which Salem Police Department has violated its own policy to correct fourteen (14) FALSIFIED entries within their 45 day maximum period to correct same. ZERO RESPONSE HAS BEEN NOTICED TO DATE in which most importantly the following items in which Detective Corporal (?) Mark Seyfried stated and was approved by Sgt. Gordon Albert as FACT:

- a) Inaccurately recorded Respondent's apartment address from data clearly published on Iowa government-issue Commercial Driver's License 291AE8386.
- b) Recorded one (1) phone number as the business line, and then the home line, and then the business line of yet a third and different subject. Yet it was assigned as the Cell Phone that was used to dial 911 but was indicated as the home line of subject number two (2).

- c) That on page 2 of 3, paragraph 2, line 5, “He told me that his ex-wife, Deeann Johnson, moved from Iowa three weeks ago, taking their two children, Morgan and Noah.”
- i) Anika L. Blum admits in her Ankeny Police Department report #14-002671 that, “...she recently picked up her sister’s (Petitioner’s) children, took them to the airport, and had them fly to Oregon to be with their mother.”
- ii) Respondent clearly stated both children had been felony kidnapped by Petitioner’s sister Anika L. Blum that previous Monday evening in Des Moines, Iowa and flown to Denver that following Tuesday in which Respondent met all three (3) at the Portland International Airport at 7:05 PM PDT. For the record, Sgt. Gordon Albert stated to Respondent, “You may have broken the law when you flew from Las Vegas to Portland last Tuesday!”
- iii) Respondent asks the court why would said Detective Corporal state and Sgt. Albert Gordon verify that Respondent stated Petitioner moved out from Iowa with both children three weeks ago if Sgt. Albert Gordon referred to breaking some alleged “law” when he met his children at the Portland International Airport after being felony kidnapped, imprisoned, and then flown over state lines that previous Tuesday, June 10, 2014?
- d) Per page 2 of 3, paragraph 3, line 3 and 4, Respondent showed Detective Corporal M. Seyfried and Sgt. Gordon Albert exact copy of original custody agreement (unsigned) in which Det. Corporal M. Seyfried wrote, “...Deeann would have to notify him of any changes of visitation with IN 72 hours.”
- i) Detective Corporal M. Seyfried therefore “felt” there was no violation to said custody agreement as three (3) hours the previous Monday, June 9, 2014 from 2:35 PM PDT to 5:35 PM PDT was more than permissible and acceptable as it was clearly with IN the 72 hour window to FELONY KIDNAP BOTH CHILDREN!
- e) Per page 2 of 3, paragraph 4, line 1 and 2, Respondent was purposely NOT placed on the contact list as a direct violation to the current decree and therefore prevented from court ordered visitation.

- f) Per page 2 of 3, paragraph 5, line 1 and 2, Petitioner perjures herself to purposely manipulate a sworn peace officer by stating, "...who said she moved from Iowa to Salem about three weeks ago with her children..."
 - i) Anika L. Blum admits in her Ankeny Police Department report #14-002671 that, "...she recently picked up her sister's (Petitioner's) children, took them to the airport, and had them fly to Oregon to be with their mother."
- g) Per page 2 of 3, paragraph 5, line 4 and 5, Petitioner perjures herself again by stating, "...Richard made comments to a Dairy Queen employee that there WOULD BE a child abduction soon." when in fact Respondent mentioned there HAD BEEN on June 9, 2014.
 - i) Anika L. Blum admits in her Ankeny Police Department report #14-002671 that, "...she recently picked up her sister's (Petitioner's) children, took them to the airport, and had them fly to Oregon to be with their mother."
- h) Per page 3 of 3, paragraph 1, line 1 and 2, Petitioner's ace in the hole stated, "...Richard had not provided proof of psychiatric treatment and had thus broken the custody agreement." Please see proposed exhibit(s) that verifying otherwise as Petitioner has used this "self-declared psychological terrorist threat to children" FELONY EXTORTION to reduce \$92,248.29 alleged child support obligation in only 42 months down to FELONY EXTORTED \$7,405.29 of the April 18, 2011 charade as manipulated and orchestrated by Court appointed Mediator Kimberly Stamestelos.
- i) Per page 3 of 3, paragraph 1, line 6, Petitioner, "...was upset that we would not take preemptive action upon Richard... ..get a restraining order if need be, and have a safety plan." Respondent asks the court to consider all evidence of felony perjury to manipulate and felony extort etc. and consider who needs preemptive action taken against which party?
- j) Per page 3 of 3, paragraph 2, line 1 and 2, Det. Corp. M. Seyfried, as verified by the severely incompetent approving Sgt. Gordon Albert, in which Respondent showed both of whom his e-filed Petition for Change of Custody... and 'WRIT OF ASSISTANCE" which Respondent further revealed page 9 thereof that clearly stated that Marion County Sheriff's department would recover said minor children for Respondent, yet severely incompetent M. Seyfried, as severely incompetent "Reviewing/Approving" Sgt. G.

Albert read same, stated, "I contacted Richard and he AGAIN (?) alluded to the fact that he would ABDUCT "rescue" his children."

- i) This statement made and approved by these two (2) SEVERELY INCOMPETENT sworn peace officers provided Petitioner full latitude to further manipulate both the Oregon, but now as entered here, the Iowa court to mislead any and all entities that Respondent is A SEVERELY UNSTABLE PSYCHOLOGICALLY UNPREDICTABLE individual who needs significant psychological intervention and should be prevented from having any contact with his children whatsoever! Respondent further asks, if Respondent is so DANGEROUS to the welfare and safety and security of his children, why would the incompetent Detective Corporal and his Sargent allow Respondent to ESCAPE THEIR CUSTODY!?! Respondent would like to suggest because he has never expressed DANGER in his persona at any time ever. He has instead been subjected to severely incompetent personnel of said affecting entities in regards to the custody and safety and security of his minor children.
- k) May it be emphasized that at approximately 3:35 PM PDT on June 16, 2014 later that same day Respondent made contact with M. Seyfried, S137 requesting him to make said corrections but he stated, "I've been doing SHIT for over 20 years! I recorded it as I heard it! I ain't changing SHIT!" and then abruptly hung up on Respondent.
- l) Respondent then spoke with Sgt. Weidemann, S503 of Salem Police Department Internal Affairs immediately following his "conversation" with M. Seyfried, S137 who denied any and all responsibility to these horrendous errors. Sgt. Weidemann, S503 then went line by line through 14 critical errors as identified above.
- m) June 26, 2014, Sgt. Aguillar, Acting IA, Internal Affairs, confirmed NO CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS EVER TAKEN OR RECORDED AT ALL BY SGT WEIDEMANN EVER! Respondent reported to the Salem Police Department Main Lobby to confront this purposeful denial and dedicated ZERO responsibility/accountability of the Salem Police Department that then now involves the Internal Affairs Division thereof.
- n) To the date of this filing, there has been ZERO response from the Salem Police Department whatsoever. COMPLETE AVOIDANCE AND DENIAL as said report was used against Respondent in his Objection Hearing to his Restraining Order of July 3, 2014, is now GUILTY OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE.

44) Respondent then emailed Petitioner at approximately 4:00 PM PDT June 16, 2014 (upon assuming the corrections to said incident report would be corrected and therefore resolved soon, requesting Petitioner deliver both said minor children at the Salem Police Department at an agreed location under complete surveillance for her safety and security at 7:00 PM June 17, 2014. Attached to said email was a PDF copy of the e-filed June 13, 2014 Petition for change... and WRIT OF ASSISTANCE.

45) Petitioner then responded with said email that further verified she, “had soul physical custody, she would file a Restraining Order, and that Respondent would be lucky if he see his children a year from then. She is now nearly seven (7) months to completion of her goal in which her goal is to simply file for yet another one at the expiration of the current.

46) Hence the current Restraining Order in Oregon that includes the following felonious perjuries found in the E-filed entries of December 29, 2014 as:

a) Page #3, Item #3, DESCRIBE INCIDENT(S) OF ABUSE THAT HAPPENED IN THE LAST 180 DAYS:

i) Petitioner claims on June 16, 2014, June 18, 2014, and June 19, 2014 AFTER charade of “Disturbance – Child Custody Dispute” Salem Police Department Incident #14022717 in which Petitioner claims Respondent “Threatens to KILL me and ABDUCT my children (per M. Seyfried FALSE testimony of a sworn peace officer). Threatened to kill both of my sister’s children.

(1) Petitioner only has one (1) half-sister Anika L. Blum who admits in her Ankeny Police Department report #14-002671 that, “...she recently picked up her sister’s (Petitioner’s) children, took them to the airport, and had them fly to Oregon to be with their mother.”

(2) The Petitioner’s OTHER alleged sister is her girlfriend Deborah L. Eastwood of Urbandale, Iowa in which Respondent texted

ii) Respondent’s last contact with children was the evening of June 17, 2014. Only voicemails were attempted until it was demanded that ONLY Morgan’s cell phone that was left in Respondent’s minivan in West Des Moines would be acceptable for children to communicate with their loving father in attempt to get Respondent to leave the west coast and “go away.”

iii) Respondent hereby **REQUIRES** the court to produce **ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE** verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. text, etc.) of said allegations or **CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAR HANDS AND IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**

b) Page 4, Item #4 Incidents in which Respondent has hurt or threatened to hurt Petitioner BEFORE 180 days period above.

i) First entry:

(1) Date is left blank. Not even a year referenced unless first two entries refer to the same time frame as "...while I was pregnant"

(a) ...which would mean 2005 or 2006. Yet for the record, SHE DID NOT EVER LIVE IN POLK COUNTY IN THE STATE OF IOWA AT ANY TIME SHE WAS PREGNANT! SHE LIVED IN ROSEVILLE AND SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA!

(2) County/State: Petitioner swears "Polk" and Iowa but scratches out Marion and Oregon in which she states, "Restraining me, spitting on me; threatening my life if I don't do as he says."

(3) Respondent hereby **REQUIRES** the court to produce **ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE** verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. text, etc.) of said allegations or **CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAR HANDS AND IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY AND ALL ACTIONS IN ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**

ii) Second entry:

(1) Date is left blank but refers to when Petitioner was pregnant (2005 or 2006?)

(2) County/State: Petitioner swears “Polk” and “Iowa” but scratches out Marion and Oregon in which she states, “Pushing me down and holding me down against my will while I was pregnant.”

(a) ...**which would mean 2005 or 2006. Yet for the record, SHE DID NOT EVER LIVE IN POLK COUNTY IN THE STATE OF IOWA AT ANY TIME SHE WAS PREGNANT! SHE LIVED IN ROSEVILLE AND SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA!**

(3) **Respondent hereby REQUIRES the court to produce ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. text, etc.) of said allegations or CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAR HANDS AND IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY AND ALL ACTIONS IN ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**

iii) Third entry:

(1) Date: 6/16

(a) Respondent REQUIRES court to produce and verify year of these allegations as the year 2014 cannot apply as this is specifically prior to 180 days.

(2) County/State: Petitioner swears “Polk” and “Iowa” but scratches out Marion and Oregon in which she states, “Hold my arms so tight that he left bruises; threatening me with physical, emotional, mental anguish if I didn’t comply with his demand.”

(3) **Respondent hereby REQUIRES the court to produce ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. text, etc.) of said allegations or CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAR HANDS AND IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY AND ALL ACTIONS IN ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**

- c) Page 4, Item #5: Refers to imminent danger of further abuse by Respondent AND the Respondent is a threat to my physical safety or the physical safety of my children because: "He has threatened to abduction my children."
- i) Per the incompetent AND grossly negligent M. Seyfried, S137 and Sgt. Gordon Albert, S245 for disregarding e-file of June 13, 2014 presented as evidence on scene of the Incident #14022717 which said filing specifically states "WRIT OF ASSISTANCE" for Marion County Sheriff's Department to perform the deed.
 - ii) **Respondent hereby REQUIRES the court to produce ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. text, etc.) of said allegations or CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAR HANDS AND IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY AND ALL ACTIONS IN ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**
- d) Page 4, Item #7: Firearms possession.
- i) Petitioner claims, "My safety and the safety of my children."
 - ii) **Respondent hereby REQUIRES the court to produce ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. text, etc.) of said allegations or CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAR HANDS AND IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY AND ALL ACTIONS IN ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**
- e) Page 6, Item #15: Refers to Request for Court to award custody because of an EMERGENCY as:
- i) "Father is continuously threatening to abduct Morgan and Noah. Continues to threaten my children's place of daycare."
 - (1) Respondent was TRESSPASSED in a legally successful attempt to prevent children access to their father due to FABRICATED UNJUSTIFIED THREAT TO CHILDREN'S SAFETY CREATED BY PETITIONER. Respondent

NEVER returned to children's home or childcare facility after trespass was enforced by Salem Police Department on June 16, 2014.

- f) Page 14: Refers to OFFICIAL address of Respondent as 213 Oak Street, Silverton, Oregon as Respondent's residence.
 - i) Respondent's official address has been written clearly in the first two lines of every court document entered in the Oregon Court yet ALL correspondence has been sent to this INCORRECT address as not only is Petitioner severely incompetent, but the clerks at the Oregon Court have only sent all official mail to this address as evidence not even the first two (2) lines of Respondent's correspondence has EVER been read or acknowledged as 138 pages of evidence in Respondent's defense at his Objection Hearing was 100% disregarded as was his legally required COURT REPORTER flagrantly and adamantly DENIED.
- g) Page 14: Refers to party's character:
 - i) Petitioner states, "History of mental illness."
 - ii) **Respondent hereby REQUIRES the court to produce ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or medical report written evidence of said allegations or CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAR HANDS AND IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY AND ALL ACTIONS IN ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**
- h) Page 17: Refers to COURT HAS ORDERED AN "EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES" HEARING to include:
 - i) The order restraining Respondent from contacting, threatening, or attempting to contact the Petitioner.
 - ii) The order granting temporary child/ren custody to the Petitioner.
 - iii) **Respondent hereby REQUIRES the court to produce ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. text, etc.) of said allegations or CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAR HANDS AND**

**IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY AND ALL ACTIONS
IN ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**

- i) Page 22: Refers to Supervised Visitation that has been DENIED in all cases.
 - i) At first it was requested to be ordered on weekends only. NOT EVEN PROVIDED during those hours yet Judge Broyles CANCELED ALL UNTIL Objection Hearing of July 3, 2014 in which upon proof of psychological evaluation (see entered exhibits that PROVE FULL COMPLIANCE):
 - (1) Un-Supervised if compliant to MANIPULATED and COERCED psychological regiment requirement.
 - (2) Supervised if NON-Compliant.
 - (a) Yet Judge Audrey Broyles, Pro Tem REFUSED to accept any entries that proved Respondent was compliant to allow unsupervised and yet provided ZERO method to exercise Court Ordered visitation within any hours before walking out her charade of July 3, 2014 with demand Respondent REMAIN SILENT or face a six (6) month jail sentence and \$500.00 fine for, “NOT ONE MORE UTTERANCE!” as witnessed by attending Bailiff and “referee.” who did nothing to ensure equity in any amount for Respondent let alone his Civil Rights to a court reporter, who if present, may have prevented such corruption from occurring.
 - (b) As the Thanksgiving Holiday approached in November 2014, Respondent flew to California to prepare to drive north to Oregon and requested Presiding Judge Rhoades of the Oregon Court to clarify Non-supervised vs, Supervised as referenced in the chicken scratch Order After Hearing which had no clear definition of either, yet she stated ALL PARENTING TIME SHALL BE INDEFINITELY DENIED as found on Page 17 of 31 of original restraining order in which she interpreted Judge Broyles Order of June 20, 2014 to supersede Judge Broyles Order of July 3, 2014 which stated by line as:
 - (i) “Respondent to psych eval and to provide to Court w/
 - (ii) proof of ability/safety to visit w/ children (which he did) – supervised
 - (iii) To include prescribed meds and compliance – unsupervised
 - (iv) w/ regimen.

1. What does this indicate to Respondent to interpret? Judge Broyles denied a court reporter to allow Respondent to effectively review any notes but especially upon Presiding Judge who DENIED ALL in her letter November 25, 2014 regardless of EVIDENCE submitted in each court entry of August 14, 2104 and September 4, 2014, September 5, 2104 and September 9, 2014 that strict compliance was in fact met!
- (c) For the record, InFocus has the company policy that unless the Petitioner ALSO signs said application for supervised visitation, which Petitioner was mailed YET REFUSED TO COMPLETE, there SHALL BE NO VISITATION whatsoever. Upper Circle has the same policy in place. Ultimate power is therefore granted and provided the Petitioner to DENY any and all Court Ordered visitation at her choice if she chooses to exercise her ULTIMATE RIGHT TO REFUSE TO SIGN until court ordered to sign.
- j) Page 23: Narrative of Petitioner:
- i) Petitioner exaggerated that there would be an abduction instead that there HAD BEEN one on June 9, 2014 as committed by Anika L. Blum, accomplices Deborah L. Eastwood, and Doug M. Blum. Silverton Police contacted Respondent who cleared up all confusion regarding same.
 - ii) Petitioner claims, "Since this time Richard has continuously threatened to kill me, abduct Morgan and Noah, and threatened to kill both of my sister's (she only has her one (1) half-sister Anika L. Blum) children in Iowa.
 - iii) Petitioner states, "Richard was present at Morgan and Noah's 1st day of summer school (as he is PROVIDED by court order!) Camp at Grant Elementary. He was threatening the staff there and demanding to see Morgan and Noah." Please see video evidence contrary to dialog presented as FALSE SWORN WRITTEN TESTIMONY found : <http://www.tinyurl.com/salemdaycare01>, <http://www.tinyurl.com/salemdaycare02>, <http://www.tinyurl.com/salemdaycare03>
- (1) Respondent waited and visited with staff for nearly an hour and a half before children walked across the street at nearly 7:30 AM PDT June 16, 2014. Respondent simply tried to add his name to the contact list at the daycare facility to establish and maintain COURT ORDERED visitation that the Petitioner

adamantly refused did all she could to OBSTRUCT against the current order to and then made repeated FALSE CLAIMS THAT RESPONDENT WAS DANGEROUS TO ALL CHILDREN at said childcare facility to cause the staff to call 911 Emergency as a fabricated FALSE “disturbance” yet the video evidence proves Respondent left his driver’s license to add his name before leaving peacefully as video evidenced.

iv) Petitioner’s presented FALSE SWORN WRITTEN TESTIMONY by entering , “The summer camp called the police because of his behavior & because they are concerned for Morgan’s and Noah’s safety. The Salem Police trespassed Richard from the school and my home. ”

(1) Why didn’t the staff call 911 Emergency until after Petitioner arrived? Because Petitioner had not yet had the opportunity to FRIGHTEN said staff with allegations included in every other entry in either court! This is further evidence Petitioner exhibits extremely unstable mental behavior to purposely manipulate any situation to secure ZERO visitation and destroy Respondent’s credibility at every opportunity.

v) Petitioner further states, “Richard has (Petitioner’s ace in the hole!) history of mental illness and appears very unstable right now. Our custody agreement states he MUST provide evidence from his treating psychiatrist that he is taking his medication & is in counseling.”

(1) And how was that established with absolutely ZERO evidence produced by any psychologist or psychiatric exam, evaluation, or report of any kind!?! Choice! Be considered a “Belligerent” with a letter to the Iowa Court from an EXTREMELY CORRUPT Court appointed mediator Kimberly Stamestelos by not signing off on a DEMANDED paragraph that Respondent is a SELF DECLARED PSYCHOLOGICALLY UNSTABLE TERRORIST THREAT TO HIS CHILDREN by requesting his \$3,405.29 of Felony EXTORTED child support RIGHTFULLY reimbursed him, or pay \$7,405.29 instead of the GIFTED \$29,003.29 and owe an additional \$63,245.00 that won’t be paid off for nearly 17 years at an additional \$320.00 per month above his ACCURATELY calculated fair and equitable \$619.00 per month stripped from his DISABILITY

RETIREMENT as a Correctional Fire Captain after nearly 30 years combined experience of putting his life on the line for others both professionally and volunteer for a grand total of \$92,248.29 of alleged child support arrearages in only 42 months based solely on FALSE SWORN WRITTEN TESTIMONY fabricated by a now Law Firm Partner Attorney Jonathan Alan Coy of Thornton, Coy and Hess in Ankeny, Iowa.

vi) Petitioner further states, "He has not provided that for over 1 year."

(1) Respondent requests and invites the Court do it's due diligence to verify his alleged falsified evidence of counseling and medication regimen evidenced in said entered exhibits as Petitioner will stop at NOTHING TO ENTER FALSE SWORN WRITTEN AND VERBAL TESTIMONY TO MISLEAD.SAID COURT(S) to obtain any and all FELONIOUS PERSONAL GOALS to live a life separate from any father of her children as she effectively achieved with her oldest son's father. HE HAS BEEN ELIMINATED!

k) Page 24: Narrative of Petitioner's (cont.):

i) Petitioner states, "I am very afraid of him. He has a history of being very mean, mentally, verbally, and physically abusive."

(1) Respondent has NEVER had any documented history of violence in his alleged history. In fact, Petitioner is a CONVICTED CHILD ABUSER in the DHS registry for DEPRIVATION OF CRITICAL CARE.

(2) Respondent requires the Court to produce any and all evidence alleged by mentally unstable Petitioner that he has ever met this description at any time in his life ever.

ii) Petitioner states, "I feel like Morgan and Noah and I have to constantly look over our shoulder. He shows up at my house uninvited regularly. My fiancé' Chris found him my yard last Friday. Richard thought no one was home. We aren't sure why he was there."

(1) Respondent declares Petitioner has presented pure distortion of TRUTH. He has always contacted Petitioner regarding any Court Ordered DAILY visitation or attempted visitation until the enforcement of said restraining order. Petitioner was contacted each and every time Respondent was anywhere near her residence. Her

fiance Chris Garner has never once indicated he ever felt threatened by Respondent ever.

iii) Petitioner states, "The summer camp Morgan and Noah go to are very concerned about their safety. The contact from Richard keeps escalating."

(1) Respondent declares any and all FEAR experienced by summer camp staff has been created by Petitioner commencing June 16, 2014 when Petitioner put all of them on FULL ALERT regarding a FALSE TERRORIST THREAT description yelling at the top of her lungs in their presence of Respondent's alleged personal history and mental status to frighten her "audience" to coerce law enforcement to be summoned by third parties to discredit Respondent. Respondent attempted to contact his children per court ordered contact at summer camp until restraining order was ordered by Oregon Court. 100% compliance always.

iv) Petitioner states, "Richard has threatened to follow me to work and cause trouble for me there."

(1) Respondent has NO purpose in harassing Petitioner at her work place nor has he EVER.

v) Petitioner states, "I have the text messages of him threatening my life."

(1) Respondent affirms he has NEVER sent any such messages to threaten anyone's life let alone the mother of his children! **Respondent hereby REQUIRES the court to produce ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE verification by video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. text, etc.) of said allegations or CONVICT OF PERJURY IMMEDIATELY AND EXONERATE RESPONDENT OF ALL ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS PETITIONER HAS UNCLEAN HANDS AND IS THEREFOR DISQUALIFIED FROM FILING ANY AND ALL ACTIONS IN ANY COURT OF LAW WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.**

vi) Petitioner states, "Salem Police are urging me to obtain a Restraining Order so they can protect me, Morgan, and Noah. I have the Salem Police support with me, along with my custody agreement evidencing I have primary physical care of my children."

(1) All of which that have been obtained and maintained on pure exaggerations and out right FALSE WRITTEN AND VERBAL TESTIMONY as evidenced above

vii) Petitioner states, "I mentally and emotionally exhausted from being scared all the time. Please assist me in this matter."

(1) Petitioner is exaggerating her concerns and fears hoping for empathy as an alleged terrorized mother of two (2) when in fact she is guilty of countless perjuries and has no choice but to keep stacking more FALSE SWORN WRITTEN AND VERBAL TESTIMONIES one after another hoping that Respondent will give up and go away like the father of her oldest child who has ZERO contact with his father since she mortgaged her mother's home to rid him by drowning him in Court costs until he finally gave up loving his son enough to stay in the fight. Respondent maintains his integrity and will never quit no matter how exaggerated the FALSE written and verbal testimonies continue.

viii) Petitioner claims, "Morgan and Noah are afraid of his anger and don't want to have to be with him."

(1) This is an outright FALSIFICATION OF FACT! Morgan and Noah have stated their desire to be with their loving father. Respondent swears under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Iowa that on June 8, 2014, Morgan personally stated, "Daddy, it's so much fun and relaxed to just be 'home' with you, that I don't care if I don't see mom for another year from now!"

(2) Petitioner will stop at nothing to leverage Respondent's love to punish Respondent at all costs for Petitioner's deranged interpretation of integrity and how she applies it in life as she has demonstrated in every identified felony count of perjury both in this Court and the Oregon Court.

47) Did not answer Petition for Change of Custody, Child Support and Visitation filed 6/13/14

48) Did not answer Contempt of Court filed 7/7/14

49) Did not attend Child in the Middle Class by 8/16/14 per Petition to Change of Custody

50) Did not attend Contempt of Court Hearing – Failure to Appear 8/28/14

51) Did not attend Petition to Change of Custody Hearing 9/5/14

52) Did not complete required Affidavit of Financial Status Petition to Change of Custody

53) Did not complete Child Support Guidelines worksheet for current Petition to Change of Custody.


Respondent hereby requires the Court to produce any and all admissible evidence by verification of video record, audio record, or sworn written testimony or written evidence (i.e. email, cell phone text, SMS, etc.) of said allegations and/or any verifiable conviction in any Court of law or therefore immediately CONVICT Petitioner of Felony Perjury immediately and exonerate Respondent of any and all actions against him as Petitioner shall therefore be deemed as having “unclean hands” and is therefore disqualified from filing literally any and all actions or cases filed or about to be filed in any Court of law within the United States including but not limited to IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF MARION.

**AFFIDAVIT CONCERNING SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE AND ARREST
WARRANTS TO BE PRESENTED IN THE RULE TO SHOW CAUSE AND ORDER
SETTING CONTEMPT HEARING THAT WAS SCHEDULED AUGUST 28, 2014 AT
10:30 AM AND AGAIN HEARD AT THE MOTION TO DISMISS SAME ON
DECEMBER 17, 2014 AT THE POLK COUNTY COURTHOUSE, COURT ROOM
412/413, 500 MULBERRY STREET, DES MOINES, IOWA**

Being first duly sworn, Richard William Hoffmann, Sr., do depose and state under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Iowa, that I am the Respondent herein, know the contents thereof and that the statements allegations contained therein are true and correct as I verily believe.


Richard William Hoffmann, Sr.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13th day of January in the year of our Lord two thousand and fifteen.


Notary Public